Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 2019, 6(4):57-63



**Research Article** 

ISSN: 2394-2630 CODEN(USA): JSERBR

Export- and Storage Potentiaility Improvement of Murcott Mandarins by Chitosan and Salicylic Acid

# A. M. Abo- Mostafa, H. A. Kassem\*, H. A. Marzouk, Y. S. Mostafa

Department of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University, P. O. Box. 21545 Alexandria, Egypt \*hkassem60@yahoo.com

Abstract The present study was conducted during 2015 and 2016 seasons to study the effect of postharvest chitosan and salicylic acid either alone or in combination dipping on fruit chemical quality attributes and exportand storability after 4 weeks (for simulating export conditions) and 10 weeks (simulating storage conditions) of cold storage at  $7\pm 2^{\circ}$ C and 85-90 % RH of Murcott mandarin fruits. The experimental design was RCBD analysed by one-way analysis of variance. Obtained results showed that chitosan and salicylic acid applied either alone or in combinations generally maintained the fruit acidity, TSS and carotenes percent, V.C, pH, and TSS/acidity after 4 and 10 weeks during both seasons. In the meantime, all postharvest dipping treatments enhanced fruits export- and storagability as it decreased the percentages of fruit weight loss, decay, unmarketable and total quantitative losses and electrolyte leakage percent during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons.

# Keywords agrochemicals, exportability, postharvest, storagability, quality

#### Introduction

Mandarins are highly perishable citrus fruits that must be harvested at full maturity to achieve maximum quality in terms of visual appearance, texture, flavor and nutritional value which should be maintained until the final consumption. For instance, maintaining vitamin C content in fruits after harvest is crucial for human health, due to its antioxidant function and also due to human disability of vitamin C synthesis [1]. Harvested fruits however, faces several physiological disorders mainly weight and dry matter losses due to respiration, as well as fruit decay due to pathogens attack which have negative influences on the fruit store- and marketability. Mandarins are the second main citrus fruits produced in Egypt and suffer from such postharvest disorders.

Giovannoni [2] stated that although citrus fruits are non-climacteric and ethylene is not required for the coordination of its ripening, ethylene would have obvious impact on the fruit peel senescence process and thus fruit deterioration after harvest. Tumminelli et al. [3] recorded ethylene increases in the albedo tissues of mandarin fruits contributing to the weakening of peel structure and leading to rind senescence and to final demise of fruits. Accordingly, delaying rind senescence and decreasing pathological disorders is a main object for the maintenance of the postharvest quality characteristics and for the extension of the postharvest life of the fruits. In the last decades, next to cold storage, synthetic fungicides were often used to preserve fruit quality characteristics after harvest. Synthetic fungicides nowadays are not appreciable to be applied in the postharvest handling process and more restrictions on their applications to the fresh product are made. This is not only because of the emergence of new race of pathogens diversity as indicated by Sompong et al. [4], but also to the public concern about food safety on human health and environment. Therefore, interests are being tried to find effective alternatives to fungicides in order to control fruit postharvest diseases and to enhance the shelf life.

Additionally, Halliwell and Gutteridge [5] reported that fruit ripening and senescence is directly associated with reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide radical ( $O^{2-}$ ), hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) and hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup>-</sup>) accumulation leading to membrane deterioration, lipid peroxidation, DNA mutation and ultimately economical quality and quantity losses. To overcome oxidative stress and delay fruits deterioration, and to, maintain the fruit sensory and nutritional quality after harvest, fruits cells employ an antioxidant system [6] and an effective antioxidant system in fruits could be achievable by treating the fruits with environmentally friendly safe compounds such as chitosan and salicylic acid [7-8].

Chitosan is reported as an alternative to synthetic fungicides for preventing fruit postharvest decay, extending fruit storage life and retaining the overall postharvest fresh fruit quality [9]. It has been approved by the United State Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) as a Generally Retained as Safe (GRAS) food additive. Postharvest application of chitosan and salicylic acid are evident in inducing fruit resistance and the inhibitory effects against fungal pathogens during storage as reported by Liu et al. [10]. Salicylic acid has been indicated to interfere with ethylene signaling and to inhibit the perception of exogenous ethylene which is known to be the primitive influence of the fruit senescence process and thus slowing down fruit senescence and extend its postharvest life [11].

In this view, the present study was conducted to investigate the effect of postharvest dipping application of chitosan and salicylic acid applied either alone or in combinations on the fruit transport- and storagability, as well as quality attributes maintenance especially the nutritive value of Murcott mandarins which is becoming nowadays the most planted cultivar in Egypt for internal and external marketing because of its high appearance and internal quality compared to the common local cultivar the Baladi mandarin.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Experimental site and plant materials

The present study was carried out during 2015 and 2016 seasons on Murcott mandarin fruits (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) from 4-5-year trees budded on Volkameriana rootstock grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation system in a private orchard in Al-Hammam area, Marsa Matrouh governorate. Uniform as possible fruits (500 Kg) sorted based on size, color and free of physical injuries or disease infection were manually harvested at the commercial harvest date (end of February) The fruits were collected in filed plastic boxes then transported immediately to the postharvest laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University.

#### Treatments and statistical design

Murcott mandarin fruits were washed and disinfected with a 100-ppm chlorine solution for 10 minutes at  $30 \pm 2$  °C, air dried and sorted to remove any unsuitable fruits (injured and discolored). Selected fruits were divided into 9 groups presenting the treatments with 4 replicates /treatment. The fruits of each group were dipped for 3-4 minutes in water, chitosan at 3 and 6 g 1<sup>-1</sup> in the first season and 2 and 4 g 1<sup>-1</sup> in the second one (Chi<sub>1</sub> and Chi<sub>2</sub>, respectively) and salicylic acid at 2 and 4 g 1<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons (SA<sub>1</sub> and SA<sub>2</sub>, respectively) either alone or in combinations. Treated fruits were left to dry, placed in plastic boxes ( $40 \times 30 \times 15$  cm) and laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in the storage room at 7± 2°C and 85-90 % RH either for 4 (simulating transport conditions) and 10 (simulating storage conditions) weeks.

#### Fruit chemical characteristics

Fruit chemical characteristics were determined in a sample of 20 fruits per replicate taken after 4 and 10 weeks of cold storage, respectively. Fruit juice total soluble solids content (TSC%) recorded using a hand refractometer model ATAGO, model. N-1e. Japan and fruit pH by hand pH meter model PNS044 Hanna. Titratable acidity expressed as citric acid (g/100 ml juice) determined by titration with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide using phenolphthalein dye, and vitamin C (mg VC/100 ml juice) by titration with 2, 6-dichlorophenol-indophenol dye according to A.O.A.C. [12] and t total juice carotenes content measured at 460 nm according to Britton et al. [13].



#### Fruit export - and storagability

In addition to the percentage of fruit peel electrolyte leakage (EL%) determined according to Whilton et al, [14] the percentages of fruit physiological weight loss, decay, unmarketable fruits and total quantitative losses were estimated during 4 and 10 weeks of cold storage in order to express the fruit export- and storagability, respectively as follow: A sample of 10 fruits per replicate was taken, put in a plastic mesh bag and weighed at 0, 4 and 10 weeks and the physiological weight loss was calculated. Decayed fruits were separated by visual observations every week during cold storage and the percentage of fruit decay was calculated.

Also, any fruits that not acceptable for marketing including decayed, shriveled, pitted, browned were recorded in order to calculate the percentage of unmarketable fruits. Finally, total quantitative losses percent was calculated by adding percentages of unmarketable fruits plus physiological fruit weight loss.

## Statistical analysis

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was set to investigate the effect of the different treatments on the measured parameters either after 4 (exportability) or 10 (storagability) weeks. Treatments means were separated and compared according to Snedecor and Cochran [15] using the least significant differences (LSD) at 0.05 level of significance. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Analysis System) version 18, 2016.

## Results

## Fruit export- and storagability

Results presented in Tables 1 and 2 showed that all treatments, except SA<sub>1</sub> significantly maintained (2015) or decreased (2016) fruit electrolyte leakage during 4 weeks cold storage. Also, significant reduction in leakage percent occurred after 10 weeks cold storage by  $Chi_1 \times SA_1$  and  $Chi_1 \times SA_2$  (both seasons) and by SA<sub>2</sub>,  $Chi_1$  and  $Chi_2$  (2016).

All treatments were effective in decreasing fruit weight loss during 4 and 10-weeks cold storing. The  $SA_2$  treatment indicated the least weight loss percentage during 4 weeks (both seasons) and 10 weeks (2016), while, the  $SA_1$  treatment revealed the least weight loss percent during 10 weeks cold storage (2015) compared to all other treatments.

In general, all treatments significantly decreased the percentage of fruit decay during 4 and 10 weeks of cold storage. Meanwhile, no decay incidence occurred by  $Chi_1 \times SA_1$ ,  $Chi_2 \times SA_1$  and  $Chi_2 \times SA_2$  after 4 weeks and the least decay percentage during 10 weeks obtained by dipping in  $Chi_2 \times SA_1$ . Nevertheless, unmarketable fruits and total quantitative losses percentages were clearly decreased by all treatments during 4- and 10-weeks cold storage and in general, the treatments did not show significant difference among each other.

Treatments	Electrolyte		Weight loss %		Decay %		Un-marketable		Total losses %			
	leaka	age%						%				
Weeks	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10		
Control	54.68 <sup>b</sup>	61.74 <sup>ab</sup>	6.89 <sup>a</sup>	$18.76^{a}$	$1.14^{a}$	8.53 <sup>a</sup>	5.64 <sup>a</sup>	25.17 <sup>a</sup>	8.65 <sup>a</sup>	43.94 <sup>a</sup>		
$SA_1$	58.20 <sup>a</sup>	58.53 <sup>bc</sup>	6.04 <sup>abc</sup>	$12.84^{f}$	$0.24^{bc}$	2.21 <sup>cd</sup>	$1.07^{b}$	$7.78^{b}$	8.36 <sup>ab</sup>	23.07 <sup>bc</sup>		
$SA_2$	55.32 <sup>ab</sup>	59.97 <sup>cbc</sup>	5.21 <sup>c</sup>	15.29 <sup>bc</sup>	$0.41^{bc}$	$2.55^{cd}$	$0.95^{b}$	$7.59^{b}$	6.29 <sup>cd</sup>	22.88 <sup>bc</sup>		
Chi <sub>1</sub>	54.90 <sup>ab</sup>	60.86 <sup>abc</sup>	5.58 <sup>c</sup>	15.09 <sup>bc</sup>	0.43 <sup>bc</sup>	4.32 <sup>b</sup>	0.51 <sup>b</sup>	8.73 <sup>b</sup>	6.73 <sup>cd</sup>	23.82 <sup>b</sup>		
Chi <sub>2</sub>	57.68 <sup>ab</sup>	59.7 <sup>8bc</sup>	5.71 <sup>bc</sup>	14.48 <sup>bcde</sup>	0.38 <sup>bc</sup>	1.51 <sup>cd</sup>	0.21 <sup>b</sup>	6.71 <sup>b</sup>	7.04 <sup>cd</sup>	21.18 <sup>c</sup>		
$Chi_1 \times SA_1$	$55.97^{ab}$	57.83 <sup>°</sup>	5.93 <sup>abc</sup>	15.98 <sup>b</sup>	$0.0^{\circ}$	1.83 <sup>cd</sup>	0.38 <sup>b</sup>	6.17 <sup>b</sup>	5.93 <sup>d</sup>	22.14b <sup>c</sup>		
$Chi_1 \times SA_2$	$55.54^{ab}$	57.83 <sup>c</sup>	6.79 <sup>ab</sup>	13.97 <sup>def</sup>	1.83 <sup>a</sup>	4.72 <sup>b</sup>	$1.80^{b}$	9.10 <sup>b</sup>	7.19 <sup>bc</sup>	20.98 <sup>c</sup>		
$Chi_2 \times SA_1$	$55.50^{ab}$	61.18 <sup>abc</sup>	6.24 <sup>abc</sup>	13.52 <sup>ef</sup>	$0.00^{\circ}$	1.01 <sup>d</sup>	$1.20^{b}$	7.46 <sup>b</sup>	7.37 <sup>bc</sup>	20.62 <sup>c</sup>		
$Chi_2 \times SA_2$	57.98 <sup>ab</sup>	62.28 <sup>a</sup>	5.62 <sup>c</sup>	14.11 <sup>cde</sup>	$0.00^{\circ}$	1.39 <sup>cd</sup>	1.36 <sup>b</sup>	7.88 <sup>b</sup>	6.36 <sup>cd</sup>	21.99 <sup>bc</sup>		
LSD 0.05	3.5	3.5	1.15	1.28	1.05	1.45	3.70	2.99	1.25	2.56		

 Table 1: Chitosan and salicylic acid dipping influence on fruit export -and storagability after 4 and 10 weeks in 2015 season

# Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research

Treatments	Electrolyte		Weight loss %		Decay %		Un-marketable		Total losses %	
	leaka	nge%					(	%		
Weeks	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10
Control	$45.49^{a}$	52.98 <sup>a</sup>	$8.00^{a}$	16.57 <sup>a</sup>	1.83 <sup>a</sup>	$6.70^{a}$	1.30 <sup>a</sup>	$18.09^{a}$	$9.297^{a}$	34.66 <sup>a</sup>
$SA_1$	43.59 <sup>ab</sup>	$49.98^{ab}$	5.10 <sup>b</sup>	12.74 <sup>bc</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	2.27 <sup>cd</sup>	0.30 <sup>a</sup>	$4.00^{bc}$	5.397 <sup>b</sup>	16.74 <sup>bc</sup>
$SA_2$	42.37 <sup>b</sup>	47.66 <sup>b</sup>	4.75 <sup>b</sup>	12.25 <sup>c</sup>	0.39 <sup>b</sup>	2.54 <sup>cd</sup>	1.11 <sup>a</sup>	$4.65^{bc}$	5.869 <sup>b</sup>	16.89 <sup>bc</sup>
Chi <sub>1</sub>	41.39 <sup>b</sup>	48.68 <sup>b</sup>	5.39 <sup>b</sup>	12.81 <sup>bc</sup>	0.83 <sup>ab</sup>	3.67 <sup>bc</sup>	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	4.30 <sup>bc</sup>	6.225 <sup>b</sup>	$17.10^{bc}$
Chi <sub>2</sub>	42.11 <sup>b</sup>	$48.20^{b}$	5.21 <sup>b</sup>	12.39 <sup>bc</sup>	0.36 <sup>b</sup>	1.58 <sup>d</sup>	0.36 <sup>a</sup>	2.84 <sup>c</sup>	$5.576^{b}$	14.93 <sup>c</sup>
$Chi_1 \times SA_1$	41.64 <sup>b</sup>	47.91 <sup>b</sup>	4.99 <sup>b</sup>	12.51 <sup>bc</sup>	$0.0^{b}$	1.83 <sup>d</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	5.95 <sup>b</sup>	4.991 <sup>b</sup>	15.35 <sup>c</sup>
$Chi_1 \times SA_2$	41.85 <sup>b</sup>	47.93 <sup>b</sup>	5.10 <sup>b</sup>	$12.40^{bc}$	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	4.71 <sup>b</sup>	1.83 <sup>a</sup>	2.54 <sup>c</sup>	6.447 <sup>b</sup>	$18.50^{b}$
$Chi_2 \times SA_1$	$42.58^{b}$	$52.48^{a}$	$6.45^{ab}$	14.61 <sup>b</sup>	$0.0^{b}$	1.01 <sup>d</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	$3.90^{bc}$	6.929 <sup>b</sup>	18.35 <sup>bc</sup>
$Chi_2 \times SA_2$	42.46 <sup>b</sup>	50.22 <sup>ab</sup>	5.56 <sup>b</sup>	13.33 <sup>bc</sup>	$0.0^{b}$	1.39 <sup>d</sup>	$0.00^{a}$	$2.94^{bc}$	5.556 <sup>b</sup>	16.26 <sup>bc</sup>
LSD 0.05	3.32	3.12	2.03	2.33	1.08	1.58	2.2	3.10	2.11	3.13

 Table 2: Chitosan and salicylic acid dipping influence on fruit export -and storagability after 4 and 10 weeks in 2016 season

## Fruit chemical characteristics

Data presented in tables 3and 4 indicated that fruits treated with either chitosan and /or salicylic acid had high TSS content after 4 weeks (both seasons) and 10 weeks (2015). Fruit TSS content was high in  $Chi_1 \times SA_1$  treated fruits and was maintained by the rest of treatments after 10 weeks cold storing in 2016.

The treatments  $SA_2$  (after 4 weeks) and  $Chi_2 \times SA_1$  (after 10 weeks) indicated higher acidity content than the control in the first season only, while, TSS/acidity ratio was not affected neither after 4 nor 10 weeks.

All treatments (except  $Chi_2 \times SA_1$  after 10 weeks) in 2015 led to higher pH value than the untreated control fruits, while in 2016, only the  $Chi_1$  and  $Chi_1 \times SA_1$  (after 4 weeks) and Chi1 (after 10 weeks) significantly increased the fruit pH.

A significant high vitamin C content was found in fruits dipped in  $Chi_2$  solution after 4 weeks cold storing, as well as in fruits dipped in all chitosan and salicylic acid combinations (except  $Chi_1 \times SA_1$ ) after 4 (both seasons) and 10 (2015) weeks cold storing. However, fruit carotenoids content maintained unaffected.

Treatments	TSS	TSS %		Acidity %		TSS/acidity		pН		V.C %		Carotenes %
Weeks	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10
Control	12.5 <sup>b</sup>	13.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.66 <sup>b</sup>	17.12 <sup>a</sup>	20.08a	3.82 <sup>b</sup>	4.08 <sup>d</sup>	97 <sup>b</sup>	91 <sup>b</sup>	22.8 <sup>a</sup>	29.34 <sup>a</sup>
$SA_1$	12.9 <sup>ab</sup>	14.15 <sup>a</sup>	$0.80^{ab}$	$0.68^{ab}$	16.13 <sup>a</sup>	20.81 <sup>a</sup>	4.07 <sup>a</sup>	4.30 <sup>bc</sup>	97 <sup>b</sup>	95 <sup>ab</sup>	23.7 <sup>a</sup>	31.10 <sup>a</sup>
$SA_2$	13.10 <sup>a</sup>	14.10 <sup>a</sup>	$0.84^{a}$	$0.68^{ab}$	$15.60^{a}$	$20.74^{a}$	$4.00^{a}$	4.29 <sup>c</sup>	99 <sup>b</sup>	99 <sup>ab</sup>	22.7 <sup>a</sup>	29.81 <sup>a</sup>
Chi <sub>1</sub>	13.20 <sup>a</sup>	$14.05^{a}$	$0.75^{ab}$	$0.66^{b}$	17.60 <sup>a</sup>	21.29a	$4.06^{a}$	4.44 <sup>ab</sup>	$102^{ab}$	92 <sup>b</sup>	23.1 <sup>a</sup>	31.13 <sup>a</sup>
Chi <sub>2</sub>	13.15 <sup>a</sup>	$14.00^{a}$	$0.75^{ab}$	$0.66^{b}$	17.53 <sup>a</sup>	21.21 <sup>a</sup>	4.11 <sup>a</sup>	4.52 <sup>a</sup>	112 <sup>a</sup>	99 <sup>ab</sup>	23.6 <sup>a</sup>	30.15 <sup>a</sup>
$Chi_1 \times SA_1$	13.25 <sup>a</sup>	$14.20^{a}$	$0.75^{ab}$	0.66 <sup>b</sup>	$17.67^{a}$	21.52 <sup>a</sup>	4.02 <sup>a</sup>	4.26 <sup>c</sup>	103 <sup>ab</sup>	99 <sup>ab</sup>	22.6 <sup>a</sup>	30.36 <sup>a</sup>
$Chi_1 \times SA_2$	13.10 <sup>a</sup>	$14.20^{a}$	$0.75^{ab}$	$0.66^{b}$	$17.47^{a}$	21.52 <sup>a</sup>	$4.05^{a}$	4.31 <sup>bc</sup>	110 <sup>a</sup>	103 <sup>a</sup>	23.7 <sup>a</sup>	30.13 <sup>a</sup>
$Chi_2 \times SA_1$	13.02 <sup>a</sup>	14.15 <sup>a</sup>	$0.82^{ab}$	0.73 <sup>a</sup>	$15.88^{a}$	19.38 <sup>a</sup>	3.98 <sup>a</sup>	4.19 <sup>cd</sup>	110 <sup>a</sup>	103 <sup>a</sup>	25.3 <sup>a</sup>	30.89 <sup>a</sup>
$Chi_2 \times SA_2$	13.10 <sup>a</sup>	$14.27^{a}$	$0.78^{ab}$	$0.70^{ab}$	16.79 <sup>a</sup>	20.39 <sup>a</sup>	$4.05^{a}$	4.32 <sup>bc</sup>	112 <sup>a</sup>	$105^{a}$	24.1 <sup>a</sup>	29.83 <sup>a</sup>
LSD 0.05	0.45	0.37	0.10	0.07	2.47	2.25	0.15	0.15	10.8	10.8	5.5	5.5

Table 3: Chitosan and salicylic acid influence on fruit chemical characteristics after 4 and 10 weeks in 2015

Table 4: Chitosan and salicylic acid influence on the salicylic acid in	fruit chemical characteristics after 4 and 10 weeks in 2016
---	---

Treatments	TSS	5%	Acidi	ty %	TSS/a	cidity	p	н	V.C	`%	Carote	enes %
Weeks	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10	4	10
Control	11.55 <sup>c</sup>	12.80 <sup>b</sup>	$0.71^{ab}$	$0.64^{a}$	16.55 <sup>ab</sup>	$20.00^{a}$	3.67 <sup>b</sup>	3.92 <sup>b</sup>	76 <sup>c</sup>	$68^{ab}$	21.79 <sup>a</sup>	26.40 <sup>a</sup>
$SA_1$	12.23 <sup>ab</sup>	12.95 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.75^{ab}$	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	16.31 <sup>ab</sup>	$18.77^{a}$	3.54 <sup>b</sup>	4.05 <sup>ab</sup>	$81^{abc}$	$68^{ab}$	$21.72^{a}$	24.25 <sup>a</sup>
$SA_2$	12.45 <sup>a</sup>	13.00 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.71^{ab}$	$0.66^{a}$	17.54 <sup>ab</sup>	$19.70^{a}$	3.47 <sup>b</sup>	$4.04^{ab}$	$78^{bc}$	70 <sup>ab</sup>	$21.47^{a}$	23.80 <sup>a</sup>
Chi <sub>1</sub>	12.05 <sup>bc</sup>	12.95 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.71^{ab}$	0.62 <sup>a</sup>	16.97 <sup>ab</sup>	20.89 <sup>a</sup>	3.97 <sup>a</sup>	4.14 <sup>a</sup>	78 <sup>bc</sup>	70 <sup>ab</sup>	24.15 <sup>a</sup>	26.82 <sup>a</sup>
Chi <sub>2</sub>	12.15 <sup>ab</sup>	13.00 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.71^{ab}$	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	17.11 <sup>ab</sup>	$18.84^{a}$	3.54 <sup>b</sup>	$4.00^{ab}$	$86^{ab}$	70 <sup>ab</sup>	22.77 <sup>a</sup>	24.62 <sup>a</sup>
$Chi_1 \times SA_1$	$12.40^{ab}$	13.15 <sup>ab</sup>	0.69 <sup>b</sup>	$0.62^{a}$	17.97 <sup>a</sup>	21.21 <sup>a</sup>	3.97 <sup>a</sup>	$4.02^{ab}$	86 <sup>ab</sup>	70 <sup>ab</sup>	21.22 <sup>a</sup>	23.79 <sup>a</sup>



Chi <sub>1</sub> ×SA <sub>2</sub>	$12.45^{ab}$	13.15 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.78^{ab}$	0.62 <sup>a</sup>	$15.96^{ab}$	$21.21^{a}$	3.54 <sup>b</sup>	$4.05^{ab}$	86 <sup>ab</sup>	75 <sup>a</sup>	20.67 <sup>a</sup>	22.42 <sup>a</sup>
Chi $\times$ SA	12 45 <sup>ab</sup>	13 20 <sup>ab</sup>	0.80 a	$0.71^{a}$	15.56 <sup>b</sup>	18 50 <sup>a</sup>	3.60 <sup>b</sup>	4.05 <sup>ab</sup>	86 <sup>ab</sup>	66 <sup>ab</sup>	$21.32^{a}$	23 55 a
$\operatorname{Cm}_2 \wedge \operatorname{SA}_1$	12.45	15.20	0.00	0.71	13.30	10.39	5.09	4.05.	80	00	21.32	25.55
$Chi_2 \times SA_2$	$12.60^{a}$	13.35 <sup>ª</sup>	0.76 <sup>ab</sup>	0.69 ª	$16.58^{ab}$	19.35ª	3.64	4.04 <sup>ab</sup>	88ª	70 <sup>ab</sup>	19.57 ª	22.54 ª
LSD 0.05	0.52	0.52	0.10	0.08	2.27	3.25	0.23	0.23	8.9	8.9	4.9	4.9

#### Discussion

Positive influences of chitosan and salicylic acid postharvest treatments were generally addressed in the present work on the different parameters expressing the export- and storagability of the Murcott mandarins. Electrolyte leakage, physiological fruit weight loss, decay, unmarketable fruits and the total quantitative losses percent were reduced. Previous studies stated the enhancement of postharvest quality of various fruits by chitosan [16] and salicylic acid [18] applications.

Fruit weight loss through transpiration is a major cause of quality deterioration in fresh horticultural crops after harvest as it alters fruit appearance and textural quality such as softening and loss of juiciness. The primary mechanism of moisture loss from fresh fruits is by vapor-phase diffusion driven by a gradient of water vapor pressure between internal fruit and external environment [19]. If weight loss is more than 10%, fruit surface becomes prone to quality defects like wilting and shriveling and becomes sensitive for marketing [20]. Chitosan coating is indicated to form a semi-permeable protective film on the fruit surface which decreases transpiration losses and acts as a selective permeability barrier to water vapor transference through its hydrophilic part, as well as it reduces stomatal conductance, size and aperture, thus slows down fruit shriveling and maintains the fresh appearance of fruits leading to its maximal storability [21-22]. Similarly, salicylic acid is evident to close stomata and to reduce activities of respiratory pathways such as glycolysis and related enzymes and thus it suppresses respiration rate and minimizes weight loss of different fruits [23-25]. Furthermore, chitosan and salicylic acid are well-known as natural inducers of disease resistance and boosters of defense-related enzymes activities in plants [26-27]. Chitosan is evident to induce losses in the cytoplasm of fungal hyphae, inhibit mycelial growth and damage the spore plasma membrane of several pathogens. This reduction in fruit weight loss and decay percent would give impact on reducing the number of shriveled and unmarketable fruits leading to lower total quantitative losses.

In the meantime, a common feature accompanying senescence is increased membrane permeability, expressed as increasing leakage of ions [28]. Therefore, electrolyte leakage has been recommended as a valuable criterion for identification of fruit quality as it is reported to express injury degree of harvested fruits [29]. In the present investigation, the chitosan and/ or salicylic acid dipping generally lowered the electrolyte leakage or kept it from rising. This would be because of their influence in lowering activity of cell wall-degrading enzymes and maintaining membrane stability [27, 30-32].

In general, chitosan and/or salicylic treated fruits had high or maintained the TSS, acidity and carotenoids contents as well as the pH value after 4- or 10-weeks cold storage. These results are in line with findings of Zhu et al., [33] for chitosan and of Razavi et al., [18] and Ahmad et al., [34] for salicylic acid. Seehanam et al., [35] stated the effect of fruit coating in decreasing the respiration rate by modifying the fruit internal atmosphere i.e. decreasing  $O_2$  and increasing  $CO_2$ . As a result of decreasing the respiration process, the use of organic acids as substrates for respiratory metabolism is minimized [36] and ascorbic acid (VC) oxidation is decreased [37]. Accordingly, this might clear the positive effect of the different treatments on maintaining the content of TSS, VC and acidity in the present study and thus maintaining the fruit nutritive quality.

# Conclusion

Accordingly, from the previous mentioned it is cleared that the different treatments helped in maintaining the fruit visual and chemical quality of the Murcott mandarin during both exportation and storing. Therefore, it could be concluded that fruit dipping in chitosan and/or salicylic acid would be used successfully as postharvest treatments to be commercially applied not only to maintain the fruit quality during marketing and storing as visual appeal, but also to provide additional health benefits (enhancing levels of bioactive compounds such as VC in fruits) which all would result in improving the fruit marketability.



#### References

- Hassanpour, H., Hamidoghli Y., Hajilou J., Adlipour M. (2011). Antioxidant capacity and phytochemical properties of cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas* L.) genotypes in Iran. Scientia Hort., 129(3): 459-463.
- [2]. Giovannoni, J. (2001). Molecular regulation of fruit ripening. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 52: 725-749.
- [3]. Tumminelli, R., Conti, F., Maltese, U., Pedrotti, C. and Bordonaro, E. (2005). Effects of 2, 4-D, triclopir and GA<sub>3</sub> on pre-harvest fruit drop and senescence of 'Tarocco Comune' blood oranges in Sicilian orchards. Acta Hort., 682: 801-806.
- [4]. Sompong, M., Wongkaew, S., Tantasawat, P. and Buensanteai, N. (2012). Morphological, pathogenicity and virulence characterization of Sphaceloma ampelinum the causal agent of grape anthracnose in Thailand. African J. Microbiology Res., 6(10): 2313-2320.
- [5]. Halliwell, B. and Gutteridge, J.M.C. (1989). Free radicals in biology and medicine. 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, USA, 97-102.
- [6]. Apel, K., Hirt, H. (2004). Reactive oxygen species metabolism oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol., 55: 373-399.
- [7]. Prakongkha, I., Sompong, M., Wongkaew, S., Athinuwat, D. and Buensanteai, N. (2013). Changes in salicylic acid in grapevine treated with chitosan and BTH against *Sphaceloma ampelinum*, the causal agent of grapevine anthracnose. African J. Microbiology Res., 7: 557-563.
- [8]. Kumar, S., Yadav P., Jain V., Malhotra S.P. (2014). Isozymes of antioxidative enzymes during ripening and storage of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.). J. Food Sci. Technol., 51: 329-334. (SA) safe compounds.
- [9]. Bautista-Baños, A.N., Hernandez-Lauzardo, M.G., Velazquez-del Valle, M., Hernandez-Lopez, E., Ait Barka, E., Bosquez, M., Wilson, C.L. (2006). Chitosan as a potential natural compound to control preand postharvest diseases of horticultural commodities. Crop Prot. 25: 108-118.
- [10]. Liu, J., Tian, S. P., Meng, X. H. and Xu, Y. (2007). Effects of chitosan on control of postharvest diseases and physiological response of tomato fruit. Postharvest Biol. Technol., 44: 300-306.
- [11]. Yin, X.R., Zhang, Y., Zhang, B., Yang, S.L., Shi, Y.N., Ferguson, I.B. and Chen, K.S. (2013). Effects of acetylsalicylic acid on kiwifruit ethylene biosynthesis and signaling components. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 83: 27-33.
- [12]. A.O.A.C. (2012). Official methods of analysis, 24<sup>th</sup> Ed., Association of official analysis chemists, Washington, D.C., USA.
- [13]. Britton, G., Liaaen-Jensen, S., and Pfander, H. (2004). Carotenoids: spectroscopy, pp: 13-36.
- [14]. Whilton, T.H., Bassuk, N.L., Ranney, T.G. and Reichert, D.L. (1992). An improved method for using electrolyte leakage to access membrane competence in plant tissues. Plant Physiol., 98: 198-205.
- [15]. Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1989). Statistical Methods, Eighth Edition, Iowa State University Press.
- [16]. El-Eleryan, E.E. (2015). Effect of chitosan and green tea on the quality of Washington navel orange during cold storage. Amer. J. Plant Physiol., 10: 43-54.
- [17]. Kazemi, M., Aran, M. and Zamani, S. (2011). Effect of calcium chloride and salicylic acid treatment on quality characteristics of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa cv. Hayward*) during storage. Am. J. Plant Physiol., 6, 183-189.
- [18]. Razavi, F., Hajilou, J. and Aghdam, M.S. (2018). Salicylic acid treatment of peach trees maintains nutritional quality of fruits during cold storage. Adv. Hort. Sci., 32(1): 33-40.
- [19]. Maftoonazad, N. and Ramaswamy, H.S. (2005). Postharvest shelf-life extension of avocados using methyl cellulose-based coating. LWT-Food Sci. and Technol. Vol.38 (6): 617-624.
- [20]. Hussain, P. R., Meena, R. S., Dar, M. A., Wani, A. M. (2012). Effect of post-harvest calcium chloride dip treatment and gamma irradiation on storage quality and shelf-life extension of Red Delicious apple. J. Food Sci. Technol. 49(4): 415-426.



- [21]. Kerch, G. and Korkhov, V. (2011). Effect of storage time and temperature on structure, mechanical and barrier properties of chitosan-based films. Europ. Food. Res. Technol., 232(1): 17-22.
- [22]. Poverenov, E., Danino, S., Horev, B., Granit, R., Vinokur, Y. and Rodov, V. (2014). Layer-by-layer electrostatic deposition of edible coating on fresh-cut melon model: Anticipated and unexpected effect of alginate-chitosan combination. Food Bioprocess Technol. 7: 1424-1432.
- [23]. Babalar, M., Asghari, M., Talaei, A. and Khosroshahi, A. (2007). Effect of pre- and postharvest salicylic acid treatment on ethylene production, fungal decay and overall quality of Selva strawberry fruit. Food. Chem., 105: 449-453.
- [24]. Mo, Y., Gong, D., Liang, G., Han, R., Xie, J., and Li, W. (2008). Enhanced preservation effects of sugar apple fruits by salicylic acid treatment during postharvest storage. J. Sci. Food. Agri., 88, 2693-2699.
- [25]. Luo, Z., Chen, C. and Xie, J. (2011). Effect of salicylic acid treatment on alleviating postharvest chilling injury of "Qingnai" plum fruit. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 62, 115-120.
- [26]. Huang, R.H., Liu, J.H., Lu, Y.M. and Xia, R.X. (2008). Effect of salicylic acid on the antioxidant system in the pulp of "*Cara cara*" navel orange (*Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck) at different storage temperatures. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 47, 168-175.
- [27]. Aghdam, M.S. and Bodbodak, S. (2013). Physiological and biochemical mechanisms regulating chilling tolerance in fruits and vegetables under postharvest salicylates and jasmonates treatments. Scientia Horticulturae 156:73-85.
- [28]. Saltveit, M. E. (2002). The rate of ion leakage from chilling-sensitive tissue does not immediately increase upon exposure to chilling temperatures. Postharvest Biol. and Technol., 26: 295-304.
- [29]. Jiang, Y., Li, J. and Jiang, W. (2005). Effects of chitosan on shelf life of cold-stored litchi fruit at ambient temperature. LWT-Food Sci. Tech., 38 (7): 757-761.
- [30]. Srivastava, M. K. and Dwivedi, U. N. (2000). Delayed ripening of banana fruit by salicylic acid. Plant Sci., 158, 87-96.
- [31]. Sun, D., Guobin L., Jianghui X., Xintao L. and Yiwei M. (2010). Improved preservation effects of litchi fruit by combining chitosan coating with ascorbic acid treatment during postharvest storage. African J. Biotechnology, 9 (22): 3272-3279.
- [32]. Aghdam, M.S., Sevillano, L.F., Flores, B. and Bodbodak, S. (2013). Heat shock proteins as biochemical markers for postharvest chilling stress in fruit and vegetables. Sci. Hort., 160: 54–64.
- [33]. Zhu, X., Wang, Q., Cao, J. and Jiang, W. (2008). Effects of chitosan coating on postharvest quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L. cv. Tainong) fruits. J. Food Process. Preservation, 32:770-784.
- [34]. Ahmad, S., Singh, Z. and Iqbal, Z. (2013). Effect of preharvest sprays of salicylic acid on the shelf life and quality of Lane late sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.) Cold storage. Acta Hort., 1012:103-112.
- [35]. Seehanam, P., Boonyakiat, D. and Rattanapanone, N. (2010). Physiological and physicochemical responses of 'Sai Nam Phueng tangerine to commercial coatings. Hort. Sci., 45: 605-609.
- [36]. Diaz-Mula, H.M., Serrano, M., Valero, D. (2012). Alginate coatings preserve fruit quality and bioactive compounds during storage sweet cherry fruit. Food Bioprocess Tech., 5: 2990-2997.
- [37]. Ramana Rao T.V., Neeta B. G., Khilana K. S. (2011). Effect of postharvest treatments and storage temperatures on the quality and shelf life of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L). Scientia Horticulturae, 132 (5): 18-26.