



On the Pseudo-Starlike and Pseudo-Convex Univalent Function Classes of Complex Order

Nizami MUSTAFA*, Davut MINGSAR

*Kafkas University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Mathematics, Kars, Turkey

Abstract: In this paper, we defined a new subclass of starlike bi-univalent functions and examine some geometric properties this function class. For this definition class, we gave some coefficient estimates and solve Fekete-Sezöge problem.

Keywords: Starlike function, convex function, pseudo-starlike function, pseudo-convex function

1. Introduction

Let $H(U)$ be the class of analytic functions on the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . By A , we will denote the class of the functions $f \in H(U)$ given by the following series expansions

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + \dots + a_n z^n + \dots = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (1.1)$$

The subclass of univalent functions of A is denoted by S in the literature. This class was first introduced by Koebe [1] and has become the core ingredient of advanced research in this field. Within a short period, in 1916 Bieberbach [2] published a paper in which the famous coefficient hypothesis was proposed. This hypothesis states that if $f \in S$ and has the series form (1.1), then $|a_n| \leq n$ for each $n \geq 2$. In 1985, it was de-Branges [3], who settled this long-lasting conjecture. There were a lot of papers devoted to this conjecture and its related coefficient problems (see [4-12]).

It is well known that the starlike and convex function classes defined on the open unit disk U are defined analytically as follows

$$S^* = \left\{ f \in S : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U \right\}, \quad C = \left\{ f \in S : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U \right\}.$$

As is known that an analytical function ω satisfying the conditions $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ is called Schwartz function. Let's $f, g \in H(U)$, then it is said that f is subordinate to g and denoted by $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwartz function ω , such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$.

In the past few years, numerous subclasses of the collection S have been introduced as special choices of the classes S^* and C (see for example [5-20]).



2. Materials and Methods

Now, let's define some new subclass of univalent functions in the open unit disk U .

Definition 2.1. For $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class

$\mathcal{X}_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1-\beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{z(f'(z))^\lambda}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{[(zf'(z))']^\lambda}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

From the Definition 1.1, in the special values of the parameters, we obtain the following function classes.

Definition 2.2. For $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ the function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $S_{\sinh}^*(\lambda, \tau)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{z(f'(z))^\lambda}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.2.1. For $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $S_{\sinh}^*(\tau)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.2.2. For $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $S_{\sinh}^*(\lambda)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{z(f'(z))^\lambda}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.2.3. For the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class S_{\sinh}^* , if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.3. For $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $C_{\sinh}(\lambda, \tau)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{[(zf'(z))']^\lambda}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.3.1. For $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $C_{\sinh}(\tau)$, if the following conditions are satisfied



$$\left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.3.2. For $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $C_{\sinh}(\lambda)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{\left[(zf'(z))' \right]^\lambda}{f'(z)} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.3.3. For the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class C_{\sinh} , if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.4. For $\beta \in [0,1]$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $\chi_{\sinh}(\beta, \tau)$, if the following condition is satisfied

$$(1 - \beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.4.1. For $\beta \in [0,1]$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $\chi_{\sinh}(\beta)$, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1 - \beta) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \beta \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Definition 2.5. For $\beta \in [0,1]$ and $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ the function $f \in S$ is said to be in the class $\chi_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda)$, if the following condition is satisfied

$$(1 - \beta) \frac{z(f'(z))^\lambda}{f(z)} + \beta \frac{\left[(zf'(z))' \right]^\lambda}{f'(z)} \prec 1 + \sinh z, z \in U.$$

Let P be the class of analytic functions in U satisfied the conditions $p(0) = 1$ and $\text{Re}(p(z)) > 0, z \in U$, which from the subordination principle easily can written

$$P = \left\{ p \in A : p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in U \right\},$$

where $p(z)$ has the series expansion of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, z \in U. \tag{2.1}$$

Now, let us present some necessary lemmas known in the literature for the proof of our main results.

Lemma 2.1 ([21]). Let the function $p(z)$ belong in the class P . Then,



$$|p_n| \leq 2 \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } |p_n - \lambda p_k p_{n-k}| \leq 2 \text{ for } n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n > k \text{ and } \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

The equalities hold for

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([21]) Let an analytic function $p(z)$ be of the form (2.1), then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x,$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - (4 - p_1^2)p_1x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)y$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|y| \leq 1$.

In this paper, we give some coefficient estimates and examine Fekete-Szegö problem for the class $\mathcal{X}_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$. Additionally, the results obtained in our study are compared with the results available in the literature.

3. Results & Discussion

In this section, we examine the coefficient estimates problem for the function class $\mathcal{X}_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$ and solve Fekete-Szegö problem for this class.

Firstly, we give the following theorem on coefficient evaluation.

Theorem 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma, \sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$, then are provided the following inequalities

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)(1 + \beta)} \text{ and}$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a(\tau, \lambda, \beta) \leq 0, \\ \frac{|2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1|(1 + 3\beta)|\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2} & \text{if } a(\tau, \lambda, \beta) \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $a(\tau, \lambda, \beta) = \frac{|2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1|(1 + 3\beta)|\tau| - (2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}{4(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{X}_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$. Then, are a Schwartz function $\omega : U \rightarrow U$, such that

$$(1 - \beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{z(f'(z))^\lambda}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[\frac{[(zf'(z))']^\lambda}{f'(z)} - 1 \right] \right\} = 1 + \sinh \omega(z), z \in U. \quad (3.2)$$

Let's the function $p \in P$ defined as follows

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, z \in U. \quad (3.3)$$

From these equality, we can write

$$\omega(z) = \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} = \frac{p_1}{2}z + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_3 - p_1p_2 - \frac{p_1^3}{4} \right) z^3 \dots, z \in U. \quad (3.4)$$

From the (3.2), (3.4) can written



$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1-\beta) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[a_2(2\lambda-1)z + ((3\lambda-1)a_3 + (2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)a_2^2)z^2 + \dots \right] \right\} \\
 & + \beta \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[2a_2(2\lambda-1)z + (3(3\lambda-1)a_3 + (8\lambda^2-16\lambda+4)a_2^2)z^2 + \dots \right] \right\} \\
 & = 1 + \frac{p_1}{2}z + \left(\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right) z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in U,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Comparing the coefficients of the same degree terms on the right and left sides of the equality (3.5), we obtain the following equalities for the coefficients a_2 and a_3

$$a_2 = \frac{\tau p_1}{2(2\lambda-1)(1+\beta)}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)a_3 + (2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)(1+3\beta)a_2^2 = \left(\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} \right) \tau, \tag{3.7}$$

According to the Lemma 2.1, from the equality (3.6) we obtain the first result of theorem.

From the equalities (3.7) and (3.6) we obtain the following equality for a_3

$$a_3 = \frac{\tau}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} \left\{ \frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} - \frac{(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)(1+3\beta)\tau}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} p_1^2 \right\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\frac{p_2}{2} - \frac{p_1^2}{4} = \frac{4-p_1^2}{4}x$, we can write

$$a_3 = \frac{\tau}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} \left\{ \frac{4-p_1^2}{4}x - \frac{(2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1)(1+3\beta)\tau}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} p_1^2 \right\}$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| \leq 1$.

Applying triangle inequality to the last equality, we obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} \left\{ \frac{4-t^2}{4} \xi + \frac{|2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1|(1+3\beta)|\tau|}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2} t^2 \right\}, \quad \xi \in [0,1], \tag{3.9}$$

where $\xi = |x|$ and $t = |p_1|$.

From the inequality (3.9), we can write

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(3\lambda-1)(1+2\beta)} \{ a(\tau, \lambda, \beta) t^2 + 1 \}, \quad t \in [0,2], \tag{3.10}$$

where $a(\tau, \lambda, \beta) = \frac{|2\lambda^2-4\lambda+1|(1+3\beta)|\tau| - (2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}{4(2\lambda-1)^2(1+\beta)^2}$.

Then, maximizing the function $\chi(t) = a(\tau, \lambda, \beta)t^2 + 1$, we obtain the second result of theorem.

With this the proof of theorem is completed.

Taking $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ in the Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following results, respectively.

Corollary 3.1. If $f \in S_{\sinh}^*(\lambda, \tau)$, then



$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{2\lambda - 1} \text{ and } |a_3| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{3\lambda - 1} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1||\tau| \leq (2\lambda - 1)^2, \\ \frac{|2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1||\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2} & \text{if } |2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1||\tau| \geq (2\lambda - 1)^2. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.2. If $f \in C_{\sinh}(\lambda, \tau)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{2(2\lambda - 1)} \text{ and } |a_3| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{3(3\lambda - 1)} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1||\tau| \leq (2\lambda - 1)^2, \\ \frac{|2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1||\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2} & \text{if } |2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1||\tau| \geq (2\lambda - 1)^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Note: 3.1. In the special values of the parameters λ and τ from Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, we obtain the results for the classes $S_{\sinh}^*(\tau)$, $S_{\sinh}^*(\lambda)$, S_{\sinh}^* and $C_{\sinh}(\tau)$, $C_{\sinh}(\lambda)$, C_{\sinh} , respectively.

Now, we focused on the solution of the Fekete-Szegő problem for the class $\chi_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \chi_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \leq l(\tau, \lambda, \beta), \\ l(\tau, \lambda, \beta) + 1 & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \geq l(\tau, \lambda, \beta), \end{cases}$$

where

$$l(\tau, \lambda, \beta) = \frac{|(2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1)(1 + 3\beta) + (3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)\mu||\tau| - (2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \chi_{\sinh}(\beta, \lambda, \tau)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. From the equalities (2.6) and (2.8), we can write

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\tau}{4(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)} \left[(4 - p_1^2)x - \frac{(2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1)(1 + 3\beta) + (3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)\mu}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2} \tau p_1^2 \right] \quad (3.12)$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| \leq 1$.

Applying triangle inequality to the equality (3.12), we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{4(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)} \left[(4 - t^2)\xi + \frac{|(2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1)(1 + 3\beta) + (3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)\mu||\tau|}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2} t^2 \right],$$

$$\xi \in [0, 1],$$

where $\xi = |x|$ and $t = |p_1|$.

From the last inequality, we can write

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{4(3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)} [l(\tau, \lambda, \beta)t^2 + 4], \quad t \in [0, 2], \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$l(\tau, \lambda, \beta) = \frac{|(2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1)(1 + 3\beta) + (3\lambda - 1)(1 + 2\beta)\mu||\tau| - (2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}{(2\lambda - 1)^2(1 + \beta)^2}.$$



Maximizing the expression on the right hand side of the inequality (3.13) according to the parameter t , we have result of theorem.

Thus, the proof of theorem is completed.

If we take $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following results, respectively.

Corollary 3.3. If $f \in S_{\sinh}^*(\lambda, \tau)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{3\lambda - 1} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \leq l_1(\tau, \lambda, \beta), \\ l_1(\tau, \lambda, \beta) + 1 & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \geq l_1(\tau, \lambda, \beta), \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Where } l_1(\tau, \lambda) = \frac{\left| (2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1) + (3\lambda - 1)\mu \right| |\tau| - (2\lambda - 1)^2}{(2\lambda - 1)^2}$$

Corollary 3.4. If $f \in C_{\sinh}(\lambda, \tau)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\tau|}{3(3\lambda - 1)} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \leq l_2(\tau, \lambda, \beta), \\ l_2(\tau, \lambda, \beta) + 1 & \text{if } |1 - \mu||\tau| \geq l_2(\tau, \lambda, \beta), \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } l_2(\tau, \lambda, \beta) = \frac{\left| (2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 1) + 3(3\lambda - 1)\mu \right| |\tau| - (2\lambda - 1)^2}{(2\lambda - 1)^2}.$$

Note: 3.2. In the special values of the parameters λ and τ from Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.4, we obtain the results for the classes $S_{\sinh}^*(\tau)$, $S_{\sinh}^*(\lambda)$, S_{\sinh}^* and $C_{\sinh}(\tau)$, $C_{\sinh}(\lambda)$, C_{\sinh} , respectively.

References

- [1]. Kőebe, P. (1909). Über die Uniformisierung der algebraischen Kurven, durch automorpher Funktionen mit imaginärer Substitutions gruppe. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. -Phys. 68-76.
- [2]. Bieberbach, L. (1916). Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln. Sitzungsberichte Press. Akad. Der Wiss. 138, 940-955.
- [3]. De Branges, L. (1985). A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. Acta Math. 154, 137-152.
- [4]. Janowski, W. (1970). Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families. Ann. Pol. Math. 23, 159-177.
- [5]. Sharma, K., Jain, N. K., Ravichandran, V. (2016). Starlike function associated with a cardioid. Afr. Math. 27, 923-939.
- [6]. Kumar, S. S., Arora, K. (2020). Starlike functions associated with a petal shaped domain. ArXiv 2020, arXiv: 2010.10072.
- [7]. Mendiratta, R., Nagpal, S., Ravichandran, V. (2015). On a subclass of strongly starlike functions associated with exponential function. Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. 38, 365-386.
- [8]. Bano, K., Raza, M. (2020). Starlike functions associated with cosine function. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 47, 1513-1532.
- [9]. Alotaibi, A., Arif, M., Alghamdi, M. A., Hussain, S. (2020). Stalkiness associated with cosine hyperbolic function. Mathematics 8, 1118.
- [10]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç, A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function. 13th International Istanbul Scientific Research Congress on Life, Engineering and Applied Sciences on April 29-30, 234-241, Istanbul, Turkey.
- [11]. Frasin, B. And Aouf, M. K. (2011). New Classes of Bi-univalent Functions. Applied Mathematics Letter, 24(9), 1569-1573.
- [12]. Sharma, K., Jain, N. K., Ravichandran, V. (2016). Starlike function associated with a cardioid. Afr. Math. 2016, 27, 923-939.



- [13]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç. A. (2023). The Fekete-Szegö problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function. 13th International Istanbul Scientific Research Congress on Life, Engineering and Applied Sciences on April 29-30, 242-249, Istanbul, Turkey.
- [14]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V. (2023). Coefficient estimates and Fekete-Szegö problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function. 13th International Istanbul Scientific Research Congress on Life, Engineering and Applied Sciences on May 1-2, 475-481, Istanbul, Türkiye.
- [15]. Mustafa, N., Demir, H. A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions with associated with sine and cosine functions. 4th International Black Sea Congress on Modern Scientific Research on June 6-8, 2555-2563, Rize Turkey.
- [16]. Mustafa, N., Demir, H. A. (2023). Fekete-Szegö problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine and cosine functions. 4th International Black Sea Congress on Modern Scientific Research on June 6-8, 2564-2572, Rize Turkey.
- [17]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç. A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function with complex order. *Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 10(6), 18-25.
- [18]. Mustafa, N., Nezir, V., Kankılıç. A. (2023). The Fekete-Szegö problem for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions associated with sine hyperbolic function with complex order. *Eastern Anatolian Journal of Science*, 9(1), 1-6.
- [19]. Mustafa, N., Demir, H. A. (2023). Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of analytic and univalent functions with associated with sine and cosine functions with complex order. *Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 10(6), 131-140.
- [20]. Kankılıç. A., Mustafa, N. (2024). On the Pseudo Starlike Bi-univalent Function Class of Complex Order. *Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, 15(5), 26-34.
- [21]. Duren, P. L. (1983). *Univalent Functions*. In *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, Springer-Verlag, Volume 259.

