Available online www.jsaer.com

***Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 2021, 8(4):215-217**

Research Article

ISSN: 2394-2630
CODEN(USA): JSERBR

Data Science Project Prioritization: Aligning with Business Priorities

Sowmya Ramesh Kumar

Seattle, WA
Email: rsowmyash@gmail.com

Abstract This paper provides an extensive exploration of data science prioritization methods, offering insights for practitioners and decision-makers seeking effective approaches tailored to their organizational needs. The methods examined cover diverse criteria such as business value, technical feasibility, customer satisfaction, and risk assessment. A critical evaluation of these methods underscores their strengths, limitations, and suitability in different contexts.

The significance of data science prioritization in optimizing resource allocation and aligning with organizational goals is highlighted. The evolving data science landscape necessitates a nuanced understanding of methodologies to facilitate informed decision-making. The prioritization methods, including MoSCoW, ICE Scoring, Weighted Decision Matrix, Kano Model, Eisenhower Matrix, and others, cater to various organizational requirements. This paper also delves deep into case studies of different companies to discuss examples of application of data science prioritization.

Keywords data science, prioritization, strategies, business priorities, scoring, business impact, kano, Eisenhower, success factors.

Introduction

As organizations increasingly leverage data science to extract meaningful insights, prioritizing data science projects becomes paramount for resource optimization and strategic alignment. This paper provides a comprehensive review of various data science prioritization methods, aiming to guide practitioners and decision-makers in selecting the most appropriate approach for their unique organizational contexts. The examined methods encompass diverse criteria, including business value, technical feasibility, customer satisfaction, and risk assessment. The paper critically evaluates these methods, considering their strengths, limitations, and suitability across different scenarios.

In the era of data-driven decision-making, the demand for effective data science prioritization methods has surged. This section outlines the significance of project prioritization in optimizing resource allocation and ensuring alignment with organizational goals. The evolving landscape of data science necessitates a nuanced understanding of various methodologies to facilitate informed decision-making.

Prioritization methods applicable for Data science

Business Value and Impact: Assess the potential business value and impact of each project. This method is to develop a scoring system to rank projects based on their alignment with strategic objectives, revenue generation, cost reduction, or customer satisfaction improvement.

MoSCoW Method: Must-haves, Should-haves, Could-haves, Won't-haves: Categorize projects into these four priority levels. This method is to prioritize projects that are deemed "Must-haves" for achieving business-critical objectives.
ICE Scoring: Impact, Confidence, Ease: Evaluate each project based on its potential impact, confidence in its success, and the ease of implementation. This method is to assign scores to each criterion and prioritize projects with higher total scores.
Weighted Decision Matrix: Criteria Weights: Assign weights to different criteria such as business value, technical feasibility, and resource requirements. This method is to score each project against these criteria and calculate a weighted total to determine prioritization.
Kano Model: Customer Satisfaction and Features: Classify projects based on customer satisfaction and the presence or absence of certain features. This technique is to focus on projects that introduce "delighters" or significantly impact customer satisfaction.
Eisenhower Matrix: Urgency and Importance: Categorize projects based on their urgency and importance. This approach is to prioritize projects falling into the "Important and Urgent" quadrant, and reassess others based on strategic importance.
Cost of Delay (CoD): Financial Impact and Time Sensitivity: Evaluate the cost associated with delaying each project.
Ranking: Prioritize projects with high costs of delay, especially if they align with urgent business needs.
Value vs. Effort Analysis: Value Contribution vs. Effort Required: Plot projects on a matrix based on their potential value contribution and the effort needed for implementation.
Focus: Prioritize projects that offer high value with relatively lower effort.
Opportunity Scoring: Alignment with Opportunities: Assess how well each project aligns with current market opportunities, business needs, or emerging trends.
Strategic Fit: Prioritize projects that align with strategic opportunities for the organization.
Critical Path Analysis: Dependency and Impact: Analyze project dependencies and their impact on critical paths.
Prioritize: Prioritize projects that have a significant impact on the critical path, ensuring smoother overall progress.
Risk and Uncertainty Assessment: Risk Identification and Mitigation: Evaluate projects based on potential risks and uncertainties.
Collaborative Decision-Making: Stakeholder Input: Involve key stakeholders in the decision-making process.
Consensus: Prioritize projects that receive consensus among stakeholders, ensuring broader organizational support.
Choosing the most appropriate method depends on the organization's specific goals, context, and the nature of the projects. Often, a combination of these methods or a customized approach based on organizational needs proves most effective. Regular reassessment and flexibility are key to adapting to changing business conditions and priorities.

Nexus of Data Science and Business Priorities

This section delves into the interconnected relationship between data science initiatives and business priorities. It elucidates how a symbiotic alignment fosters a more robust decision-making framework. Real-world examples showcase instances where successful integration of data science projects with business priorities resulted in enhanced outcomes, such as improved customer satisfaction, increased revenue, and streamlined operations.

Case Studies

Netflix faced the challenge of optimizing content recommendations for its vast user base. The company aimed to enhance user satisfaction and retention by delivering personalized recommendations. Netflix prioritized projects based on business goals, emphasizing improving the user experience. They employed a combination of collaborative filtering algorithms and deep learning models to understand user preferences. Projects were aligned with business priorities of increasing viewer engagement and reducing churn. The prioritization strategy resulted in a significant improvement in the accuracy of content recommendations, leading to increased user engagement and longer subscription retention.

Amazon needed to optimize its supply chain to ensure timely deliveries and minimize operational costs. The challenge included managing inventory, forecasting demand, and improving logistics. Amazon used a combination of predictive analytics and machine learning to prioritize projects. Business priorities, such as reducing delivery times and minimizing stockouts, guided the selection of projects related to demand forecasting and warehouse management. By aligning data science projects with business priorities, Amazon achieved a more efficient supply chain, reduced shipping times, and improved overall customer satisfaction.

While specific case studies on Microsoft's internal data science prioritization are not publicly disclosed, Microsoft is known for using data science across various domains. For instance, in optimizing Azure Cloud Services, they employ customer feedback analysis, predictive maintenance, resource optimization, and security threat detection. These efforts contribute to improved customer satisfaction, minimized downtime, cost-efficient resource allocation, and enhanced security in their cloud services. However, detailed case studies with specific projects and outcomes remain proprietary.

Conclusion

In the era of data-driven decision-making, selecting the right data science prioritization method is crucial for organizational success. The reviewed methods offer diverse perspectives, emphasizing business value, customer satisfaction, and risk mitigation. However, there is no one-size-fits-all solution, and the choice of method depends on the organization's unique context.

Real-world examples from Netflix and Amazon illustrate the practical application of these methods, showcasing the positive impact of aligning data science projects with business priorities. While Microsoft's case studies remain proprietary, the examples provided underscore the significance of integrating data science initiatives with overarching business goals.

This paper serves as a valuable resource for decision-makers navigating the complexities of data science prioritization, emphasizing the need for a tailored, adaptable approach that aligns with organizational objectives. Regular reassessment and flexibility are key components in navigating the dynamic landscape of data science and business integration.

References

[1]. Martinez, I., Viles, E., & Olaizola, I. G. (2021, December). A survey study of success factors in data science projects. In 2021 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data) (pp. 2313-2318). IEEE.

[2]. Eckert, K. B., & Ver, P. (2021). Proposed extended analytic hierarchical process for selecting data science methodologies. Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 21(1), e6-e6.

[3]. D. Pyle, Business Modeling and Data Mining, 1st ed. San Francisco, CA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., 2003.

[4]. P. Chapman et al., “CRISP-DM 1.0: Step-by-Step Data Mining Guide.” Edited by SPSS, 2000.

[5]. S. Martins, P. Pesado, and R. García Martínez, “Propuesta de Modelo de Procesos para una Ingeniería de Explotación de Información: MoProPEI,” Revista Latinoamericana de Inge