Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 2024, 11(2):228-233



**Research Article** 

ISSN: 2394-2630 CODEN(USA): JSERBR

# **Comparative analysis of Databricks and Traditional Data** Warehousing Solutions

# Satyadeepak Bollineni

Staff Technical Solutions Engineer Databricks Texas, USA

Abstract This paper comprehensively compares Databricks and traditional data warehousing solutions regarding their architectures, performance metrics, cost implication, and user experience. Indeed, in an era when organizations depend on data to make strategic decisions, understanding different data management platforms should be invited. Databricks is an Apache Spark-built, cloud-based, state-of-the-art solution offering speed, scalability, and real-time analytics, making it the best solution for modern-day data analysis. On the other hand, traditional data warehousing solutions can be up to the task but usually suffer from keeping up with the evolving demands for data while commanding extensive infrastructure costs. The paper discusses critical performance metrics, such as processing speed and scalability, with a broad cost analysis based on the TCO. It also covers concerns about ethics related to the treatment of data, which places much emphasis on compliance and data privacy in the wake of increased regulatory interest. The findings imply that organizations should embrace modern data solutions, such as Databricks, to keep up with the pace in analytics and business operations; this is an increasing requirement in today's fast-evolving, data-driven environment.

## Keywords Databricks, Traditional Data Warehousing, Data Management, Performance Metrics, Cost Analysis.

## 1. Introduction

Data warehousing is one of the significant activities of modern data management. It helps organizations efficiently store, analyze, and retrieve a high volume of information. Conventionally, a data warehouse integrates data from many sources into one repository to make the creation of business intelligence and reporting easier. Databricks has become one of the fast-growing cloud-based platforms, improving data engineering with data science and machine learning development atop Apache Spark by providing lightning-fast processing of data in real time. In this paper, the architectures of Databricks are compared to those of traditional data warehousing solutions. Performance metrics are identified that may help the decision-makers within organizations make appropriate selection decisions regarding their data management strategies.

## 2. Background and Literature Review

Traditional data warehousing solutions are specialized systems that aggregate, store, and analyze large volumes of structured data. They represent a kind of central repository where various data from different sources is integrated, transformed, and then systematically stored for further reporting and analysis. The main elements of the traditional data warehouse are the source of the data, ETL processing, and various systems that factor in data storage and querying tools to enable the processes of effectively managing the data. The primary role of a data warehouse is simplifying decision-making by providing consistent, reliable, and correct data to the user for indepth analysis and reporting. Traditional data warehousing architecture consists of several well-defined layers working in coordination for efficient data handling and processing. Data sources include operational systems at

the bottom layer, databases, and feeds from external data constituencies contributing to the overall data ecosystem.



Figure: 1 General workflow of a traditional data warehousing

The above figure represents the general workflow of a standard traditional data warehousing system and depicts the major stages involved, from raw data down to action-driven insight. The flow starts with various data sources, like CRM systems, flat files, and website traffic logs, feeding into an ETL process. During the extraction steps, data is collected from various sources [1]. Data transformation encompasses all cleaning, harmonization, and enrichment steps needed to ensure the quality of information will be guaranteed. After transformation, there is loading: the transformed data shall be filled into the focal repository, the data warehouse. Online analytical processing, data mining, and reporting are advanced analytics that can be conducted in the data warehouse. The analytical processes will, in turn, facilitate organizational insights, deep analysis, and report generation that would drive decision-making and strategic planning.

ETL processes are extracted from source systems, transformed for consistency and quality purposes, and fed into the data warehouse for deep freezing. This structured approach ensures that organizations remain assured about the best quality and access to data, hence drawing a firm foundation upon which analytics and business intelligence shall effectively operate. ETL processes form an integral component of traditional data warehousing. The ETLs are necessary elements in data warehousing because they ensure proper integration of data from diverse sources and transform it into a deep analytic format. During extraction, various complicated, time-consuming procedures extract the data from operational databases and systems. After that, in the transformation phase, cleaning, standardization, and enrichment of business requirements take place. The loading phase finally feeds the data warehouse with the transformation-allied elaborated data, making them promptly available to Business Intelligence tools and Reporting and Analytical queries.

Traditional data warehouses have based their storage on relational database management principles, which efficiently stored and retrieved data through well-structured tables [2]. Data is usually stored in tables that may allow for complicated queries using SQL. During querying, the users are empowered to analyze the data, create abridged reports, and gather critical insight about the operations and trends of business. This structured approach brings in the aspect of reliability and consistency in the analysis of data. While there is likely to be a performance degradation problem, volume and complexity are growing overtime. Traditional data warehousing solutions give many advantages: sound consistency of data, well-established processes of working with data, comprehensive support of complex queries and analyses. For these reasons, they have provided a reliable foundation for business intelligence and reporting that many organizations have utilized. There are, however, several major disadvantages. Classic systems cannot quickly adapt to changes in data requirements and too often require large investments of hours of time and labor and resources to enable such updates to take place. High initial investments in infrastructure and upkeep costs could be impossible for many, primarily minor, organizations. Traditional data warehousing solutions are widely used across different finance, healthcare, and retail industries, whereby correct reporting and data analysis are crucial to operational success. For instance, a retail organization may want to employ a traditional data warehouse and integrate sales data output from disparate stores; this will ensure a comprehensive sales analysis and thus help perform effective inventory management. This centralization enables improved decision-making processes based on sound historical data; hence, it allows the organization to respond to changes in the market and customers' needs.



Figure: 2 Search Interests for ETL from 2004 to Present

This graph above exemplifies "ETL" instead of "data warehouse" for search interest. The trends run from 2004 to the present. The ETL line is blue and follows a slow digression in interest, while the line for the data warehouse is red and mostly flat with minor fluctuations. The trend line suggests that people are increasingly aware of or likely turning their attention away from old notions of data warehousing to more comprehensive and automated ETL processes [3]. Interest in ETL varies by country: Laos, Japan, South Korea, France, and China have the highest volumes of searches. It essentially means that the significance of data warehousing is not being belittled; it's just that more efficiency and effectiveness in ETL processes are emphasized nowadays, driven by the need for real-time data processing and integration.

#### 3. Databricks Overview

Databricks is a unified data analytics platform that empowers users to simplify and accelerate data engineering and science, making it faster and easier than ever. All this has been made possible due to the mighty Apache Spark framework at the back; thus, Databricks enables it to help an organization process enormous volumes of data with unparalleled efficiency, addressing a wide array of analytics-driven use cases from batch to real-time end. It's a collaborative environment where data professionals can create, share, and manage notebooks within the same ecosystem to leverage collaboration between data science, engineering, and business intelligence teams. Databricks combines structured and unstructured data from various sources in a versatile way that fits different analytics needs. Prominent among its features is the architecture of Delta Lake, which brought ACID transaction support, lending reliability and consistency to one's data while enabling concurrent data processing. It allows organizations to handle streaming data alongside batch processing, making the operations very responsive [4]. Besides, Databricks was designed with integrated machine learning, helping teams quickly develop, train, and deploy models. In a nutshell, Databricks is an advanced kind of data management approach that marries analytics power with user-friendly interfaces for insights and innovation, as shown in the image below.



Figure: 3 Typical Datawarehouse Architecture

MS Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research

A typical Databricks architecture would include several components related to each other to alleviate the tasks of using data. The core is Databricks Workspace, where users can create and share notebooks, handle clusters, and access the root of the data sources with competence [5]. This architecture makes the integration seamless with different data lakes, cloud storage, and external databases to allow organizations to consolidate their data management efforts. The user-friendly interface allows intuitive data exploration and analysis, enabling the data professional to focus on insights rather than getting bogged down with technical complexities associated with conventional data processing systems.

That makes Databricks inevitable, as its architecture is based on the Delta Lake-solid basis, which collects data reliability with performance. Delta Lake supports ACID transactions and guarantees data integrity while allowing concurrency in data processing [6]. With that architecture, users can work productively with big datasets and manage streaming and batch data. In addition, Databricks has been designed to handle real-time processing, thus equipping organizations with the capacity to analyze a stream of data from a proper perspective. This is particularly helpful for applications requiring immediate insights, like fraud detection and real-time customer engagement strategies. Hence, this attribute would contribute significantly to enhancing operational responsiveness.

There can be several advantages with Databricks, such as enabling data teams to collaborate much better, speeding up data processing, and handling complex analytics workloads much better [7]. They let the organization become flexible and scalable by responding quicker to the change in demand without jeopardizing the bottom line. The downside could be data security and compliance risks, especially from organizations that handle sensitive information. Broad adoption of Databricks, however, does require somewhat of a cultural shift from the way traditional data warehousing has been performed; hence, it could be pretty tricky for specific teams who have set their processes in place. Several uses of Databricks in tandem have been embraced by various industries where data, such as finance and health, must drive decisions. [8]. It can be used, for example, by a financial institution to analyze transactional data in real time and enable pattern and anomaly identification for higher fraud detection. This would lead to more security, increasing the trust of the customer base and their satisfaction, and allowing them to have appropriate risk management strategies in the future for better outcomes.

Five Reasons to Use Databricks				
01	02	03	04	05
Compatibility	Language and environment	Productivity	Collaboration	Integration
Azure Databricks can also be used for small-scale jobs and development / testing. This allows Databricks to be an optimized platform for all analytical work.	Since Databricks is spark-based, it can access common programming languages such as Pyrthon, R. Java, and SQL	Deployments into the production environment can be done almost instantly.	The collaborative workspace of Databricks provides a common environment for data engineers, data scientists, and business analysts to work on data model creation, machine learning, and data extraction in an interactive manner.	Azure Databricks utilizes the existing credentials authorization to easily integrate with the Azure stack of your choice, such as ADLS, Data Warehouse, Blob Storage, and Azure Event Hub. This is possible with the help of Azure Active Directory (AAD) security.

Figure: 4 Reasons to use Databricks

The diagram above summarizes five reasons for using Databricks in data management and analytics. It allows for the efficient handling of jobs and development tasks on a small scale because it is compatible, making it an optimized platform for all analytical work [9]. Second, Databricks supports multiple languages: Python, R, Java, and SQL. This encourages flexibility in the development environment. Thirdly, it offers productivity features that enable near-instant deployment of data solutions into production. Fourth is the enabling environment a collaborative workspace fosters, where data engineers, scientists, and business analysts work effectively on data modeling, machine learning, and extraction. Not least important, Databricks integrates well with the Azure ecosystem by utilizing existing credentials for increased security and ease of connecting to various Azure services, making workflows easier to accomplish and increasing general efficiency.

#### 4. Comparative Analysis

A side-by-side comparison between Databricks and traditional data warehousing demonstrates several key areas where each system differentiates itself: performance, cost, user experience, and adaptability. Each of these plays an important role in enabling the organizations to decide on the most appropriate strategy concerning data management. This section summarizes some key performance metrics, cost implications, user experience, and flexibility for each of the solutions.

The solutions provided by data are governed by critical metrics of performance. For speed and efficiency, particularly volume, Databricks does very well. In real-time analytics, it performs through much quicker times, reducing query time compared to its forerunners, who perform with latency in their performances. By empowering it through in-memory processing using Apache Spark, it is allowed to fire off elaborate analyses with velocity and depth, allowing also for better decision-making and operational efficiency.

Another strong suit of Databricks is its scalability. Traditional data warehousing scales well only with heavy investments in physical capacity processes, which are just short of being expensive and time-consuming. On the other hand, Databricks works on cloud-based systems that scale up or upgrade data processing capacities according to organizations very fast and at any time with minimal overhead costs. The resultant flexibility enables organizations to meet the changing demands in data requirements on time and makes Databricks more agile during high loads of workloads.

Thus, from a cost implication perspective, it is important to understand what TCO is. Traditional warehousing requires huge upfront costs in hardware, software, and continued maintenance that could bind an organization into multiyear vendor contracts, thereby increasing the financial burden with each successive year. Databricks uses a pay-as-you-go model where an organization pays only for the resources utilized, reconstructing lower initial investments and maintaining greater financial flexibility.

This, in general, decreases the TCO for Databricks over time-especially true for those organizations that need to scale up or change their environments based on dynamic workloads. Minimizing the need for expensive hardware with cloud infrastructure means substantial savings since maintenance costs are reduced. However, again, the onus lies on an organization to be mindful of usage patterns, as the economic advantages tend to accrue based on the demands for processing. Overall, cost analysis reveals that Databricks provides far-reaching savings compared to traditional approaches, especially to those who value efficiency. Any data solution is only as good as the user experience and level of accessibility. Databricks provides an intuitive interface that allows data teams to collaborate with software developers, data scientists, analysts, and business users to work together quickly [10]. Its integration with popular data visualization tools further extends accessibility by freeing less technical stakeholders from actively communicating with and gaining insight from their data. On the other hand, handling most traditional data warehousing solutions requires expert knowledge to navigate them, limiting their wider use across the organization. Again, this constitutes a pressing need to find solutions that enable all users to leverage data effectively.

Flexibility and adaptability are vital ingredients for today's fast-moving environment. Databricks empowers an organization to quickly adapt to changing data demands and offers a dynamic way of dealing with data. Traditional warehouses can be rigid, requiring extended reconfigurations to accommodate new data sources. On the other hand, with Databricks, this goes faster; therefore, your organization can be much more agile and responsive to changes confronting the market. In other words, it is also essential to consider that sometimes companies must rapidly change strategy regarding the arising trends or demands of customers.



Figure: 5 Evolution of Data Lakehouse



This diagram above contrasts traditional data warehousing, data lakes, and the newer data lake house architecture, placing Databricks in context. Conventional data warehouses narrowly focus on structured data and use cases like BI and reporting [11]. There is a high dependence on ETL processing, which manages data, allowing limited flexibility and adaptability for newer data sources. In contrast, data lakes support more data types, including structured, semi-structured, and unstructured data, enabling more comprehensive information analytics and machine learning use cases at scale. Data lake houses integrate the best of both worlds where organizations can do BI, data science, and machine learning on one platform but still have a layer for governance regarding better metadata management and security. No other fully represents the Data Lake House model, and none other than Databricks offers a single platform that lets data teams collaborate to reduce friction in workflows and therefore allows the possibility of real time for innovation. This flexibility and the ability for integrations with other applications continue to give it an advantageous lead to traditional data warehousing solutions as the world enters the future of data management.

#### 5. Conclusion

Databricks offers a modern, agile way of meeting fast business landscapes today with exceptional performance, reassurance of speed hitherto, and real-time analytics capability. Traditional data warehousing operates in a reliable but buckle-under kind of way to the evolving demands. Traditional data warehousing pays turning datadriven in decision making rather than fighting this rising tide with new platforms such as Databricks.

#### References

- [1]. H. Hashim, "Hybrid Warehouse Model and Solutions for Climate Data Analysis," Journal of Computer and Communications, vol. 08, no. 10, pp. 75–98, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.4236/jcc.2020.810008.
- [2]. M. Y. Santos, C. Costa, J. Galvão, C. Andrade, O. Pastor, and A. C. Marcén, "Enhancing Big Data Warehousing for Efficient, Integrated and Advanced Analytics," Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, pp. 215–226, 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-21297-1\_19.
- [3]. "ETL Data Warehousing for 2023 Data Management and Analysis," AIMultiple: High Tech Use Cases & Tools to Grow Your Business, 2023.
- [4]. K. R. Raghavendran and A. Elragal, "Low-Code Machine Learning Platforms: A Fastlane to Digitalization," www.preprints.org, May 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202305.1238.v1.
- [5]. V. Morfino and S. Rampone, "Towards Near-Real-Time Intrusion Detection for IoT Devices using Supervised Learning and Apache Spark," Electronics, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 444, Mar. 2020,
- [6]. ANGE KOUAME, "Delta Lake Deletion vectors : A complete overview ANGE KOUAME -
- [7]. S. Jovanovic, "10 Databricks Capabilities every Data Person Needs to Know," Medium, Jul. 12, 2022.
- [8]. S. Sahu, "Databricks: Revolutionizing Data Analytics and Machine Learning," Medium, Sep. 14, 2023.
- [9]. Team LatentView, "Azure Databricks Enhancement with Delta Lake| LatentView Analytics," LatentView Analytics, Mar. 31, 2023.
- [10]. P. Ataei and A. Litchfield, "The State of Big Data Reference Architectures: A Systematic Literature Review," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 113789–113807, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3217557.
- [11]. A. Sayed, "Differences Between Data Warehouse, Data Lake, Lakehouse and Modern Lakehouse," Medium, Jul. 25, 2023.

Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research