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#### Abstract

The present paper is concerned with using E-Bayesian method under record values sample from compound Rayleigh distribution (CRD) to find estimates for the parameter and hazard function. The Bayesian and E-Bayesian estimators are obtained under different loss functions (squared error, LINEX and entropy loss functions). Relations between E-Bayesian estimations for the parameter and hazard function are discussed. A comparison between E-Bayesian, and corresponding Bayes are investigated by using simulation data and real life data.
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## 1. Introduction

The compound Rayleigh distribution (CRD) is one of models which is useful in different areas of statistics, the probability density function (pdf) given by
$f(x ; a, b)=2 a b^{a} x\left(b+x^{2}\right)^{-(a+1)}, x>0, a, b>0$,
and the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of CRD given by
$F(x ; a, b)=1-b^{a}\left(b+x^{2}\right)^{-a}$,
where a and b defined as shape and scale parameters, respectively. The survival (reliability) and hazard (failure rate) functions, at time t , of the CRD, respectively, are

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(t ; a, b)=b^{a}\left(b+t^{2}\right)^{-a},  \tag{3}\\
& h(t ; a, b)=\frac{2 a t}{b+t^{2}}, \quad t>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The CRD is a special case of the 3- parameter Burr type XII distribution, which has a pdf of the form (1). Applications of randomly censored data as goodness of fit of the CRD using a medical data are studied by Bekker et al. [8] and Ghitany [14]. For more details properties on $\operatorname{CRD}(a, b)$, see El-Sagheer and Ahsanullah [13].
Record values and the associated statistics are of interest and importance in many areas of real life applications involving data relating to sport, economics, athletic events, oil, mining surveys and life testing. Many authors have studied records and associated statistics. Among them are Ahsanullah [3, 4], Resnick [28], Nagaraja [22], Arnold et al. [5], Ragab [27], Soliman and Al-Aboud [34], AbdEllah[1, 2] and El-Sagheer [12].
Let $\left\{X_{m}, m \geq 1\right\}$ be an infinite sequence of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables with $\operatorname{cdf} F(\mathrm{x}) \operatorname{andpdf} f(\mathrm{x})$. Set $Y_{n}=\max \left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m}\right), m \geq 1$, we say that $X_{j}$ is an upper record and denoted by $X_{U(j)}$ if $Y_{j}>Y_{j-1}, j>1$.
This paper discusses the record values data from CRD and the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) to estimate the parameter reliability, and hazard functions in Section 2. Bayesian estimators are derived based on squared error, LINEX and entropy loss functions in Section 3. The E-Bayesian estimates for three different prior
distributions of the hyperparameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are discussed in Section 4. Properties of E-Bayesian estimation are carried out in Section 5. While comparisons between the new method, the corresponding Bayes, and MLE`s are studied through numerical examples (simulation data and real life data) in Section 6. Finally, we conclude with some comments in the last Section.

## 2. Maximum Likelihood Estimation

In general, the joint pdf of the first $m$ upper record values $X_{U(1)}, X_{U(2)}, \ldots, X_{U(m)}$ is given by
$f_{1,2, \ldots, m}\left(x_{u(1)}, x_{u(2)}, \ldots, x_{u(m)}\right)=f\left(x_{u(m)}\right) . \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{f\left(x_{u(i)}\right)}{R\left(x_{(i)}\right)}$,
$0<x_{u(1)}<x_{u(2)}<\ldots<x_{u(m)}<\infty$.
By using (1), (2) and (5) after replacing $x_{u(i)}$ by $x_{i}$, therefore without the additive constant, the likelihood function, when b is known, can be written as
$\ell=a^{m} \cdot e^{-a T}$,
where
$T=\log \left(\frac{b+x_{m}^{2}}{b}\right)$.
Then, the log-likelihood function is
$L=m \log (a)-a T$.
Equating the first partial derivative of (8), with respect to $a$, to zero, we obtain the MLE of $a$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}_{M L}=\frac{m}{T} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the MLE of $R(t)$ and $h(t)$, respectively, are
$\hat{R}_{M L}(t)=b^{\hat{a}_{M L}}\left(b+t^{2}\right)^{-\hat{a}_{M L}}, \hat{h}_{M L}(t)=\frac{2 t \hat{a}_{M L}}{b+t^{2}}$.

## 3. Bayes estimation

In this section, we present the posterior densities of the parametera when $b$ is known and hence derive symmetric and asymmetric Bayes estimators for $a$ andhazardfunction.

### 3.1. The Loss Function

The symmetric square-error loss (SEL) function is one of the most popular loss functions. A useful asymmetric loss function known as the LINEX loss function was introduced by [35] and was widely used in several papers, see for example, $[7-9,21,26,32,33,35]$.
The Bayes estimator $\hat{a}_{B L}$ of $a$ under the LINEX loss function is
$\hat{a}_{B L}=\frac{-1}{s} \log \left[E\left(e^{-s a}\right)\right], s \neq 0$,
provided that the expectation $E\left(e^{-s a}\right)$ exists and is finite.
An other useful asymmetric loss function is the entropy loss (BE), see [10] and [11], and the estimator of $a$ under BE function is
$\hat{a}_{B E}=\left[E\left(a^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1}$,
provided that the expectation $E\left(a^{-1}\right)$ exists and finite.

### 3.2. Prior and posterior distributions

Assuming the parameter $b$ is known, we can use the gamma distribution as prior distribution of $a$ with shape and scale parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ respectively and its pdf given by
$g(a \mid \alpha, \beta)=\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} a^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta a}, \quad a>0, \alpha, \beta>0$.
Combining (6) and (13), we get the posterior density of $a$ given $\underline{x}$ can be obtained as
$\Pi(\mathrm{a} \mid \underline{x})=\frac{(T+\beta)^{m+\alpha}}{\Gamma(m+\alpha)} a^{m+\alpha-1} e^{-(T+\beta) a}$,
which obeys the gamma distribution with parameters $m+\alpha$ and $T+\beta$.

### 3.3. Bayesian estimation under squared error loss (SEL) function

Under the squared error loss function, the Bayes estimates of $a, R(t)$ and $h(t)$ are, respectively, given by
$\hat{a}_{B S}=E(a)=\frac{m+\alpha}{T+\beta}, \quad \hat{R}_{B S}=\left(\frac{T+\beta}{T+T 1+\beta}\right)^{m+\alpha}, \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{B S}=\frac{2(m+\alpha) t}{\left(b+t^{2}\right)(T+\beta)}$,
where
$T 1=\ln \left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{b}\right)$.

### 3.4. Bayesian estimation under LINEX loss function

By using (11) and (14), then the Bayes estimates of $\theta, \quad R(t)$ and $h(t)$ under LINEX loss function are, respectively, given by
$\left.\hat{a}_{B L}=\frac{m+\alpha}{s} \log \left(1+\frac{s}{T+\beta}\right), \hat{R}_{B L}=\frac{-1}{S} \log \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-S)^{i}}{i!}\left(\frac{T+\beta}{T+i T 1+\beta}\right)^{m+\alpha}\right]$,
$\hat{\mathrm{h}}_{B L}=\frac{m+\alpha}{s} \log \left(1+\frac{2 s t}{\left(b+t^{2}\right)(T+\beta)}\right)$.

### 3.5. Bayesian estimation under Entropy oss function

By using (12) and (14), then the Bayes estimates of $\theta, \quad R(t)$ and $h(t)$ under entropy loss function are, respectively, given by
$\hat{a}_{B E}=\frac{m+\alpha-1}{T+\beta}, \hat{R}_{B E}=\left(1-\frac{T 1}{T+\beta}\right)^{m+\alpha} \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{B E}=\frac{2 t(m+\alpha-1)}{\left(b+t^{2}\right)(T+\beta)}$.

## 4. E-Bayesian Estimation

According to Han [16], the prior parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ must be choose to guarantee that $g(a)$ is a decreasing function of $a$. The derivative of $g(a \mid \alpha, \beta)$ with respect to $a$ is
$\frac{d g(a \mid \alpha, \beta)}{d a}=\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} a^{\alpha-2} e^{-\beta a}[(\alpha-1)-\beta a]$,
where $a>0, \alpha, \beta>0$, it follows $0<\alpha<1, \beta>0$ due to $\frac{d g(a \mid \alpha, \beta)}{d a}<0$, and therefore $g(a \mid \alpha, \beta)$ is a decreasing function of $a$.
Suppose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are independent with bivariate density function
$\Pi(\alpha, \beta)=\Pi_{1}(\alpha) \Pi_{2}(\beta)$.
Then, the E-Bayesian estimate of $a$ (expectation of the Bayesian estimate of $a$ ) can be written as
$\hat{a}_{E B}=E(\mathrm{a} \mid \underline{x})=\iint_{Q} \hat{a}_{B}(\alpha, \beta) \Pi(\alpha, \beta) d \alpha d \beta$,
where Q is the domain of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and $\hat{a}_{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ is the Bayes estimate of $a$ given by (15), (17) and (18). For more details, see Han [17, 18], Azimi et al. [6], Gupta and Gupta [15], Kizilaslan [19], Li et al. [20], Nasiri and Esfandyarifar [23], Okasha [24], Okasha and Wang [25], Reyad and Ahmed [29, 30], Read et al,.[31], Wang et al. [36] and Yousefzadeh [37].
The following joint prior density functions of $\alpha, \beta$, where $0<\alpha<1,0<\beta<c$, may be used
$\Pi_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{2(c-\beta)}{c^{2}}, \quad 0<\alpha<1, \quad 0<\beta<c$,
$\Pi_{2}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{c}, \quad 0<\alpha<1, \quad 0<\beta<c$,
$\Pi_{3}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{2 \beta}{c^{2}}, \quad 0<\alpha<1, \quad 0<\beta<c$.

### 4.1. E-Bayesian estimation under squared error loss function

For $\pi_{i}(\alpha, \beta), i=1,2,3$, the E-Bayesian estimate of $a$ and $h(t)$ based on the squared error loss function, are derived from (15) and (22) as
$\hat{a}_{E B S 1}=\iint_{Q} \hat{a}_{B S}(\alpha, \beta) \Pi_{1}(\alpha, \beta) d \alpha d \beta=\int_{0}^{c} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2(c-\beta)}{c^{2}} \cdot \frac{m+\alpha}{T+\beta} d \alpha d \beta$
$=\frac{2 m+1}{c^{2}}\left\{(T+c) \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)-c\right\}$,
$\hat{a}_{E B S 2}=\frac{2 m+1}{2 c} \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right), \hat{a}_{E B S 3}=\frac{2 m+1}{c^{2}}\left\{c-T \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)\right\}$,
$\hat{h}_{E B S 1}=\frac{2 t(2 m+1)}{c^{2}\left(b+t^{2}\right)}\left\{(T+c) \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)-c\right\}, \hat{h}_{E B S 2}=\frac{t(2 m+1)}{c\left(b+t^{2}\right)} \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)$,
$\hat{h}_{\text {EBS3 }}=\frac{2 t(2 m+1)}{c^{2}\left(b+t^{2}\right)}\left\{c-T \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)\right\}$.

### 4.2. E-Bayesian estimation under LINEX loss function

For $\pi_{i}(\alpha, \beta), i=1,2,3$, the E-Bayesian estimate of $a$ and $h(t)$ based on the LINEX loss function, are derived from (17) and (22) as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{a}_{E B L 1}=\iint_{Q} \hat{a}_{B L}(\alpha, \beta) \Pi_{1}(\alpha, \beta) d \alpha d \beta=\int_{0}^{c} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2(c-\beta)}{c^{2}} \cdot \frac{m+\alpha}{S} \log \left(1+\frac{S}{T+\beta}\right) d \alpha d \beta \\
=\frac{2 m+1}{c^{2} S}(c I 1-J 1), \\
\hat{a}_{E B L 2}=\frac{2 m+1}{2 c S} I 1, \hat{a}_{E B L 3}=\frac{2 m+1}{c^{2} S} J 1, \\
\hat{h}_{E B L 1}=\frac{2 m+1}{c^{2} S}(c I 2-J 2), \quad \hat{h}_{E B L 2}=\frac{2 m+1}{2 c S} I 2, \hat{h}_{E B L 3}=\frac{2 m+1}{c^{2} S} J 2,(24)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
I 1=c \log \left(1+\frac{S}{T+c}\right)-T \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T}\right)+(T+S) \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T+S}\right)
$$

$J 1=\frac{1}{2}\left\{c^{2} \log \left(1+\frac{S}{T+c}\right)+c S+T^{2} \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T}\right)-(T+S)^{2} \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T+S}\right)\right\}$,

$$
I 2=c \log \left(1+\frac{H}{T+c}\right)-T \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T}\right)+(T+H) \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T+H}\right)
$$

$J 2=\frac{1}{2}\left\{c^{2} \log \left(1+\frac{H}{T+c}\right)+c H+T^{2} \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T}\right)-(T+H)^{2} \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T+H}\right)\right\}$,
$H=\frac{2 t S}{b+t^{2}}$.

### 4.3. E-Bayesian estimation under Entropy loss function

For $\pi_{i}(\alpha, \beta), i=1,2,3$, the E-Bayesian estimate of $a$ and $h(t)$ based on the entropy loss function, are derived from (18) and (22) as
$\hat{a}_{E B E 1}=\frac{2 m-1}{c^{2}}\left\{(T+c) \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)-c\right\}, \hat{a}_{E B E 2}=\frac{2 m-1}{2 c} \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)$,
$\hat{a}_{E B E 3}=\frac{2 m-1}{c^{2}}\left\{c-T \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)\right\}, \hat{h}_{E B E 1}=\frac{2 t(2 m-1)}{c^{2}\left(b+t^{2}\right)}\left\{(T+c) \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)-c\right\}$,
$\hat{h}_{E B E 2}=\frac{t(2 m-1)}{c\left(b+t^{2}\right)} \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right), \hat{h}_{E B E 3}=\frac{2 t(2 m-1)}{c^{2}\left(b+t^{2}\right)}\left\{c-T \log \left(\frac{T+c}{T}\right)\right\}$.

## 5. Properties of E-Bayesian estimation

In this section, the relations between the E-Bayesian estimators $\hat{a}_{E B S i}, \hat{a}_{E B L i}, \hat{a}_{E B E i} \hat{h}_{E B S i}, \hat{h}_{E B L i}$ and $\hat{h}_{E B E i}, i=$ $1,2,3$ are discussed.

### 5.1. Relations among $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{E B S i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{E B L i}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{E B E i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$

Lemma 1. Let $0<c<T$ and $\hat{a}_{E B S i}, \hat{a}_{E B L i}$ and $\hat{a}_{E B E i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ be given by Eqs (23), (24) and (26). Then
i) $\hat{a}_{E B S 1}>\hat{a}_{E B S 2}>\hat{a}_{E B S 3}$,
ii) $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B S 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B S 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B S 3}$,
iii) $\hat{a}_{E B L 1}>\hat{a}_{E B L 2}>\hat{a}_{E B L 3}$,
iv) $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B L 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B L 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B L 3}$,
v) $\hat{a}_{E B E 1}>\hat{a}_{E B E 2}>\hat{a}_{E B E 3}$,
vi) $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B E 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B E 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B E 3}$.

Proof. See Appendix.
5.2. Relations among $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{E B S i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{E B L i}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\text {EBEi }}(i=1,2,3)$

Lemma 1. Let $0<c<T$ and $\hat{h}_{E B S i}, \hat{h}_{E B L i}$ and $\hat{h}_{E B E i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2,3)$ be given by Eqs (23), (24) and (26). Then i) $\hat{h}_{E B S 1}>\hat{h}_{E B S 2}>\hat{h}_{E B S 3}$,
ii) $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B S 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B S 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B S 3}$,
iii) $\hat{h}_{E B L 1}>\hat{h}_{E B L 2}>\hat{h}_{E B L 3}$,
iv) $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B L 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B L 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B L 3}$,
v) $\hat{h}_{E B E 1}>\hat{h}_{E B E 2}>\hat{h}_{E B E 3}$,
vi) $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B E 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B E 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B E 3}$.

Proof. See Appendix.

## 6. Simulation study and Application example

In this section, two examples are presented to illustrate the use of E-Bayesian in estimating the parameter and hazard function.

## Example 1 (Simulated data)

Comparisons of the new method (E-Bayesian estimation) and Bayesian estimationof the parameterand hazard function are discussed by simulation study. The following steps are
i) For given value of the prior parameter $\mathrm{c}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ were generated from uniform priors (22), respectively.
ii) For given values of $\alpha$ and $\beta, a$ was generated from the gamma prior density (13).
iii) For known value of $b$ and the value of $a$, from the above step, we generate samples of record values with different sizes $(\mathrm{m}=3,5,7)$ from CRD with $\operatorname{pdf}(1)$.
iv)Under the different loss functions, the estimates $\hat{a}_{B S}, \hat{a}_{E B S i}, \hat{a}_{E B L i} \hat{a}_{E B E i}, \hat{h}_{B S}, \hat{h}_{E B S i}, \hat{h}_{E B L i}$ and $\hat{h}_{E B E i}(i=1,2,3)$ were computed from (15), (17), (18), (23), (24 and (26).
v) We repeat the above steps 1000 times and then we compute the mean square errors (MSEs) and average for the estimates. The simulation results are displayed in Tables 1-2.

Table 1: MSEs and average estimates for $a$

| $n$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\text {ML }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\text {BS }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\text {EBS }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\text {BL }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\text {EBL }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\text {BE }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\text {EBE }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0855145 \\ & (0.207981) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0441244 \\ & (0.238676) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0434731 <br> $(0.222102)$ <br> 0.0386747 <br> $(0.2141)$ <br> 0.030375 <br> $(0.206098)$ <br> 0.0213458 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0299992 \\ & (0.222508) \end{aligned}$ | 0.029772 <br> $(0.204952)$ <br> 0.0253397 <br> $(0.198755)$ <br> 0.0214378 <br> $(0.192559)$ <br> 0.0171324 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0250197 \\ & (0.17849) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0240636 <br> $(0.158644)$ <br> 0.0227437 <br> $(0.152928)$ <br> 0.0191885 <br> $(0.147213)$ <br> 0.0150327 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0429353 \\ & (0.216043) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0224504 \\ & (0.241825) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0213458 <br> $(0.228482)$ <br> 0.0193065 <br> $(0.224271)$ <br> 0.0174201 <br> $(0.220059)$ <br> 0.019003 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0181962 \\ & (0.232448) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0171324 <br> $(0.219224)$ <br> 0.0157258 <br> $(0.215454)$ <br> 0.0144183 <br> $(0.211685)$ <br> 0.0161795 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0153118 \\ & (0.201289) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0150327 <br> $(0.18694)$ <br> 0.0139383 <br> $(0.183494)$ <br> 0.0129462 <br> $(0.180048)$ <br> 0.014936 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.030508 \\ & (0.247617) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0198405 \\ & (0.268566) \end{aligned}$ | 0.019003 $(0.257574)$ 0.017662 $(0.253926)$ 0.0164016 $(0.250278)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0169071 \\ & (0.260394) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0161795 $(0.249505)$ 0.0151684 $(0.246137)$ 0.0142179 $(0.242769)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.014999 \\ & (0.23485) \end{aligned}$ | 0.0147936 <br> $(0.223231)$ <br> 0.0139951 <br> $(0.220069)$ <br> 0.0132571 <br> $(0.216908)$ |

Table 2: MSEs and average estimates for $h(t)$

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{M L}}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{B S}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\text {EBS }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{B L}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{E B L}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{B E}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\text {EBE }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1.07426 | 0.176818 | 0.1544041 | 0.206289 | 0.107574 | 0.291527 | 0.125832 |
|  | $(0.922951)$ | $(0.584421)$ | $(0.759233)$ | $(0.511616)$ | $(0.638322)$ | $(0.412532)$ | $(0.549452)$ |
|  |  |  | 0.137029 |  | 0.06647236 |  | 0.0706191 |
|  |  |  | $(0.681652)$ |  | $(0.578387)$ |  | $(0.486894)$ |
|  |  |  | 0.0672756 |  | 0.0386046 |  | 0.0544161 |
|  |  |  | $(0.59407)$ |  | $(0.518452)$ |  | $(0.424335)$ |


| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.890664 \\ & (1.04907) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.169715 \\ & (0.760319) \end{aligned}$ | 0.151584 <br> $(0.922752)$ <br> 0.122204 <br> $(0.842369)$ <br> 0.0561992 <br> $(0.761983)$ <br> 0.51023 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.19113 \\ & (0.681871) \end{aligned}$ | 0.10518 <br> $(0.804987)$ <br> 0.0646118 <br> $(0.744052)$ <br> 0.037257 <br> $(0.683117)$ <br> 0.0443 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.241835 \\ & (0.619519) \end{aligned}$ | 0.11902 <br> $(0.754979)$ <br> 0.0655444 <br> $(0.689211)$ <br> 0.048421 <br> $(0.623444)$ <br> 0.16229 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.70669 \\ & (1.20253) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.165228 \\ & (0.917195) \end{aligned}$ | 0.151023 $(1.07416)$ 0.120801 $(0.994301)$ 0.105719 $(0.914443)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.108988 \\ & (0.833727) \end{aligned}$ | 0.104443 $(0.958019)$ 0.101914 $(0.894732)$ 0.0717875 $(0.831445)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.240404 \\ & (0.79325) \end{aligned}$ | 0.116229 $(0.930937)$ 0.069501 $(0.861727)$ 0.0579402 $(0.792518)$ |

## Example 2 (Real life data)

This example provides a real data set to illustrate the estimation methods which have been described in the preceding sections. All the computations were performed using Mathematica version 9. We select seven observations of the real data from Bekker et al. [8] to consider as an upper record values $\{0.164,0.501,0.863$, $1.485,2.178,2.416,3.578\}$. Based on these seven record values and $c=2, S=1.5, b=2.5, t=1.5, \alpha=$ 0.9477 and $\beta=1.06365$. Therefore, the results are displayed in Table (3)

Table 3: Average values of the different estimators for the parameters $a$ and $h$. ?

| $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\boldsymbol{M L}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\boldsymbol{B S}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\boldsymbol{E B S}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\boldsymbol{B L}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\boldsymbol{E B L}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\boldsymbol{B E}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{\boldsymbol{E B E}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.86378 | 2.80441 | 3.13192 | 2.22436 | 2.41965 | 2.41630 | 2.71433 |
|  |  | 2.78929 |  | 2.20182 |  | 2.41738 |
|  |  | 2.44665 |  | 1.98399 |  | 2.12043 |
| $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{M L}}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{B S}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\text {EBS }}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{B L}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{E B L}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{B E}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{h}}_{\boldsymbol{E B E}}$ |
| 2.44028 | 1.74574 | 1.97806 | 1.50894 | 1.66010 | 1.52608 | 1.71432 |
|  |  | 1.76166 |  | 1.50131 |  | 1.52677 |
|  |  | 1.54525 |  | 1.34252 |  | 1.33922 |

## 7. Concluding Remarks

Based on the results shown in Tables 1-2, one concludes generally, the MSEs of the E-Bayesian estimates of $a$ and $h$ are the smallest MSE compared with their corresponding Bayes estimates. The MSE ofthe E-Bayesian estimates under LINEX loss function have smallest MSE compared with the E-Bayesian estimates under squared error loss function. Also was noted that MSEs of Bayesian and E-Bayesian estimates decrease as $n$ increase. By increasing $n$, the computations in all tables show that the E-Bayes estimates (based on squared error, LINEX and entropy losses) are better than the Bayes in the sense of comparing the MSEs of the estimates. Table 3 is conformed the properties of E-Bayesian estimation which discussed in Section 5.

## Appendix

## Proof of Lemma 1

i) From (23), we have
$\hat{a}_{E B S 1}-\hat{a}_{E B S 2}=\hat{a}_{E B S 2}-\hat{a}_{E B S 3}=\frac{2 m+1}{2 c^{2}} M$, (A.1)
where

$$
M=(2 T+c) \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T}\right)-2 c
$$

For $-1<\mathrm{y}<1$, we deduce

$$
\log (1+y)=y-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+\frac{1}{3} y^{3}-\frac{1}{4} y^{4}+\frac{1}{5} y^{5}-\frac{1}{6} y^{6}+\cdots=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{y^{\ell}}{\ell}
$$

Let $y=\frac{c}{T}$, when $0<\frac{c}{T}<1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad M=c\left(\frac{2 T}{c}+1\right)\left[\frac{c}{T}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{4}+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{5}-\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{6}+\frac{1}{7}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{7}+\cdots\right]-2 c \\
& =c\left\{\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{c}{T}\right)+\frac{1}{60}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{4}\left[9-8\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)\right]+\cdots\right\}>0 .(\mathrm{A.2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (A.1) and (A.2), we obtain
$\hat{a}_{E B S 1}-\hat{a}_{E B S 2}=\hat{a}_{E B S 2}-\hat{a}_{E B S 3}>0$,
that is
$\hat{a}_{E B S 1}>\hat{a}_{E B S 2}>\hat{a}_{E B S 3}$.
ii) From (A.1) and (A.2), we get

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{a}_{E B S 1}-\hat{a}_{E B S 2}\right)=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{a}_{E B S 2}-\hat{a}_{E B S 3}\right)=\frac{2 m+1}{2 c^{2}} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} M=0 .
$$

Then,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B S 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B S 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B S 3} .
$$

iii) From (24), we deduce
$\hat{a}_{E B L 1}-\hat{a}_{E B L 2}=\hat{a}_{E B L 2}-\hat{a}_{E B L 3}=\frac{2 m+1}{2 s} M 1,(\mathrm{~A} .3)$
Where

$$
\begin{gather*}
M 1=\frac{1}{c^{2}}(c I 1-2 J 1)=-\frac{S}{c}-\frac{T}{c}\left(1+\frac{T}{c}\right) \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T}\right)+\left(\frac{T+S}{c}\right)\left(1+\frac{T+S}{c}\right) \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T+S}\right) \\
=\frac{c}{T}\left[\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)+\frac{1}{20}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{30}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{42}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{4}-\frac{1}{56}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{5}+\cdots\right] \\
-\frac{c}{T+S}\left[\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{c}{T+S}\right)+\frac{1}{20}\left(\frac{c}{T+S}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{30}\left(\frac{c}{T+S}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{42}\left(\frac{c}{T+S}\right)^{4}-\frac{1}{56}\left(\frac{c}{T+S}\right)^{5}+\cdots\right] \tag{A.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

we note that
$M 1>0$, if $S>0$ and $M 1<0$, if $S<0$.
Therefore, from (A.3) and (A.4), we obtain
$\hat{a}_{E B L 1}-\hat{a}_{E B L 2}=\hat{a}_{E B L 2}-\hat{a}_{E B L 3}>0$,
that is
$\hat{a}_{E B L 1}>\hat{a}_{E B L 2}>\hat{a}_{E B L 3}$.
iv) According to (A.3) and (A.4), we get

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{a}_{E B L 1}-\hat{a}_{E B L 2}\right)=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{a}_{E B L 2}-\hat{a}_{E B L 3}\right)=\frac{2 m+1}{2 S} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} M 1=0 .
$$

Then,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B L 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B L 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{a}_{E B L 3} .
$$

v), vi) From (26), we have
$\hat{a}_{E B E 1}-\hat{a}_{E B E 2}=\hat{a}_{E B E 2}-\hat{a}_{E B E 3}=\frac{2 m-1}{2 c^{2}} M$, (A.5)
therefore, as (i) and (ii), we can prove the relations.

## Proof of Lemma 2

i) From (23), we deduce
$\hat{h}_{E B S 1}-\hat{h}_{E B S 2}=\hat{h}_{E B S 2}-\hat{h}_{E B S 3}=\frac{(2 m+1) t}{c^{2}\left(b+t^{2}\right)} M$,(A.6)
and from Lemma 1, we have $M>0$, thus
$\hat{h}_{E B S 1}-\hat{h}_{E B S 2}=\hat{h}_{E B S 2}-h_{E B S 3}>0$,
that is
$\hat{h}_{E B S 1}>\hat{h}_{E B S 2}>h_{E B S 3}$.
ii) According to (A.2) and (A.6), we get

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{h}_{E B S 1}-\hat{h}_{E B S 2}\right)=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{h}_{E B S 2}-h_{E B S 3}\right)=\frac{(2 m+1) t}{c^{2}\left(b+t^{2}\right)} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} M=0 .
$$

Then,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B S 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B S 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B S 3} .
$$

iii) From (24), we deduce
$\hat{h}_{E B L 1}-\hat{h}_{E B L 2}=\hat{h}_{E B L 2}-\hat{h}_{E B L 3}=\frac{2 m+1}{2 S} M 2$,
Where

$$
\begin{gather*}
M 2=\frac{1}{c^{2}}(c I 2-2 J 2) \\
M 2=\frac{T+S t}{c}\left(1+\frac{T+S t}{c}\right) \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T+S t}\right)-\frac{T}{c}\left(1+\frac{T}{c}\right) \log \left(1+\frac{c}{T}\right)-\frac{S t}{c} \\
=\frac{c}{T}\left[\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)+\frac{1}{20}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{30}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{42}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{4}-\frac{1}{56}\left(\frac{c}{T}\right)^{5}+\cdots\right] \\
-\frac{c}{T+H t}\left[\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{c}{T+H}\right)+\frac{1}{20}\left(\frac{c}{T+H}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{30}\left(\frac{c}{T+H}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{42}\left(\frac{c}{T+H}\right)^{4}-\frac{1}{56}\left(\frac{c}{T+H}\right)^{5}+\cdots\right], \\
H=\frac{2 S t}{b+t^{2}}, \tag{A.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

we note that
$M 2>0$, if $S>0$ and $M 2<0$, if $S<0$.
Therefore, from (A.7) and (A.8), we obtain
$\hat{h}_{E B L 1}-\hat{h}_{E B L 2}=\hat{h}_{E B L 2}-\hat{h}_{E B L 3}>0$,
that is
$\hat{h}_{E B L 1}>\hat{h}_{B L 21}>\hat{h}_{E B L 3}$.
iv) According to (A.7) and (A.8), we get

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{h}_{E B L 1}-\hat{h}_{E B L 2}\right)=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{h}_{E B L 2}-\hat{h}_{E B L 3}\right)=\frac{2 m+1}{2 S} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} M 2=0 .
$$

Then,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B L 1}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B L 2}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{E B L 3} .
$$

v), vi) From (26), we have

$$
\hat{h}_{E B E 1}-\hat{h}_{E B E 2}=\hat{h}_{E B E 2}-\hat{h}_{E B E 3}=\frac{t(2 m-1)}{c^{2}\left(b+t^{2}\right)} M
$$

therefore, as (i) and (ii), we can prove the relations.
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