Available online www.jsaer.com

Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 2022, 9(1):177-183

Research Article

ISSN: 2394-2630
CODEN(USA): JSERBR

Supply Chain Network Design: An in-depth analysis of current trends and the supporting optimization models

Rohit Singhal

Supply chain consultant, Rudra Technologies Inc

Abstract: The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed significant vulnerabilities in global supply chains, prompting a shift from globalization to localization as companies seek to build more resilient, flexible networks. While global supply chains historically performed optimization for cost and efficiency by means of offshoring production to low-cost regions, recent disruptions have underscored the importance of agility and risk management. This paper explores the basic facets of supply chain network design (SCND) and key challenges including global disruptions, complex multi-tiered networks, and sustainability concerns. It also examines how organizations are increasingly adopting localization strategies, reshoring production, and integrating advanced supply chain design software to enhance resilience and sustainability. Real world examples from the business world exemplify the ongoing transformation in SCND, where the balance between global efficiency and local responsiveness is becoming critical in maintaining competitive advantage.

Keywords: Supply Chain Network Design, Globalization, Localization, Risk Management, Resilience, Sustainability, COVID-19

1. Introduction

Design of supply chain networks across industries has long been aligned with the primary theme of globalization, leveraging a firm’s global internal or partner footprint with a focus on offshoring to reduce costs and increase efficiency. Major corporations like Apple, Toyota, and Unilever have built complex supply chain networks that stretched across multiple continents, allowing them to take advantage of lower labor costs in regions such as China, India, and Vietnam. However, the challenges brough forth by the COVID-19 pandemic have revealed significant vulnerabilities in these global supply chains, as companies experienced severe disruptions due to factory shutdowns, border closures, and transportation delays. The crisis highlighted the fragility of extended, multi-tiered supply chains that relied heavily on distant suppliers, pushing many organizations to rethink their approach to Supply Chain Network Design (SCND).

In response, a new emphasis has emerged on localization with a focus on sourcing materials/services and manufacturing closer to the end markets. This trend has been driven by an increased need for supply chain resilience, as localized supply chains reduce lead times, improve flexibility, and enhance companies' ability to manage disruptions. Case in point being companies like Pfizer and Tesla adapt their supply chain strategies, they are reshoring production facilities and relying on regional suppliers, allowing for faster response times and increased control over critical resources. The shift also aligns with broader goals of sustainability, as localized supply chains reduce the carbon footprint associated with global transportation and help companies meet environmental regulations and consumer expectations. This paper explores the growing trend toward localization, the challenges that continue to confront global supply chains, and how advanced softwares are being leveraged to enhance risk management and resilience.

2. Supply Chain Risk Management and The Shift from Globalization to Localization

The COVID-19 pandemic has fundamentally altered the landscape of global supply chains, accelerating a shift from globalization toward localization to enhance resilience. Historically, globalization allowed businesses to benefit from offshoring manufacturing to regions with lower labor costs, such as China, Vietnam, and India. This approach maximized cost efficiency, reduced production expenses, and leveraged specialized manufacturing capabilities across different regions. Companies like Apple famously epitomized this model, building complex global supply chains that sourced components from over 43 countries and relied heavily on the assembly of products in China. This strategy worked effectively under stable global conditions but proved highly vulnerable to widespread disruptions. The pandemic exposed the fragility of these extended supply chains. Lockdowns, transportation delays, and border closures halted production lines, disrupted the flow of raw materials, and resulted in significant delays and shortages across multiple industries, including automotive, electronics, and pharmaceuticals. This reliance on global suppliers, combined with just-in-time (JIT) inventory practices, left supply chains unprepared to handle the widespread disruptions caused by the pandemic. For example, Toyota and General Motors faced significant production delays due to a global shortage of semiconductor chips, which had previously been sourced from a concentrated network of manufacturers in Asia. As a result, organizations are rethinking and re-designing their supply chain strategies and moving toward localization—the process of bringing production, sourcing, and distribution closer to end markets. This shift increases supply chain agility, shortens lead times, and offers companies greater control over critical resources [1]. Companies like Tesla have embraced this strategy by establishing Gigafactories in multiple regions, including the U.S., China, and Europe. These localized production hubs reduce Tesla's reliance on global suppliers and minimize the risk of delays caused by international trade barriers or transportation disruptions. Localization also builds resilience into supply chains by allowing organizations to respond faster to market changes and regional demand fluctuations. In addition to managing operational risks, localization also aligns with broader sustainability goals such as reduction of transportation-related carbon emissions and meeting environmental regulations and consumer expectations for more eco-friendly practices.

However, the shift towards localization has not resulted in a complete abandonment of globalization. Instead, companies are adopting hybrid models that combine the benefits of global sourcing with regional manufacturing and distribution. This hybrid approach allows organizations to maintain access to specialized materials or components from global suppliers while benefiting from the agility and speed offered by localized production. For example, General Electric (GE) and Boeing have reshored certain critical manufacturing operations back to the U.S. to ensure better quality control and reduce supply chain risks, while still leveraging global suppliers for specific, non-critical components to manage costs. As businesses continue to learn from the pandemic, the move toward localization will likely shape the future of supply chain network design. Companies will need to strike a balance between global efficiency and local resilience by integrating regional supply chains into their global networks. This approach will not only help mitigate risks but also provide the flexibility required to navigate future global disruptions while meeting the growing demand for sustainable and responsive supply chain practices.

3. Key Components of Supply Chain Network Design

The design of a well-optimized Supply Chain Network (SCN) hinges on several key components, each of which plays a critical role in balancing costs, improving service levels, managing risks, and increasing overall operational efficiency. The primary components—facility location, transportation and logistics, inventory management, and demand forecasting—are interdependent and collectively drive supply chain performance. Nowadays, advanced software and technology solutions enhance each of these components, enabling companies to streamline operations and respond to disruptions dynamically.

I. Facility Location

The choice of facility location directly affects supply chain KPIs around transportation costs, service levels, and inventory holding costs. Factors such as proximity to suppliers, customer distribution points, infrastructure quality, and labor costs are essential in determining the right locations. Facility placement is critical in industries with high service level requirements, such as e-commerce or pharmaceuticals [3]. For example, food giant Sysco strategically locates fulfillment centers near urban areas, allowing for faster delivery times and reduced logistics costs. Their choice of facility location is optimized using Supply Chain Guru by Llamasoft, a widely used tool for network modeling and optimization. In the automotive industry, Tesla has strategically placed its Gigafactories to optimize its global supply chain for battery production, considering proximity to key suppliers of lithium and other raw materials. Tesla's facility location decisions leverage tools like IBM ILOG CPLEX Optimization Studio, which uses mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) to evaluate multiple location scenarios while balancing costs and capacity constraints [2]. Other examples of software tools include Llamasoft's Network Optimization and JDA (Blue Yonder) Supply Chain Strategist which are frequently used to simulate different network configurations, taking into account business defined factors such as geopolitical risks, tariffs, and changing demand patterns.

II. Transportation and Logistics

Efficient routing and mode selection (road, air, sea, or rail) are critical for business looking to reducing both cost and delivery time especially considering that transportation accounts for a significant portion of supply chain costs. In this domain, companies leverage software like Oracle Transportation Management (OTM) and SAP Transportation Management to plan, execute, and optimize their transportation networks in real-time. For instance, DHL employs Descartes Route Planner to enhance last-mile delivery operations. This system uses AI to dynamically reroute vehicles based on real-time traffic data and delivery constraints, reducing transit times and fuel consumption. Similarly, Walmart integrates OTM for managing its vast transportation network, ensuring cost-effective movement of goods across its global distribution network.

For industries such as pharmaceuticals that require temperature-sensitive logistics or cold chain logistics, real-time monitoring of shipments is a critical factor. Leading companies invest in IoT-enabled cold chain monitoring solutions to track environmental factors like temperature, humidity, and light exposure throughout transit. These systems feed this data into broader transportation management platforms to ensure products are delivered safely within regulatory conditions. Blockchain technology-based solutions are also gaining traction in enhancing the transparency and traceability of logistics processes. IBM Food Trust, for instance, is an upcoming solution in the food industry that relies on IBM Blockchain to trace the origin and movement of products through the supply chain, ensuring food safety and compliance with regulations.

III. Inventory Management

Effective inventory management is a critical factor that businesses turn to when trying to maintain a balance between cost and service levels. Holding excess inventory can tie up working capital for a firm, while stockouts risk lost sales and customer dissatisfaction. Supply chain planning tools like Kinaxis Rapid Response and Oracle NetSuite enable real-time inventory tracking and demand synchronization, helping companies dynamically adjust inventory levels based on real-time demand fluctuations and supplier lead times. In this context, RFID based inventory solutions are quite commonplace in multiple industries. In the healthcare sector, hospitals use RFID to track critical supplies such as medications and surgical instruments, ensuring stockouts do not impact patient care. RFID-enabled systems, such as Zebra Technologies, provide real-time visibility into inventory levels, enabling hospitals to maintain the correct levels of life-saving drugs and supplies. In the fast fashion industry, Zara is popular for it's efficient management of Just-in-Time (JIT) inventory systems to maintain minimal stock at their stores while ensuring quick replenishment based on real-time sales data. SAP Extended Warehouse Management (EWM) and RFID technologies play a central role in the firm's efforts to automate inventory tracking and replenishment decisions.

IV. Demand Forecasting

Further upstream in the supply chain process, accurate demand forecasting is vital for firms to optimize inventory, reduce lead times, and improve the overall network performance. Organizations are increasingly relying on machine learning based tools to augment the business processes driven by traditional statistical methods such as time-series analysis [4]. AI-driven demand forecasting tools like Blue Yonder's Luminate Planning and SAP Integrated Business Planning (IBP) allow companies to forecast demand with greater precision by analyzing large datasets, including market trends, historical data, and external variables such as weather and economic indicators. Walmart uses AI-powered forecasting models within Blue Yonder to predict demand shifts during high-volume seasons during the holidays and subsequently adjust inventory and logistics operations. The system also consumes sales data, marketing campaigns, and social media trends to predict spikes in demand and align operations in real-time. Many companies in the pharmaceutical industry use demand forecasting tools integrated with big data analytics to predict global demand for medications and vaccines, which proved critical during the COVID-19 pandemic. Predictive models were used to allocate vaccines efficiently across regions with varying demand patterns, ensuring timely delivery and minimizing wastage.

4. Optimization Models for Supply Chain Network Design

Any SCN design team needs to understand and develop various optimization models that balance cost efficiency, service quality, and flexibility. These models help in making complex decisions about facility locations, transportation modes, inventory levels, and production schedules. Supply chain professionals often use optimization techniques like linear programming (LP), mixed-integer linear programming (MILP), stochastic models, and metaheuristics to configure supply chain networks efficiently. Let's break down the most widely used mathematical approaches.

I. Linear Programming (LP)

Linear programming is one of the foundational models used in supply chain optimization. It helps minimize costs or maximize profit by determining the optimal allocation of resources, such as transportation modes, inventory levels, and facility usage, subject to business specific constraints such as manufacturing capacity, demand, lead times etc. In an LP model, the objective function and all constraints are linear.

Mathematical Formulation: The general form of an LP for a supply chain network is depicted in the figure below

$$\min \quad Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$

Subject to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_i \leq b_j \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$$

$$x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

Where:

- Z is the objective function (e.g., minimizing transportation cost),
- x_i is the decision variable (e.g., units transported from facility i),
- c_i represents the cost associated with x_i,
- a_{ij} are the coefficients that relate x_i to the constraint b_j,
- b_j are the constraint limits (e.g., demand or capacity at facility j).

Figure 1: *LP equation*

In a multi-echelon supply chain for a global retailer like Walmart, enhanced LP models are used to minimize transportation costs while satisfying demand across multiple regions. The objective function would minimize the total shipping costs between warehouses and distribution centers, while the constraints would ensure that minimum forecasted demand in each region is met, while none of the warehouses exceeds its capacity.

II. Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP)

MILP extends LP by allowing some decision variables to take integer values. This is particularly useful in supply chain design where business may need to incorporate binary and discrete decisions such as whether to open or close a facility, or the number of transportation routes, into the model. In this way, MILP models capture the practicality of real time business scenarios that many supply chain decisions are “all-or-nothing” (e.g., opening a warehouse or not).

Mathematical Formulation: A typical MILP model has the following form

$$\min \quad Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$

Subject to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_i \leq b_j \quad \forall j$$

$$x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall i \quad \text{(integer values for facility-related decisions)}$$

Where:

- $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ can represent binary decisions, such as whether to open a facility or not.

Figure 2: *MILP equation*

III. Stochastic Models

In real time business transactions, supply chain networks often face a lot of uncertainty in demand, supply, and lead times. Stochastic optimization models take this uncertainty into account by incorporating random variables and probability distributions into the optimization process. These models help companies design robust supply chains that can perform well under a range of scenarios that the business may need to analyze to make a decision.

Mathematical Formulation: The general form of a stochastic optimization problem for a supply chain can be represented as:

$$\min \quad \mathbb{E}[Z(x, \xi)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i + \mathbb{E}[f(x, \xi)]$$

Subject to:

$$g(x, \xi) \leq b$$

Where:

- ξ represents the random variables (e.g., demand fluctuations),
- $\mathbb{E}[Z]$ is the expected value of the objective function,
- $f(x, \xi)$ is the random part of the objective function (e.g., cost associated with uncertain demand),
- $g(x, \xi)$ represents the random constraints.

Figure 3: *Stochastic model equation*

IV. Heuristic and Metaheuristic Techniques

Heuristic methods provide near-optimal solutions to complex supply chain problems when exact methods (like LP and MILP) are computationally infeasible due to the scale of the problem. Metaheuristics like Genetic Algorithms (GA), Simulated Annealing (SA), and Tabu Search (TS) are commonly used to optimize large-scale supply chain networks with many variables and constraints.

As the name suggests, genetic algorithms are based on principles of natural selection and genetics. In supply chain optimization, they are used to search for the best combination of decision variables (e.g., facility locations, routing options) by evolving solutions over iterations. In GA, an initial population of solutions is generated. The solutions evolve over multiple iterations using operators such as:

- **Selection:** Choosing the best-performing solutions based on a fitness function.
- **Crossover:** Combining parts of two solutions to create new ones.
- **Mutation:** Randomly altering parts of a solution to explore new areas of the solution space.

For example, a firm may leverage genetic algorithms to optimize its distribution networks. By encoding facility locations and transportation routes as chromosomes, GA helps solve complex routing problems that involve multiple warehouses and distribution centers. Softwares like MATLAB and Simulink can be used for implementing GAs in its large-scale supply chain networks.

Simulated annealing is more of a probabilistic technique used for approximating the global optimum of a given function which is particularly useful in supply chain design when trying to minimize costs or optimize multi-stage networks. The SA process involves iterating through possible solutions, where the algorithm accepts not only improvements, but also certain worse solutions based on a probability that decreases over time (temperature parameter).

5. Challenges and Future Directions

As supply chain networks become more global and interconnected, businesses face numerous challenges that demand innovative solutions to network design decision making. The following outlines some of the key challenges in Supply Chain Network Design (SCND) and the future directions the field is likely to take.

I. Global Supply Chain Disruptions

One of the most significant challenges in modern supply chains is the increasing frequency and severity of disruptions caused by geopolitical events, natural disasters, pandemics, and other global crises. The COVID-19 pandemic exposed vulnerabilities in supply chains across sectors like automotive, healthcare, and electronics, resulting in delays, shortages, and increased costs. Companies with heavy reliance on just-in-time (JIT) models experienced the worst disruptions, as any delay cascaded throughout their networks. In response, organizations are turning to stochastic optimization and robust optimization models, which account for the uncertainty inherent in demand, supply availability, and lead times. By simulating various disruption scenarios, companies can better prepare for worst-case outcomes and build resilience into their networks. Software like AnyLogic and IBM ILOG CPLEX helps model disruptions and enables firms to simulate alternative strategies to mitigate risks. During the pandemic, pharmaceutical manufacturers in coordination with other supply chain stakeholders restructured their vaccine distribution networks using simulation-based models that allowed them to dynamically allocate vaccines based on rapidly changing demand across different regions.

II. Complexity of Multi-Tiered Supply Chains

Managing multi-tiered, global supply chains is increasingly complex due to the large number of suppliers, logistics providers, and distributors involved. Many companies lack full visibility beyond their immediate suppliers, which leads to poor communication, increased lead times, and inefficiencies. To address this challenge, companies are increasingly adopting multi-echelon inventory optimization (MEIO), which focuses on optimizing inventory placement across different supply chain tiers, balancing costs, and service levels by leveraging tools like Llamasoft Supply Chain Guru and SAP Integrated Business Planning (IBP) support these complex optimization tasks, helping firms model their entire supply chain networks and find ways to reduce bottlenecks and delays.

III. Sustainability and Regulatory Compliance

The growing emphasis on sustainability presents both a challenge and an opportunity for supply chain network design professionals. Companies are now required to adhere to strict regulations concerning emissions, waste management, and ethical sourcing [2]. For example, the European Union (EU) mandates that manufacturers report carbon emissions and prove the ethical sourcing of materials like cobalt, which is used in battery production. To integrate sustainability into SCND, many companies are using green supply chain models which factor environmental impact into supply chain decisions, helping companies reduce their carbon footprints and comply with environmental regulations. Software like IBM Sterling and Blue Yonder now includes sustainability metrics, enabling firms to balance economic costs with environmental impact.

IV. Flexibility and Agility

The rise of e-commerce and increasing customer expectations have made flexibility and agility paramount in supply chain design. Traditional supply chains that are rigid and slow to adapt to changes in demand or market conditions are no longer viable. To remain competitive, companies are increasingly adopting dynamic optimization models that allow them to continuously adjust their supply chains based on real-time data. These dynamic models, supported by rolling-horizon approaches, enable companies to re-optimize their networks as conditions change, ensuring they can quickly respond to fluctuating demand, supply disruptions, and shifting consumer preferences. ERP based supply chain solutions provided by SAP, Kinaxis Rapid Response and Oracle NetSuite are continuously improving their products in this regard to help businesses keep pace with their dynamic supply chain optimization strategy by allowing features around flexible inventory levels and production schedules based on real-time sales data from points of sale. This may allow the business to introduce new designs to stores in record time, capitalizing on fast-changing market dynamics while minimizing stockouts and markdowns.

V. Integration of Advanced Technologies

The future of supply chain design will be driven by the integration of artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and digital twins. These technologies enhance visibility, predictive capabilities, and automation across supply chains, addressing many of the existing challenges.

6. Conclusion

The shift from globalization to localization marks a significant turning point in supply chain network design, driven largely by the vulnerabilities exposed during the COVID-19 pandemic. While global supply chains have traditionally offered cost advantages through offshoring, they have proven to be inflexible and prone to disruption in times of crisis. As a result, many companies are now embracing localization strategies that bring production and sourcing closer to their target markets, reducing dependency on long, complex supply chains and improving resilience. Supply chain network design strategy and execution will continue to be a prime area of focus for organizations as they make this shift. Through various proven tools and techniques, organizations have the capability to build scenarios, generate data and enable better decision making.

References

[1]. B. Jackson, "Coronavirus and the localization of supply chains," sustainalytics.com. https://www.sustainalytics.com/esg-research/resource/investors-esg-blog/coronavirus-and-the-localization-of-supply-chains

[2]. L. F. López-Castro and E. L. Solano-Charris, "Integrating resilience and sustainability Criteria in the supply Chain network Design. A Systematic literature review," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 19, p. 10925, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.3390/su131910925.

[3]. M. T. Melo, S. Nickel, and F. Saldanha-Da-Gama, "Facility location and supply chain management – A review," European Journal of Operational Research, vol. 196, no. 2, pp. 401–412, May 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2008.05.007.

[4]. M. C. Georgiadis, P. Tsiakis, P. Longinidis, and M. K. Sofioglou, "Optimal design of supply chain networks under uncertain transient demand variations," Omega, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 254–272, Jul. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.omega.2010.07.002.