Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 2021, 8(1):196-202



Review Article

ISSN: 2394-2630 CODEN(USA): JSERBR

Determination of Grain Losses on Combine Harvester

Mustafa Ahmed Jalal Al-Sammarraie*, Saba Abdulaziz Alhadithi

Department of Agricultural Machinery and Equipment, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

* Corresponding Author Email: mustafa.ahm@coagri.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Abstract In this study, an explanation of how to determine the values of the harvesting losses was given, for the harvested crops resulting from using the combine harvester according to the old traditional methods and modern methods. The way of how the maps of the grain losses are obtained and evaluated was discussed. The traditional method of measuring grain loss is time-consuming, labor-expensive, tedious and is costly in terms of economic aspects. When determining grain loss by modern methods, efficiency increases and grain loss reduces through immediate intervention to fix the problem. The Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to determine the location of the loss in the field, hence by using a group of sensors to measure the grain loss and correlate these values with the location, a grain loss variance map can be drawn by the GIS program. By using and developing this technology, it will be possible to carry out harvesting operations more easily and at a lower cost.

Keywords combine harvester, grain losses, Global Positioning System, GIS program

1. Introduction

Grains, especially wheat, are one of the main materials in the people's diet, thus it constitutes a strategic crop. As a result of the sharp increase in the population, its consumption is constantly increasing. Therefore, it is a priority to take it into account to increase the effort of yield production by reducing losses which result in selfsufficiency. An enormous range of crops is harvested from oilseeds, grasses, and alfalfa seeds using mechanical harvesters [1]. Agricultural mechanization, which is widely used at different stages of agricultural production, including production, harvesting and threshing processes is becoming increasingly important [2]. In addition to the scientific studies conducted to increase productivity, the crop must be harvested with fewer losses and nevertheless shorter time. During harvesting, a part of the crop is lost by using the harvester installed in the field as a loss of grain, thus the effort to increase the yield is wasted to some extent. Yield losses cannot be reduced to zero, but the loss rate can be reduced to an acceptable level through appropriate adjustments to units of cutting, threshing, separation and cleaning depending on the characteristics of the crop [3-4]. Since there is a significant proportion of losses of wheat produced during harvest, the losses incurred by using the combine harvester are one of the main concerns in reducing the percentage of waste [5]. The accepted amount of yield loss is 4-5% worldwide [6]. Grain loss occurs in four main units of the combine harvester that operate simultaneously during harvesting. These units are cutting, threshing, separator and cleaning units [7]. The event of waste in cereal crops during automatic harvesting is one of the important economic problems, and the importance of researching it and reducing its risk increases if we know that the rate of growth in production for the global agricultural sector did not reach 2% at the time when the percentage of losses during automatic harvesting reached 35% [8]. The greatest loss is concentrated in the automatic harvesting with the wrong performance in terms of adjusting and calibration of the units that are operating in the harvester. Thus the farmer does not take into account the importance of these variables. furthermore, there is a group of interaction factors that lead to the

reduction of the efficiency of the harvester performance includes, including the nature of the field, the type of crop, the variety, the degree of maturity and angle of inclination, etc. [9].

This study aims to Acquaint with the methods of measuring the loss of grains in the combined harvester, starting from the traditional method up to the modern technologies that depend on the precision farming system.

2. Type of losses

There are different types of grain losses in the field before and during the combining of crops. Moisture contents at the time of harvesting affect the grain losses. At low moisture content, grain losses are pre-harvest shattering loss, cutter bar loss and more breakage of grain. At low moisture, the straw is broken finely by the cylinder and more material flows to the sieve resulting in a separation problem. There is a risk of natural hazards like rain and hailstorm, which also leads to the lodging of the crop. Due to delay in harvesting, more weed growth takes place that causes choking of the combine. At high moisture content, grains are badly damaged by the cylinder action. The threshing is poor and good cleaning is also a problem. This leads to higher cylinder loss and lower cleaning efficiency. The grains get stuck to moist straw and are carried away with straw and chaff. There might be a choking problem at different stages in the combination due to high moisture content. The combined losses should be a maximum of 2.5% for wheat, paddy and gram and 4.0% for soybean [10].

Losses, with the best combine adjustments, will vary greatly depending upon the type's variety and the condition of the crop. Total losses in a clean crop of wheat oats and barley will vary from 1% to 4% of total yield. Under good harvesting conditions, the total loss should not be more than 1.5% [10].

- 1. Cutter bar loss 0.5 to 2%.
- 2. Cylinder loss 0.5 to 1%.
- 3. Straw walkway loss 0.2 to 0.4%.
- 4. Upper sieve loss 0.2 to 0.4%.

3. Measuring grain losses

The difference between the quantity of grain in the field (field productivity) and the amount of the harvested grain (machine productivity) constitutes the loss of grain in the harvest. When determining grain losses in the field, total losses are generally taken into account. There are electrical measuring devices and systems that determine productivity instantly, in addition to the measurement methods followed with simple methods such as frames, framed fabric, and covers [11].

4. Methods used to measure grain losses

Method of three-quarters of a square meter

When measuring grain losses, it is necessary to know the field yield per Dakar (kg / Dakar) (Hectares = 10 Dakar), or the weight of 1,000 grains per unit ofarea. The main purpose of the method used in measurement is to find the quantity of the lost grain per unit of area in percentage. The three-quarters of a square meter method is used because it is easy to apply and calculate in a short time.

In this method, the measurement is taken with frames of 50 x 50 cm of a quarter square meter (0.25 m^2) . These frames are placed in the harvested places behind the combine harvester (the positions through which the two crop determining dividers plate, on both sides and in the center of the collected straw path). When placing the frames, the crop divider trace is found first. Then the frames are placed in the locations of the trace to determine the harvest on both sides at a distance of 5 cm to the outside, as shown in Figure 1. To find the rate of loss, all the ears are collected from the inside of the frame, rubbed and their grains are collected with the grains scattered inside the frame. The grains collected from inside the frame are multiplied by the weight of a thousand grains and are measured in units of kilograms. The weight of the grain is multiplied by a constant of 133 (the number which equates to three-quarters of a square meter to one square meter) afterward dividing it by the field productivity. Grain loss is given as a percentage, and grain loss is calculated using the following concept [11]:





 $A = \frac{1000}{1000}$ Lossofgrain % = $\frac{133*A}{Q_t}$ 2

A: Total grain loss (grams).

a: Loss of grain in the left frame (grams).

b: Loss of grain in the right frame (grams).

c: Loss of grains in the middle frame (grams).

 Q_t : Average field productivity (kg / Dakar).

133: The number that equals three-quarters of a square meter to one square meter.

Field productivity

Field productivity is measured before harvesting using a frame of $1m^2$. Samples are taken from several different places in the field productivity is calculated based on the following equation [12-13]:

$$Q_t = \frac{q_t}{n}$$
3

 Q_t : Field Productivity (kg / Dakar).

 q_t : Total grain yield of the samples taken (g / m²).

n: the number of samples taken in the field.

The loss percentage using the previous equation for a field yield of 250 kg / Dakar, the weight of a thousand grains is 45 g and the weight of the grains taken from the frames is 120 g is estimated at 2.9%.

Also, charts have been developed to provide practical use of this method. With this method, the same rates can be found easily without any calculation in field conditions. Figure 2 shows the diagram developed for this purpose [14].



	Field Yield		125 kg/da				150 kg/da				200 kg/da				250 kg/da				300 kg/da				350 kg/da				400 kg/da				500 kg/da				600 kg/da				700 kg/da			
	1000 D.a.	35	40g	45g	50g	35g	40g	45g	50g	35g	40g	45g	50g	35g	40	45g	i0g	35g	40g	45g	50g	35g	40g	45g	50g	35g	40g	45g	50g	35g	40g	45g	50g	35g	40g	45g	50g	35g	40g	45g	50g	
es	20	0,7	0,9	1,0	1,1	0,6	0,7	0,8	0,9	0,5	0,5	0,6	0,7	0,4	0,4	05	0,5	0,3	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,4	0,2	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,3	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,2	0,1	0,2	0,2	0,2	
	40	1,5	1,7	1,9	2,1	1,2	1,4	1,6	1,8	0,9	1,1	1,2	1,3	0,7	0.9	10	1,1	0,6	0,7	0,8	0,9	0,5	0,6	0,7	0,8	0,5	0,5	0,6	0,7	0,4	0,4	0,5	0,5	0,3	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,4	
	60	2,2	2,6	2,9	3,2	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	1,4	1,6	1,8	2,0	1,1	1,3	14	1,6	0,9	1,1	1,2	1,3	0,8	0,9	1,0	1,1	0,7	0,8	0,9	1,0	0,6	0,6	0,7	0,8	0,5	0,5	0,6	0,7	0,4	0,5	0,5	0,6	
	80	3,0	3,4	3,8	4,3	2,5	2,8	3,2	3,5	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	1,5	1,7	19	2,1	1,2	1,4	1,6	1,8	1,1	1,2	1,4	1,5	0,9	1,1	1,2	1,3	0,7	0,9	1,0	1,1	0,6	0,7	0,8	0,9	0,5	0,6	0,7	0,8	
	10	3,7	4,3	4,8	5,3	3,1	3,5	4,0	4,4	2,3	2,7	3,0	3,3	1,9	2,1	24	2,7	1,6	1,8	2,0	2,2	1,3	1,5	1,7	1,9	1,2	1,3	1,5	1,7	0,9	1,1	1,2	1,3	0,8	0,9	1,0	1,1	0,7	0,8	0,9	1,0	
	12		6,1	5,7	6,1	3,7	4,3	1,0	5,3	2,0	3,2	3,6	1,0	2,2	2,6	2,9	3,2	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	1,6	1,8	2,1	2,3	1,4	1,6	1,8	2,0	1,1	1,3	1,4	1,6	0,9	1,1	1,2	1,3	0,8	0,9	1,0	1,1	
Ξ	14	5,2	6,0	6,7	7,4	4,3	5,0	5,6	6,2	3,3	3,7	4,2	4,7	2,6	3,0	3,4	3,8	2,2	2,5	2,8	3,1	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	1,6	1,9	2,1	2,3	1,3	1,5	1,7	1,9	1,1	1,2	1,4	1,6	0,9	1,1	1,2	1,3	
The Total Number of Grains in Three Fra	16	6,0	6,8	7,7	8,5	5,0	5,7	6,4	7,1	3,7	4,3	4,8	5,3	3,0	3,4	3,8	4,3	2,5	2,8	3,2	3,5	2,1	2,4	2,7	3,0	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	1,5	1,7	1,9	2,1	1,2	1,4	1,6	1,8	1,1	1,2	1,4	1,5	
	18	6,7	7,7	8,6	9,6	5,6	6,4	7,2	8,0	4,2	4,8	5,4	6,0	3,4	3,8	4,3	4,8	2,8	3,2	3,6	4,0	2,4	2,7	3,1	3,4	2,1	2,4	2,7	3,0	1,7	1,9	2,2	2,4	1,4	1,6	1,8	2,0	1,2	1,4	1,5	1,7	
	20	7,4	8,5	9,6	10, 6	6,2	7,1	8,0	8,9	4,7	5,3	6,0	6,7	3,7	4,3	4,8	5,3	3,1	3,6	4,0	4,4	2,7	3,0	3,4	3,8	2,3	2,7	3,0	3,3	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	1,6	1,8	2,0	2,2	1,3	1,5	1,7	1,9	
	22	8,2	9,4	10,	11, 7	6,8	7,8	8,8	9,6	5,1	5,9	6,6	7,3	4,1	4,7	5,3	5,9	3,4	3,9	4,4	4,9	2,9	3,3	3,8	4,2	2,6	2,9	3,3	3,7	2,0	2,3	2,6	2,9	1,7	2,0	2,2	2,4	1,5	1,7	1,9	2,1	
	24	8,9	10,	11.	12,	7,4	8,5	9,6	10, 6	5,6	6,4	7,2	8,0	4,5	5,1	5,7	6,4	3,7	4,3	4,8	5,3	3,2	3,6	4,1	4,6	2,8	3,2	3,6	4,0	2,2	2,6	2,9	3,2	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	1,6	1,8	2,1	2,3	
	26	9,7	11	12,	13,	8,1	3,2	10,	11,	6,1	6,9	7,8	8,6	4,8	5,5	6,2	6,9	4,0	4,6	5,2	5,8	3,5	4,0	4,4	4,9	3,0	3,5	3,9	4,3	2,4	2,8	3,1	3,5	2,0	2,3	2,6	2,9	1,7	2,0	2,2	2,5	
	28	10.	11,	13,	14,	8,7	9,9	11,	12,	6,5	7,4	8,4	9,3	5,2	6,0	6,7	7,4	4,3	5,0	5,6	6,2	3,7	4,3	4,8	5,3	3,3	3,7	4,2	4,7	2,6	3,0	3,4	3,7	2,2	2,5	2,8	3,1	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,7	
	30	11.	12,	14,	16,	9,3	10,	12,	13,	7,0	8,0	9,0	10, 0	5,6	6,4	7,2	8,0	4,7	5,3	6,0	6,6	4,0	4,6	5,1	5,7	3,5	4,0	4,5	5,0	2,8	3,2	3,6	4,0	2,3	2,7	3,0	3,3	2,0	2,3	2,6	2,9	
	32	12	13,	15,	17,	10, 1	11.	13. 0	14.	7,6	8,6	9,7	10, 8	6,1	6,9	7,8	8,6	5,0	5,8	6,5	7,2	4,3	4,9	5,6	6,2	3,8	4,3	4,9	5,4	3,0	3,5	3,9	4,3	2,5	2,9	3,2	3,6	2,2	2,5	2,8	3,1	
	35	13.	14,	16, 8	18,	10, 9	12,	14, 0	15,	8,1	9,3	10, 5	11,	6,5	7,4	8,4	9,3	5,4	6,2	7,0	7,8	4,7	5,3	6,0	6,7	4,1	4,7	5,2	5,8	3,3	3,7	4,2	4,7	2,7	3,1	3,5	3,9	2,3	2,7	3,0	3,3	
	37	14	16,	18,	20, 0	11. 6	13, 3	15, 0	16 <u>.</u> 6	8,7	10, 0	11, 2	12,	7,0	8,0	9,0	10, 0	5,8	6,7	7,8	8,3	5,0	5,7	6,4	7,1	4,4	5,0	5,6	6,2	3,5	4,0	4,5	5,0	2,9	3,3	3,7	4,2	2,5	2,9	3,2	3,6	
	40	14	17,	19,	21,	12,	14, 2	16, 0	17,	9,3	10, 6	12,	13, 3	7,4	8,5	9,6	10, 6	6,2	7,1	8,0	8,9	5,3	6,1	6,8	7,6	4,7	5,3	6,0	6,6	3,7	4,3	4,8	5,3	3,1	3,5	4,0	4,4	2,7	3,0	3,4	3,8	
	42:	15.	18,	20, 3	22. 6	13, 2	15, 1	17, 0	18, 8	9,9	11. 3	12, 7	14, 1	7,9	9,0	10, 2	11, 3	6,6	7,5	8,5	9,4	5,7	6,5	7,3	8,1	4,9	5,7	6,4	7,1	4,0	4,5	5,1	5,7	3,3	3,8	4,2	4,7	2,8	3,2	3,6	4,0	
	45	16	19,	21,	23. 9	14. 0	16, 0	18, 0	20, 0	10, 5	12. 0	13,	15, 0	8,4	9,6	10. 8	12, 0	7,0	8,0	9,0	10. 0	6,0	6,8	7,7	8,6	5,2	6,0	6,7	7,5	4,2	4,8	5,4	6,0	3,5	4,0	4,5	5,0	3,0	3,4	3,8	4,3	
	50	18.	21,	23, 9	26. 6	15, 5	17. 7	20, 0	22, 2	11, 6	13, 3	15. 0	16, 6	9,3	10, 6	12, 0	13, 3	7,8	8,9	10, 0	11. 1	6,7	7,6	8,6	9,5	5,8	6,7	7,5	8,3	4,7	5,3	6,0	6,7	3,9	4,4	5,0	5,5	3,3	3,8	4,3	4,8	

Figure 2: Graph of measuring grain loss

The following method can be applied in determining the productivity and the quantity of loss at each point of the field.

In recent years, applied precision agricultural technology or precision farming has become more popular among researchers. Precision agriculture is done using satellites, sensors and maps. The aim is to control all inputs in the field productivity to reduce environmental waste and increase revenues [15]. A productivity map can be prepared during harvest. Yield is measured and recorded continuously during harvest. These systems usually record each data point separately. It is also possible to determine the harvested area. If the yield value determined by this method is combined with site data, productivity maps can be generated for the specific unit area. In determining the time being yield value, the yield quantity sensor, the crop moisture content sensor, the feed rate sensor, the differential global positioning system (DGPS) with error correction, the control of the start and end of the harvest, the internal temperature, the grain loss sensor, the working width sensor, the density sensor. Product, Computer, Monitor, PCMCIA, Personal computer memory cards and mapping software are used [16].

One of the components of a productivity monitoring system that is included in data collection techniques, which is one of the first aforementioned parts of precision farming is the product loss sensors. Figure 3 shows the sensor positions used to measure harvest losses. Accordingly, there are two sensors in the grain loss measuring system, one is below the straw walkway and the other is below the upper sieve.



Figure 3: Positions of the grain loss measurement sensors

These sensors convert the sound effect of impacting with the grains into frequency signals [7]. Signal height is considered to represent agrain returning to the field as a harvesting loss. This frequency signal is converted into a grain loss value with a suitable electronic circuit along with the necessary calculations [17].

A grain loss monitoring kit is provided to be installed on the combined harvesters for sensitive detection of grain loss. Figure 4 shows the parts that constitute the electronic grain loss measurement model [18]:

- 1. Monitor.
- 2. The straw walkway sensor.
- 3. The upper sieve sensor.
- 4. Speed sensor.
- 5. Connections.



Figure 4: The parts that constitute the grain loss monitoring kit [18]

If the functions of the buttons and indicators of the grain loss monitoring are shown in Figure are explained; an indicator with green and red bars on the monitor is present. The green bar in this indicator denotes an acceptable grain loss, while the red bar denotes an excessive grain loss.

The data needed to create the implementation plans are collected. This stage includes techniques such as yield monitoring and mapping. Data processing and decision making is the second stage and it is implemented using Geographic Information Systems (GIS). Data on the field and crop characteristics obtained using GIS are

collected; the data is subject to processing, storage and analysis processes for decision-making purposes. By the virtue of this technology, variable quantities of agricultural inputs are applied to each point of the field according to needs and decisions made using geographic information systems. The two pictures show (figure 5) a map of variation in grain loss for specific areas in the field using modern technology based on loss sensors for two consecutive years.



Figure 5: A map of variation in grain losses for two different years [7]

5. Conclusions

The traditional grain loss measurement method used in practice is time-consuming, labor costing and at the same time tedious and costly in terms of economic aspects for operators and controllers. By sensitively determining grain loss, increases efficiency and prevents loss of labor, time and economy through immediate operator intervention in the cause of the loss. A yield control system is a component of precision farming that measures yield coefficients at the right point and at the right time. In combination with geographic information systems, useful images of field and yield conditions can be created. When the information resulting from yield monitoring is adequately interpreted, it provides proper decisions in real-time harvesting of the crop or carrying out operations such as fertilization at a variable rate. It is important to investigate the causes of variation and provide important data for agricultural practices in the coming years.

References

- [1]. Kutz, M., 2007, Handbook of farm, dairy, and food machinery, William Andrew.
- [2]. Tandon, S. K., Sirohi, B. S., & PB, S. S. (1988). Threshing efficiency of pulses using step-wise regression technique. Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa, and Latin America, 19(3), 55-57.
- [3]. Sessiz, A., Pekitkan, F. G., & Turgut, M. M. (2006). Hasat Kayıpları, Nedenleri, Ölçme Yöntemleri ve Azaltma Yolları. Tarımsal Mekanizasyon, 23, 6-8.
- [4]. Jung, R. (1981). Measuring Soybean Harvesting Losses. Factsheet. Ministry Of Agriculture. Food and Rural Affairs.
- [5]. Tavasoli, A., 2002, Investigation on the effect of speed and reel type indexes of combine on losses while reaping wheat through design and developing reel speed control mechanism, M. Sc. Thesis, Tarbiat Modares University, Iran.
- [6]. Karaj, I. (2011). Investigation on grain losses of the JD 1165 combine harvester equipped with variable pulley and belt for forward travel. American Journal of Food Technology, 6(4), 314-321.
- [7]. Eroğlu, M. C., 2010, Konya bölgesinde kullanılan biçerdöverlerde hassas tarım teknolojileri yardımıyla dane kayıplarının denetlenmesi imkânlarının araştırılması, Selçuk Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü.
- [8]. Hadithi, Suda sabit (1998). The economic importance of lost wheat and barley crops during the marketing process in Iraq, Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, Volume 29, Issue 1



- [9]. Al-Jubouri, Abdul Amir Nasser Hussein (2000). The technical-economic study of wheat loss during mechanical harvest in irrigated areas - Al-Musayyib Al-Kabeer Project, Applied Model, Master Thesis, College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
- [10]. Dixit, A., Singh, S., (1981). Farm Machinery and Equipment II.
- [11]. BARAN, M. F., ÜLGER, P., Kayişoğlu, B., & Kayişoğlu, B. (2012). Kanola Hasadında Kullanılan Tablanın Hasat Kayıpları Üzerine Etkisi. Tekirdağ Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi, 9(3), 35-44.
- [12]. Ülger, P. (1982). Buğday Hasat Harmanında Uygulanan Değişik Mekanizasyon Sistemlerinin Tane Ürün Kayıplarına Etkileri. Hasat Öncesi ve Hasat Sonrası Ürün Kayıpları Seminer Bildirileri, 13-17.
- [13]. Avcı, G. G. (1997). Biçerdöverle Ayçiçeği Hasadında Kayıpların Saptanması Üzerine Bir Araştırma. Yüks ek Li s ans Tezi, TÜ Fen Bi li ml eri Ens ti tüs ü, Teki rda ğ.
- [14]. Anonymous, 2011, Biçerdöverle Hasat 525MT0253, Millî Eğitim Bakanliği.
- [15]. Larscheid, G., & Blackmore, B. S. (1996, January). Interactions between farm managers and information systems with respect to yield mapping. In Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Precision Agriculture (pp. 1153-1163). Madison, WI, USA: American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America.
- [16]. Akdemir, B., & Blackmore, S. (2004). Verim Haritası Hazırlama Üzerine Bir Araştırma. Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 10(01), 38-44.
- [17]. Reed, W. B., Grovum, M. A., & Krause, A. E. (1969). Combine harvester grain loss monitor. Agr Eng.
- [18]. Anonymous, 2014, Entry 6510 Capacity Monitor Operators Manual & Fitting Instructions.