



## An inequality on Fischer-type Determinant for accretive-dissipative matrices

Yuanyuan Tu<sup>1</sup>, Runqing Su<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Taizhou College, Nanjing Normal University, Taizhou 225300, China. Email: tyynnuc@163.com

<sup>2</sup>College of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, 210044, China

**Abstract** In this paper, we study the Fischer-type determinant inequality for accretive-dissipative matrix. Inspired by Ikramov and Lin, as a result, a new inequality about Fischer-type determinant inequality of accretive-dissipative matrix is given, at the same time, we extend the corresponding result.

**Keywords** Fischer-type Determinant; accretive-dissipative matrix; inequality

### 1. Introduction

Let  $I_n$  be an  $n \times n$  unit matrix,  $M^{m \times n}$  be the set of  $m \times n$  complex matrices, if  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix, denote the eigenvalues of  $A$  by  $\lambda_i(A)$ , the conjugate transpose of  $A$  by  $A^H$ ,  $A$  is called positive semi-definite matrix if  $A$  be a Herimite matrix and  $\lambda_i(A) > 0$ . For any  $A \in M^{n \times n}$ , the following decomposition is called the Herimite decomposition of  $A$ , if  $A$  can be uniquely decomposed into:

$$A = B + iC. \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$B = \frac{A + A^H}{2}, \quad C = \frac{A - A^H}{2i},$$

and  $B, C$  are Herimite matrices.  $B, C$  are called the real part and the imaginary part of  $A$  respectively. For simplicity, (1.1) is always expressed in blocks as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.2)$$

say  $k, l (k > 0, l > 0, k + l = n)$  the order of  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$ , respectively, and let  $m = \min\{k, l\}$ . Since  $B, C$  are Herimite matrices, then

$$B_{12} = B_{21}^H, \quad C_{12} = C_{21}^H.$$

If  $B = I_n$  in (1.1), then  $A$  is called Buckley matrix. If  $B$  in (1.1) is a positive semi-definite matrix, then  $A$  is called accretive matrix. If  $C$  in (1.1) is a positive semi-definite matrix, then  $A$  is called dissipative matrix. If  $B, C$  in (1.1) are positive semi-definite matrices, then  $A$  is called accretive-dissipative matrix. In this definition,  $A$  is a dissipative matrix if and only if  $-iA$  is a accretive matrix.



Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M^{n \times n}$ , if  $A_{11}$  is invertible, then the Schur complement of  $A_{11}$  in  $A$  is denoted by

$A / A_{11} := A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ . For a nonsingular matrix  $A$ , the condition number of  $A$  is denoted by

$$\kappa(A) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(A^H A)}{\lambda_{\min}(A^H A)}}$$

which is the ratio of the largest and the smallest singular value of  $A$ .

In 1985, Horn and Johnson ([1], p478) gave the famous Fischer-type determinantal inequality

$$|\det A| \leq |\det A_{11}| |\det A_{22}|. \tag{1.3}$$

In 2004, Ikramov [2] first proved the determinantal inequality of accretive-dissipative matrix

$$|\det A| \leq 3^m |\det A_{11}| |\det A_{22}|. \tag{1.4}$$

In 2013, if  $A \in M^{n \times n}$  is accretive-dissipative, Lin [3] got the result

$$|\det A| \leq 2^{\frac{3}{2}m} |\det A_{11}| |\det A_{22}|. \tag{1.5}$$

Fu and He [4] got a stronger result than (1.5):

$$|\det A| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}m} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{1-\kappa}{1+\kappa} \right)^2 \right)^m |\det A_{11}| |\det A_{22}|. \tag{1.6}$$

where  $\kappa = \max\{\kappa(A), \kappa(B)\}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to use the existing conclusions to present a new inequality on Fischer-type Determinant for accretive-dissipative matrix, and extend the result of Fu and He.

## 2. Main Result

In this section, we begin with the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1**<sup>[5]</sup> Let  $A \in M^{n \times n}$  be accretive-dissipative as in (1.2), then  $A / A_{11}$  is also accretive –dissipative.

**Lemma 2.2**<sup>[2]</sup> Let  $A \in M^{n \times n}$  be accretive-dissipative as in (1.2), then

$$A^{-1} = E - iF,$$

where

$$E = (B + CB^{-1}C)^{-1}, \quad F = (C + BC^{-1}B)^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 2.3**<sup>[2]</sup> Let  $A = I_n + iC$  be Buckley matrix, then

$$A^{-1} = E + iF, \quad E = (I_n + C^2)^{-1}, \quad F = -(C + C^{-1})^{-1} = -C(I_n + C^2)^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 2.4**<sup>[5]</sup> Let  $A \in M^{n \times n}$  be Herimite matrix and  $B > 0$ , then

$$B + CB^{-1}C \geq 2C.$$

**Lemma 2.5**<sup>[3]</sup> Let  $B, C \in M^{n \times n}$  be positive semi-definite, then

$$|\det(B + iC)| \leq \det(B + C) \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} |\det(B + iC)|.$$

**Lemma 2.6**<sup>[6]</sup> Let  $A \in M^{n \times n}$  be positive definite, then



$$A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} \leq \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n} \right)^2 A_{11},$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  are the maximum eigenvalue and minimum eigenvalue of  $A$ , respectively.

Now, we are ready to give our result.

**Theorem 1** Let  $A \in M^{n \times n}$  be accretive-dissipative as in (1.1), then

$$|\det A| \leq (2x^2 + 2y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}m} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{1 - \kappa}{1 + \kappa} \right)^2 \right)^m |\det A_{11}| \left| \det \left( \frac{B_{22}}{x} + i \frac{C_{22}}{y} \right) \right|. \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\kappa = \max\{\kappa(B), \kappa(C)\}$  and  $x, y \in R$  are positive.

Proof. By Lemmas 2.1-2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A / A_{11} &= A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \\ &= B_{22} + iC_{22} - (B_{12}^H + iC_{12}^H)(B_{11} + iC_{11})^{-1}(B_{12} + iC_{12}) \\ &= B_{22} + iC_{22} - (B_{12}^H + iC_{12}^H)(E_k - iF_k)(B_{12} + iC_{12}). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$E_k = (B_{11} + C_{11}B_{11}^{-1}C_{11})^{-1}, \quad F_k = (C_{11} + B_{11}C_{11}^{-1}B_{11})^{-1},$$

where  $E_k$  and  $F_k$  are positive definite.

By Lemma 2.4, and the monotonicity of invertible operator inverse, we obtain

$$E_k \leq \frac{1}{2}C_{11}^{-1}, \quad F_k \leq \frac{1}{2}B_{11}^{-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $A / A_{11} = R + iS$ ,  $R = R^H$ ,  $S = S^H$ , by lemma 2.1,  $R$  and  $S$  are positive definite, by computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R &= B_{22} - B_{12}^H E_k B_{12} + C_{12}^H E_k C_{12} - B_{12}^H F_k C_{12} - C_{12}^H F_k B_{12}, \\ S &= C_{22} + B_{12}^H F_k B_{12} - C_{12}^H F_k C_{12} - C_{12}^H E_k B_{12} - B_{12}^H E_k C_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(B_{12}^H \pm C_{12}^H)F_k(B_{12} \pm C_{12}) \geq 0, \quad (B_{12}^H \pm C_{12}^H)E_k(B_{12} \pm C_{12}) \geq 0,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pm(B_{12}^H F_k C_{12} + C_{12}^H F_k B_{12}) &\leq B_{12}^H F_k B_{12} + C_{12}^H F_k C_{12}, \\ \pm(C_{12}^H E_k B_{12} + B_{12}^H E_k C_{12}) &\leq B_{12}^H E_k B_{12} + C_{12}^H E_k C_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$R + S \leq B_{22} + 2B_{12}^H F_k B_{12} + C_{22} + 2C_{12}^H E_k C_{12}. \quad (2.3)$$

Recall  $B, C$  are positive definite, by lemma 2.6, we have

$$B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{12}^H \leq \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n} \right)^2 B_{11}, \quad C_{12}C_{22}^{-1}C_{12}^H \leq \left( \frac{\lambda'_1 - \lambda'_n}{\lambda'_1 + \lambda'_n} \right)^2 C_{11}. \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  ( $\lambda'_1$  and  $\lambda'_n$ ) are the maximum eigenvalue and minimum eigenvalue of  $B$  ( $C$ ).

Then



$$B_{12}^H B_{11}^{-1} B_{12} \leq \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n} \right)^2 B_{22}, \quad C_{12}^H C_{11}^{-1} C_{12} \leq \left( \frac{\lambda'_1 - \lambda'_n}{\lambda'_1 + \lambda'_n} \right)^2 C_{22}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let  $f(z) = \left( \frac{z-1}{z+1} \right)^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ),  $f(z)$  is increasing on  $[1, \infty)$ . In general, we let  $m=l$ ,

$\lambda_j, j=1, \dots, n$  are the eigenvalues of  $B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_{22} B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , and  $B_{22}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the unique positive definite square root of  $B_{22}$ , then, we get

$$|1 + i\lambda_j| \leq |1 + \lambda_j| \leq \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \left| \frac{1}{x} + i \frac{\lambda_j}{y} \right|. \quad (2.6)$$

Set

$$\rho = 2^{\frac{1}{2}m} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{1-\kappa}{1+\kappa} \right)^2 \right)^m,$$

by lemma 2.5 and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \det \frac{A}{A_{11}} \right| &= |\det R + iS| \leq \det(R + S) \leq \det(B_{22} + 2B_{12}^H F_k B_{12} + C_{22} + 2C_{12}^H E_k C_{12}) \\ &\leq \det(B_{22} + B_{12}^H B_{11}^{-1} B_{12} + C_{22} + C_{12}^H C_{11}^{-1} C_{12}) \\ &\leq \det \left( B_{22} + C_{22} + \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_n} \right)^2 B_{22} + \left( \frac{\lambda'_1 - \lambda'_n}{\lambda'_1 + \lambda'_n} \right)^2 C_{22} \right) \\ &= \det \left( B_{22} + C_{22} + \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - 1}{\lambda_1 + 1} \right)^2 B_{22} + \left( \frac{\lambda'_1 - 1}{\lambda'_1 + 1} \right)^2 C_{22} \right) \\ &\leq \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1} \right)^2 \right)^m \det(B_{22} + C_{22}) \leq \rho |\det(B_{22} + iC_{22})| \leq \rho |\det(B_{22} + C_{22})| \\ &= \rho \left| \det B_{22}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( I + B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_{22} B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) B_{22}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| \\ &= \rho \left| \det B_{22}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| \left| \det B_{22}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| \left| \det \left( I + B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_{22} B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right| \\ &= \rho |\det B_{22}| \prod_{j=1}^m |1 + \lambda_j| \\ &\leq \rho |\det B_{22}| \prod_{j=1}^m \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \left| \frac{1}{x} + i \frac{\lambda_j}{y} \right| \\ &= \rho (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} |\det B_{22}| \left| \det \left( \frac{I}{x} + \frac{i}{y} B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_{22} B_{22}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right| \\ &= \rho (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left| \det \left( \frac{B_{22}}{x} + i \frac{C_{22}}{y} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$



where

$$\kappa = \max \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{\lambda'_n} \right) \geq 1,$$

it is the maximum condition number of  $B, C$ . Since

$$|\det A| = |\det A_{11}| \left| \det \frac{A}{A_{11}} \right|,$$

then

$$|\det A| \leq (2x^2 + 2y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}m} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{1-\kappa}{1+\kappa} \right)^2 \right)^m |\det A_{11}| \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} B_{22} + i \frac{C_{22}}{y} \\ x \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$

Therefore, we complete Theorem 1.

**Remark 1** It is clear that inequality (2.1) is an extension of inequality (1.6).

### Acknowledgments

Corresponding author: Tu yuanyuan, female, 1988, lecturer, master's degree, research direction: Algebra, Nonlinear partial differential equation. This work was supported by National natural science foundation (11601234).

### References

- [1]. Horn R A, Johnson C R. Matrix analysis [J]. Cambridge University Express, 1985.67-500.
- [2]. Ikramov K D. Determinantal inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices [J]. Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 2004, 121(4): 2458-2464.
- [3]. Lin M. Fischer type determinantal inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices [J]. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2013, 438(6): 2808-2812.
- [4]. Fu X, He C. On some Fischer-type determinantal inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrices [J]. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2013,
- [5]. Weyl H. Inequalities between the two kinds of eigenvalues of a linear transformation [J]. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 1949, 35(7): 408408-411.
- [6]. Zhang F. Equivalence of the Wielandt inequality and the Kantorovich inequality [J]. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2001, 48(3): 275-279.

