



On the Coefficient and Fekete-Szegő Problem of the Pseudo-Starlike and Pseudo-Convex Bi-Univalent Function Class of Complex Order

Nizami MUSTAFA*, Davut MİNGSAR

* Kafkas University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Mathematics, Kars, Turkey

Abstract: In this paper, we defined a new subclass of starlike and convex bi-univalent functions and examine some geometric properties this function class. For this definition class, we gave some coefficient upper bound estimates and solve Fekete-Sezöge problem.

Keywords Starlike function, convex function, bi-univalent function, pseudo-starlike function, pseudo-convex function, complex order

1. Introduction

We will denote by $H(U)$ the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let A be the class of the functions $f \in H(U)$ given by series expansions

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + \cdots + a_n z^n + \cdots = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.1)$$

The subclass of A , which are univalent functions in U is denoted by S in the literature. The class S was introduced by Koebe [1] first time and has become the core ingredient of advanced research in this field. After a short time, in 1916 Bieberbach [2] published a paper in which the coefficient hypothesis was proposed. This hypothesis states that if $f \in S$ and has the series form (1.1), then $|a_n| \leq n$ for each $n \geq 2$. There are many articles in the literature regarding to this hypothesis (see [3-13, 15]).

Throughout the is paper, we always make use of the classical definition of quantum concepts as follows.

The q -numbers and q -factorial are defined by



$$[a]_q = \frac{1-q^a}{1-q}, \quad q \neq 1, \quad a \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$[0]_q! = 1, \quad [n]_q! = [n-1]_q! [n]_q.$$

In the standard approach to the q -calculus q -exponential function (see [14]) is defined as follow:

$$e_q^z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}, \quad |q| \in (0,1), \quad |z| < \frac{1}{|1-q|}.$$

It is known that the function f is called bi-univalent function, if itself and inverse is univalent in U and $f(U)$, respectively. The class of bi-univalent functions in U is denoted by Σ in the literature.

For the inverse $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$ of the function $f \in \Sigma$, can written

$$g(w) = w + A_2 w^2 + A_3 w^3 + A_4 w^4 + \dots = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n, \quad w \in f(U) = U_{r_0}, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$A_2 = -a_2, \quad A_3 = 2a_2^2 - a_3, \quad A_4 = -a_2^3 + 5a_2 a_3 - a_4, \dots$$

The bi-starlike and bi-convex function classes in the open unit disk U are defined analytically as follows and denoted by S_{Σ}^* and C_{Σ} , respectively

$$S_{\Sigma}^* = \left\{ f \in S : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in U \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} \right) > 0, \quad w \in U_{r_0} \right\}$$

$$C_{\Sigma} = \left\{ f \in S : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right) > 0, \quad z \in U \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(wg'(w))'}{g'(w)} \right) > 0, \quad w \in U_{r_0} \right\}.$$

Let's $f, g \in H(U)$, then it is said that f is subordinate to g and denoted by $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwartz function ω , such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$.

In the past few years, numerous subclasses of the class S have been introduced as special choices of the class S_{Σ}^* and C_{Σ} (see for example [3, 8-13, 15-22]).

2. Materials and Methods

Now, let's we define new subclass of bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk U .



Definition 2.1. For $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $q \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\chi_{\Sigma}(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1-\beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{z(f'(z))^{\lambda}}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{[zf'(z)]^{\lambda}}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec e_q^z, \quad z \in U \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1-\beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{w(g'(w))^{\lambda}}{g(w)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{[wg'(w)]^{\lambda}}{g'(w)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec e_q^w, \quad w \in U_{r_0}.$$

In the cases $\tau = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ from the Definition 2.1, we have the following classes of bi-univalent functions.

Definition 2.2. For $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $q \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\chi_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1-\beta) \frac{z(f'(z))^{\lambda}}{f(z)} + \beta \frac{[zf'(z)]^{\lambda}}{f'(z)} \prec e_q^z, \quad z \in U,$$

$$(1-\beta) \frac{w(g'(w))^{\lambda}}{g(w)} + \beta \frac{[wg'(w)]^{\lambda}}{g'(w)} \prec e_q^w, \quad w \in U_{r_0}.$$

Definition 2.3. For $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $q \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $S_{\Sigma}^*(\tau, \lambda; e_q^z)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{z(f'(z))^{\lambda}}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec e_q^z, \quad z \in U \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{w(g'(w))^{\lambda}}{g(w)} - 1 \right] \prec e_q^w, \quad w \in U_{r_0}.$$

Definition 2.4. For $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $q \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $C_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda; e_q^z)$, if the following conditions are satisfied



$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{\left[\left(z f'(z) \right)' \right]^\lambda}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \prec e_q^z, z \in U \text{ and } 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{\left[\left(w g'(w) \right)' \right]^\lambda}{g'(w)} - 1 \right] \prec e_q^w, w \in U_{r_0}.$$

Definition 2.5. For $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ the function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\chi_\Sigma(\tau, \beta; e_q^z)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1 - \beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{\left(z f'(z) \right)' }{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec e_q^z, z \in U \text{ and}$$

$$(1 - \beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{w g'(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{\left(w g'(w) \right)' }{g'(w)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec e_q^w, w \in U_{r_0}.$$

Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the class of analytic functions in U satisfied the conditions $p(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$, $z \in U$. It is clear that the functions that satisfy these conditions have the following series expansion

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \cdots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, z \in U. \quad (2.1)$$

The class $\mathbf{P}$ defined above is known as the class Caratheodory functions in the literature [23].

Now, let us give some necessary lemmas for the proof of our main results.

Lemma 2.1 ([24]). Let the function p belong to the class $\mathbf{P}$. Then,

$$|p_n| \leq 2 \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, |p_n - \nu p_k p_{n-k}| \leq 2 \text{ for } n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n > k \text{ and } \nu \in [0, 1].$$

The equalities hold for the function

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([24]) Let the an analytic function p be of the form (2.1), then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x,$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - (4 - p_1^2)p_1x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)y$$

for some $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq 1$.



In this paper, we give some coefficient estimates and solve Fekete-Szegő problem for the class $\chi_{\Sigma}(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$. Additionally, the results obtained for specific values of the parameters in our study are compared with the results obtained in the literature.

3. Results & Discussion

In this section, we give some coefficient estimates for the functions belonging to the class $\chi_{\Sigma}(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$ and solve Fekete-Szegő problem for this class.

Theorem 3.1. Let the function f given by series expansions (1.1) belong to the class $\chi_{\Sigma}(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$. Then, we have the following inequalities

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(2\lambda-1)(1+\beta)} \text{ and}$$

$$|a_3| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} & \text{if } |\tau|(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta) \leq (2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2, \\ \frac{|\tau|}{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} & \text{if } |\tau|(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta) \geq (2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \chi_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda; e^z)$, then exists Schwartz functions $\omega: U \rightarrow U, \varpi: U_{r_0} \rightarrow U_{r_0}$, such that

$$(1-\beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{z(f'(z))^{\lambda}}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{[zf'(z)]^{\lambda}}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec e_q^{\omega(z)}, \quad z \in U, z \in U \text{ and}$$

$$(1-\beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{w(g'(w))^{\lambda}}{g(w)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{[wg'(w)]^{\lambda}}{g'(w)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec e_q^{\varpi(w)}, \quad w \in U_{r_0}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let's the functions $p, q \in P$ defined as follows:

$$p(z) = \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)} = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad z \in U,$$

$$q(w) = \frac{1+\varpi(w)}{1-\varpi(w)} = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + q_3 w^3 + \dots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n w^n, \quad w \in U_{r_0}. \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from that



$$\omega(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} = \frac{p_1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2}\left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2}\right)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(p_3 - p_1p_2 - \frac{p_1^3}{4}\right)z^3 \dots, z \in U,$$

$$\varpi(w) = \frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1} = \frac{q_1}{2}w + \frac{1}{2}\left(q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2}\right)w^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(q_3 - q_1q_2 - \frac{q_1^3}{4}\right)w^3 \dots, w \in U_{r_0}. \quad (3.4)$$

From the (3.2) and (3.4) can written

$$(1+\beta)(2\lambda-1)a_2z + \left[(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)a_3 + (1+3\beta)(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)a_2^2\right]z^2 + \dots$$

$$= \tau \left\{ \frac{p_1}{2}z + \frac{1}{4} \left[2p_2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{[2]_q!}\right)p_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots \right\}, z \in U,$$

$$(1+\beta)(2\lambda-1)A_2w + \left[(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)A_3 + (1+3\beta)(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)A_2^2\right]w^2 + \dots$$

$$= \tau \left\{ \frac{q_1}{2}w + \frac{1}{4} \left[2q_2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{[2]_q!}\right)q_1^2 \right] w^2 + \dots \right\}, w \in U_{r_0}. \quad (3.5)$$

If we consider that $A_2 = -a_2$ and $A_3 = 2a_2^2 - a_3$, we write the equations (3.5) as follows:

$$(1+\beta)(2\lambda-1)a_2z + \left[(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)a_3 + (1+3\beta)(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)a_2^2\right]z^2 + \dots$$

$$= \tau \left\{ \frac{p_1}{2}z + \frac{1}{4} \left[2p_2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{[2]_q!}\right)p_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots \right\}, z \in U,$$

$$-(1+\beta)(2\lambda-1)a_2w + \left[(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)(2a_2^2 - a_3) + (1+3\beta)(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)a_2^2\right]w^2 + \dots$$

$$= \tau \left\{ \frac{q_1}{2}w + \frac{1}{4} \left[2q_2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{[2]_q!}\right)q_1^2 \right] w^2 + \dots \right\}, w \in U_{r_0}. \quad (3.6)$$

By equate the same coefficients of the parameters z and w , we obtain the following equalities

$$a_2 = \frac{\tau p_1}{2(2\lambda-1)(1+\beta)},$$

$$(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)a_3 + (1+3\beta)(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)a_2^2 = \frac{\tau}{4} \left[2p_2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{[2]_q!}\right)p_1^2 \right], \quad (3.7)$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{\tau q_1}{2(2\lambda-1)(1+\beta)},$$



$$(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)(2a_2^2-a_3)+(1+3\beta)(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)a_2^2=\frac{\tau}{4}\left[2q_2-\left(1-\frac{1}{[2]_q!}\right)q_1^2\right]. \quad (3.8)$$

Then,

$$\frac{\tau p_1}{2(2\lambda-1)(1+\beta)}=a_2=-\frac{\tau q_1}{2(2\lambda-1)(1+\beta)}; \text{ that is, } p_1=-q_1. \quad (3.9)$$

Using Lemma 2.1 to the equality (3.9), we have first result of theorem.

If we subtract (3.8) from the equality (3.7), we get the following equality for a_3 :

$$a_3=\frac{\tau^2 p_1^2}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}+\frac{\tau(p_2-q_2)}{4(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $p_2-q_2=\frac{4-p_1^2}{2}(x-y)$ (see Lemma 2.2), we can write

$$a_3=\frac{\tau^2 p_1^2}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}+\frac{\tau(4-p_1^2)}{8(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}(x-y)$$

for some $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq 1$.

Then, applying triangle inequality to the last equality, we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\tau|^2 t^2}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{|\tau|(4-t^2)}{8(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}(\zeta + \varsigma), \quad \zeta, \varsigma \in [0, 1], \quad (3.11)$$

where $\zeta = |x|$, $\varsigma = |y|$ and $t = |p_1|$. From the inequality (3.11), we can write

$$|a_3| \leq |\tau| \left[\frac{a(\lambda, \beta)}{4} t^2 + \frac{1}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} \right], \quad t \in [0, 2],$$

where

$$a(\lambda, \beta) = \frac{|\tau|}{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}.$$



Then, maximizing the function

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{a(\lambda, \beta)}{4} t^2 + \frac{1}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)}, \quad t \in [0, 2]$$

it can easily be seen that $\varphi(t) \leq \frac{1}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)}$ if $a(\lambda, \beta) \leq 0$ and $\varphi(t) \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}$ if

$$a(\lambda, \beta) \geq 0.$$

With this, the proof of second inequality of (3.1) is provided.

Thus, the proof of theorem is completed.

In the case $\tau = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ from the Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following results, respectively.

Corollary 3.1. If $f \in \chi_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{(2\lambda - 1)(1 + \beta)} \text{ and } |a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)} & \text{if } \frac{3\lambda - 1}{(2\lambda - 1)^2} \leq \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{(1 + 2\beta)}, \\ \frac{1}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2} & \text{if } \frac{3\lambda - 1}{(2\lambda - 1)^2} \geq \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{(1 + 2\beta)}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.2. If $f \in S_{\Sigma}^*(\tau, \lambda; e_q^z)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{2\lambda - 1} \text{ and } |a_3| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3\lambda - 1} & \text{if } |\tau| \leq \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^2}{(3\lambda - 1)}, \\ \frac{|\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2} & \text{if } |\tau| \geq \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^2}{(3\lambda - 1)}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.3. If $f \in C_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda; e_q^z)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{2(2\lambda - 1)} \text{ and } |a_3| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3(3\lambda - 1)} & \text{if } |\tau| \leq \frac{4(2\lambda - 1)^2}{3(3\lambda - 1)}, \\ \frac{|\tau|}{4(2\lambda - 1)^2} & \text{if } |\tau| \geq \frac{4(2\lambda - 1)^2}{3(3\lambda - 1)}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.4. If $f \in \chi_{\Sigma}(\tau, \beta; e_q^z)$, then



$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{1+\beta} \text{ and } |a_3| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(1+2\beta)} & \text{if } |\tau| \leq \frac{(1+\beta)^2}{2(1+2\beta)}, \\ \frac{|\tau|}{(1+\beta)^2} & \text{if } |\tau| \geq \frac{(1+\beta)^2}{2(1+2\beta)}. \end{cases}$$

Now, we give the following theorem on the Fekete-Szegő problem for the class $\chi_\Sigma(\beta, \lambda; e^z)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \chi_\Sigma(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} & \text{if } |1-\mu||\tau| \leq \frac{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}, \\ \frac{|1-\mu||\tau|}{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} & \text{if } |1-\mu||\tau| \geq \frac{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \chi_\Sigma(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$, then from the equalities (3.9) and (3.10), we can write

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{(1-\mu)\tau^2 p_1^2}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{\tau(p_2 - q_2)}{4(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Then, applying Lemma 2.2 the expression $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ can written as follows

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{(1-\mu)\tau^2 p_1^2}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{\tau(4-p_1^2)}{8(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}(x-y) \quad (3.14)$$

for some $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq 1$.

Applying triangle inequality to this equality, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|1-\mu||\tau|^2 t^2}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{|\tau|(4-t^2)}{8(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}(\xi + \eta), \quad \xi, \eta \in [0, 1], \quad (3.15)$$

where $\xi = |x|$, $\eta = |y|$ and $t = |p_1|$.

From the inequality (3.15), we can write

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\tau| \left[\frac{b(\lambda, \beta; \mu)}{4} t^2 + c(\lambda, \beta) \right], \quad t \in [0, 2],$$



where

$$b(\lambda, \beta; \mu) = \frac{|1-\mu||\tau|}{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} \text{ and } c(\lambda, \beta) = \frac{1}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}.$$

Maximizing the function $\psi : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows

$$\psi(t) = \frac{b(\lambda, \beta; \mu)}{4} t^2 + c(\lambda, \beta), \quad t \in [0, 2],$$

we can easily see that $\psi(t) \leq c(\lambda, \beta)$ if $b(\lambda, \beta; \mu) \leq 0$; that is if

$$(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)|1-\mu||\tau| \leq (2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2$$

and

$$\psi(t) \leq \frac{|1-\mu||\tau|}{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}$$

if

$$(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)|1-\mu||\tau| \geq (2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2.$$

Thus, the proof of theorem is completed.

In the case $\tau=1$, $\beta=0$, $\beta=1$ and $\lambda=1$ from the Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following results, respectively.

Corollary 3.5. If $f \in \chi_{\Sigma}(\beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} & \text{if } |1-\mu| \leq \frac{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}, \\ \frac{|1-\mu|}{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} & \text{if } |1-\mu| \geq \frac{(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.6. If $f \in S_{\Sigma}^*(\tau, \lambda; e_q^z)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, then



$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3\lambda - 1} & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \leq \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^2}{3\lambda - 1}, \\ \frac{|1 - \mu||\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2} & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \geq \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^2}{3\lambda - 1}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.7. If $f \in C_\Sigma(\tau, \lambda; e_q^z)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3(3\lambda - 1)} & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \leq \frac{4(2\lambda - 1)^2}{3(3\lambda - 1)}, \\ \frac{|1 - \mu||\tau|}{4(2\lambda - 1)^2} & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \geq \frac{4(2\lambda - 1)^2}{3(3\lambda - 1)}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.8. $f \in \chi_\Sigma(\tau, \beta; e_q^z)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2(1 + 2\beta)} & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \leq \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{2(1 + 2\beta)}, \\ \frac{|1 - \mu||\tau|}{(1 + \beta)^2} & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \geq \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{2(1 + 2\beta)}. \end{cases}$$

Also, taking $\mu = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in the Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following results, respectively.

Corollary 3.9. If $f \in \chi_\Sigma(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$, then

$$|a_3| \leq |\tau| \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)} & \text{if } |\tau| \leq \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)}, \\ \frac{|1 - \mu||\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2} & \text{if } |\tau| \geq \frac{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)}. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.10. If $f \in \chi_\Sigma(\tau, \beta, \lambda; e_q^z)$, then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)}.$$

Remark 3.1. We note that Corollary 3.9 confirms the second result of Theorem 3.1.

References

- [1]. Kőbe, P. (1909). Über die Uniformisierung der Algebraischen Kurven, durch automorpher Funktionen mit



- imaginarer Substitutions gruppe. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. -Phys. 68-76.
- [2]. Bieberbach, L. (1916). Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln. Sitzungsberichte Preuss. Akad. Der Wiss. 138, 940-955.
- [3]. Sokol, J. (2011). A certain class of starlike functions. Comput. Math. Appl. 62, 611-619.
- [4]. Janowski, W. (1970). Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families. Ann. Pol. Math. 23, 159-177.
- [5]. Arif, M., Ahmad, K., Liu, J.-L., Sokol, J. (2019). A new class of analytic functions associated with Salagean operator. J. Funct. Spaces <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6157394>, 1-8.
- [6]. Brannan, D. A., Kirwan, W. E. (1969). On some classes of bounded univalent functions. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 2, 431-443.
- [7]. Sharma, K., Jain, N. K., Ravichandran, V. (2016) Starlike function associated with a cardioid. Afr. Math. 27, 923-939.
- [8]. Kumar, S. S., Arora, K. (2020). Starlike functions associated with a petal shaped domain. ArXiv 2020, arXiv: 2010.10072.
- [9]. Mendiratta, R., Nagpal, S., Ravichandran, V. (2015). On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function. Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. 38, 365-386.
- [10]. Bano, K., Raza, M. (2020). Starlike functions associated with cosine function. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 47, 1513-1532.
- [11]. Alotaibi, A., Arif, M., Alghamdi, M. A., Hussain, S. (2020). Starlikeness associated with cosine hyperbolic function. Mathematics 8, 1118.
- [12]. Ullah, K., Zainab, S., Arif, M., Darus, M., Shutayi, M. (2021). Radius Problems for Starlike Functions Associated with the Tan Hyperbolic Function. J. Funct. Spaces, Article ID 9967640.
- [13]. Cho, N. E., Kumar, V., Kumar, S. S., (2019). Ravichandran, V. Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 45, 213-232.
- [14]. Cieslinski, J. L. (2011). Improved q -exponential and q -trigonometric functions. Applied Mathematics Letters, 24(12), 2110-2114.
- [15]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç. A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function. 13th International Istanbul Scientific Research Congress on Life, Engineering and Applied Sciences on April 29-30, 234-241, Istanbul, Turkey.
- [16]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç. A. (2023). The Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function. 13th International Istanbul Scientific



- Research Congress on Life, Engineering and Applied Sciences on April 29-30, 242-249, Istanbul, Turkey.
- [17]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V. (2023). Coefficient estimates and Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function. 13th International Istanbul Scientific Research Congress on Life, Engineering and Applied Sciences on May 1-2, 475-481, Istanbul, Turkey.
- [18]. Mustafa, N., Demir, H. A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions with associated with sine and cosine functions. 4th International Black Sea Congress on Modern Scientific Research on June 6-8, 2555-2563, Rize Turkey.
- [19]. Mustafa, N., Demir, H. A. (2023). Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine and cosine functions. 4th International Black Sea Congress on Modern Scientific Research on June 6-8, 2564-2572, Rize Turkey.
- [20]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç. A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function with complex order. *Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 10(6), 18-25.
- [21]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç. A. (2023). The Fekete-Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function with complex order. *Eastern Anatolian Journal of Science*, 9(1), 1-6.
- [22]. Mustafa, N., Demir, H. A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions with associated with sine and cosine functions with complex order. *Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 10(6), 131-140.
- [23]. Miller, S. S. (1975). Differential inequalities and Caratheodory functions. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 81, 79-81.
- [24]. Duren, P. L. (1983). *Univalent Functions*. In *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, Springer-Verlag, Volume 259.

