Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, 2016, 3(6):156-162



Research Article

ISSN: 2394-2630 CODEN(USA): JSERBR

Heavy Metals in Exchangeable Sediments Core along Shatt AL-Arab Estuary

Zahra'a S. R. Al-Shamsi¹, Abbas H. Mohammed¹, Hamid T. Al-Saad²

¹College of Science, Department of Geology, University of Basrah-Basrah-Iraq ²Faculty of Marine Science, University of Basrah, Iraq

Abstract In The present study we determined the concentrations of eight heavy metals (Lead, Nickel, Copper, Chrome, Zinc, Cobalt, Cadmium and Iron) in exchangeable phase by using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (FAAS) for sediment core at six stations along Shatt Al-Arab estuary they are: (Al-Qurna, Al-Deer, Al-Qarma, Al-Ashar, Abu-Alkasib and Al-Fao). The Total Organic Carbon (TOC %) and Grain Size were also analyzed. The results obtained for the sediment samples were high except for Cd and Co which were relatively low. They were followed in order as: Fe > Cr > Ni > Zn > Pb > Cu > Co > Cd. Higher concentrations of some heavy metals in sediments indicated that the sediments acted as a sink and source for these metals. Also we observed decreasing values with increasing depth because these layers are oldest age so the decomposition processes took place for a long time as compared with the layers above it. The lowest mean concentration in some stations because of the fewness sources of pollution in this region whereas the highest mean concentration in other stations such as Al-Ashar and Al-Fao station because of there are a lot of pollution sources such as : Sewage pollutants, Industrial wastes, Oil Pollution (Al-Muftia oil refineries) and Municipal Wastes (Al-Ashar river). The highest rate of Total Organic Carbon recorded in Al-Qarma amounted to (1.67%) while the lowest rate recorded in Al-Fao (0.049%) due to the lack of pollution sources in this region. The Grain Size of sediment were also analyzed, the silt and clay was predominate in most of the study stations. There is mainly a significant Correlation between metals and Total Organic Carbon and there is no significant Correlation with grain size.

Keywords Heavy metals, Exchangeable, sediment core, Shatt AL-Arab estuary

Introduction

The Shatt al Arab River is formed after the confluence of the Euphrates and the Tigris Rivers near the city of Al-Quarna in southern Iraq [1]. Downstream of Al-Quarna, the area draining to the Shatt Al-Arab region is shared between Iran and Iraq. In addition to the Euphrates and Tigris Rivers, the Karkheh and the Karun sub-basins contribute water to the Shatt Al-Arab. Both the Karkheh and the Karun Rivers originate in the Zagros Mountains in Iran and discharge into the Shatt Al-Arab. Heavy Metals is an imprecise term generally taken to include the metallic Metals with an atomic weight greater than 40, which have specific gravity greater than 5g/cm³. The most important Heavy Metals from the point of view of water pollution are zinc, copper, lead, cadmium, iron, nickel and manganese. Some of these metals (e.g. copper, zinc and iron) are essential Heavy Metals to living organismsand play irreplaceable roles in the functioning of critical enzyme systems , but become toxic at higher concentrations. Others, such as lead and cadmium, have no known biological function, and may be toxic even at Heavy levels to exposure [2]. Pollution sources in Shatt Al-Arab estuary urban areas are both anthropogenic (industrial emission, traffic emission, coal combustion, waste incineration, and agriculture waste) and natural. Urban surface soil acts as sinks for heavy metals and many other pollutants that

persist for long time periods. Typically, the heavy metal concentrations are used to measure the pollution status of the sediment core of this important environment.

Materials and Methods

The sediment core samples were taken from six sampling stations which represent different sector of Shatt Al-Arab estuary for analysis and estimation the concentration of some heavy Metals (Cr, Co, Cd, Cu, Pb, Ni, Fe and Zn) in exchangeable phase in these sediment core.GPS instrument is used to fix the positions of these stations. They are : Al-Deer , Al-Qarma , Al-Qurna , Al-Ashar , Abu-Alkasib and Al-Fao as shown in Fig. (1) .Sediment cores (Acid washed PVC pipe of 1m lengthX10 cm diameter) were collected from six stations. The cores were inserted into the water-sediment interface and pushed to ensure that it reached maximum depth. The cores were slowly retrieved back, closed with its cover immediately and marked as to which is the upward direction.



Figure 1: The study stations

The exchangeable heavy metals ions were extracted from sediment according to the method of [3]. Samples were measuring using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (FAAS). The Total Organic Carbon content in the sediment samples was determined according to the method of [4]. Mean grain size were analyzed by using Sedigraph and the grain size (sand, silt, and clay) was determined as percentage of sediments.

Results and Discussion

Results of the present study are shown in Table (1) to (6). The concentrations of lead in the exchangeable phase inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of lead in the exchangeable phase was (37.54 µg/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Ashar station and the lowest value was $(20.15 \ \mu g/g \ dry \ weight)$ at $(45-50 \ cm)$ depth in Al-Deer station, the highest mean value $(32.97 \ \mu g/g \ dry$ weight) in Al-Ashar station and the lowest mean value (28.29 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Qarma station. The increasing in Pb concentrations are due to Iraq -Iran war and the Gulf war 1 and 2 a lot of shooting and military actions were done during these times which release Pb to the environments. The concentrations of Nickel inversely proportional with the depth for all stations except Al-Fao station, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Nickel in the exchangeable phase was (65.93 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Fao station and the lowest value was (30.07 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Ashar station, the highest mean value (52.65 μ g/g dry weight) in Abu-Alkasib station and the lowest mean value (43.84 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Qurna station. The increasing in Ni concentrations are due to the oil refinery of Abadan discharge [5]. The concentrations of Copper inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Copper in the exchangeable phase was (26.49 µg/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Abu-Alkasib station and the lowest value was (9.17 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Deer station, the highest mean value (20.70 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Qarma station and the lowest mean value (14.94 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Deer station. This fluctuation in Cu concentrations is mainly due to the different source of pollution in these stations such as the discharge of Industrial wastes, Oil refinery, sewage pollution [5]. The concentrations of Chrome inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Chrome in the exchangeable phase was (65.91 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Fao station and the lowest value was (50.88 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Deer station, the highest mean value (60.07 μ g/g dry weight) in Al-Ashar station because of the sewage pollution and the lowest mean value (54.55 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Qurna station. The concentrations of Zinc inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Zinc in the exchangeable phase was (43.17 $\mu g/g dry$ weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station and the lowest value was (23.34 $\mu g/g dry$ weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station, the highest mean value (36.63 µg/g dry weight)in Al-Ashar station due to effects of interior rivers discharge such as Al-Khorah river and Al-Rebat river, and the lowest mean value (31.89µg/g dry weight)in Abu-Alkasib station. The concentrations of Cobaltinverselyproportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Cobalt in the exchangeable phase was $(15.85 \ \mu g/g \ dry \ weight)$ at $(0.5 \ cm)$ depth in Al-Ashar station and the lowest value was $(4.46 \ \mu g/g \ dry \ weight)$ at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Deer station, the highest mean value (12.47 µg/g dry weight)in Al-Ashar station because of there are a lot of pollution sources such as: Sewage pollutants, Industrial wastes, Oil Pollution (Al-Muftia oil refineries) and Municipal Wastes (Al-Ashar river). and the lowest mean value (8.67 µg/g dry weight) in Al-Deer station. The concentrations of Cadmium inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Cadmium in the exchangeable phase was $(13.32 \mu g/g)$ dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station and the lowest value was (5.01 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qurna station, the highest mean value (11.20 µg/g dry weight)in Al-Qarma station and the lowest mean value (8.07µg/g dry weight) in Al-Qurna station. The increasing of Cd due to increased human activities and continued launch of pollutants without treatment. The concentrations of Iron inversely proportional with the depth for all stations except Al-Deer station, whereas the highest valueof concentrations of Iron in the exchangeable phasewas(4987.92 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5 cm) depth in Al-Qarma station and the lowest value was (950.78 µg/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) depth in Al-Qurna station, the highest mean value (4169.79 µg/g dry weight)in Al-Qarma station and the lowest mean value (2026.62 µg/g dry weight)in Al-Qurna station. This fluctuation in concentration of Fe due to the seasonal changes and weather Conditions of heat, light, wind speed and erosion and sedimentation processes which affect the sedimentation of Iron as a result of oxidation and reduction processes.

In Al-Ashar, Abu-Alkasib and Al-Fao stations Pollution is continuously becoming a serious problem, mainly caused by the disposal of untreated sewage and industrial waste, nitrates from animal waste and chemical fertilizers. It is important to report that the continuous increase the demographic and the urbanized expansion and the increased weight for inhabitants of the cities. However, rivers in urban areas play a major role in carrying of industrial and municipal wastewater, manure discharges and runoff from agricultural fields and streets, which are responsible for river pollution [6-7].

In this study there is mainly a Significant Correlation between metals at (p > 0.05) as shown as Table (9).

Table 1: Concentration of Exchangeable Heavy Metals $(\mu g/g)$ dry weight in sediment core

			IIOIII A	I-Quma s	lation			
Depth cm	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
0-5	37.24	62.48	20.68	60.24	41.09	11.98	10.95	2856.11
5-10	36.48	60.03	19.79	59.93	40.5	11.9	10.56	2651.61
10-15	36.17	57.21	19.75	59.33	39.91	10.88	9.28	2427.42
15-20	30.89	53.23	15.3	56.84	39.66	10.48	9.14	2279.75
20-25	30.75	50.26	15.09	56.13	39.33	8.59	9.22	2686.18
25-30	30.3	44.37	12.75	54.96	30.94	8.04	8.11	1489.59
30-35	29.17	44.13	12.31	54.8	30.66	7.92	8.04	1225.15
35-40	25.72	35.78	12.83	51.91	29.04	6.27	7.3	1175.09
40-45	23.89	35.37	11.73	51.41	27.61	6.16	6.16	1952.68
45-50	20.15	30.75	9.17	50.88	26.25	4.46	6.05	1685.81
Total	300.76	473.61	149.4	556.43	344.99	86.68	84.81	20429.39
Mean	30.076	47.361	14.94	55.64	34.50	8.67	8.481	2042.94
$\pm SD$	5.65	11.07	3.94	3.50	6.07	2.59	1.67	624.79





Depth cm	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
0-5	35.54	60.55	20.72	60.71	40.32	10.96	10.76	3850.65
5-10	33.92	58.63	19.65	58.54	39.95	10.81	10.34	2538.61
15-20	33.62	53.79	19.27	58.35	37.19	10.45	10.02	2485.21
20-25	33.45	47.65	19.19	54.92	35.71	10.35	8.65	2238.42
25-30	31.24	47.35	15.55	54.31	33.44	10.11	8.49	2077.83
30-35	30.72	38.72	12.48	52.62	31.42	9.89	7.62	2126.4
35-40	29.41	38.14	12.12	52.16	29.61	9.75	7.16	1562.73
40-45	29.63	32.23	11.84	51.74	27.63	9.43	7.04	1369.61
45-45	29.33	30.77	10.22	51.13	26.72	9.28	5.61	1065.92
45-50	29.29	30.54	10.06	51.05	25.63	8.79	5.01	950.78
Total	316.15	438.37	151.1	545.53	327.62	99.82	80.7	20266.16
Mean	31.62	43.84	15.11	54.55	32.76	9.98	8.07	2026.62
$\pm SD$	2.32	11.38	4.25	3.49	5.41	0.69	1.95	855.32

Table 2: Concentration of Exchangeable Heavy Metals $(\mu g/g)$ dry weight in sediment core from Al-Deer

Table 3: Concentration of Exchangeable of Heavy Metals ($\mu g/g$) dry weight in sediment core from Al- Qarma

Depth cm	Pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
0-5	31.72	63.93	24.51	63.91	43.17	14.87	13.32	4987.92
5-10	30.49	58.13	23.35	62.96	41.17	14.23	13.14	4598.19
10-15	30.27	55.14	23.22	61.9	39.56	13.43	12.11	4568.18
15-20	29.71	52.9	22.35	59.26	37.55	12.87	12.04	4357.16
20-25	28.27	46.57	21.65	58.28	36.11	12.12	11.26	4248.49
25-30	27.51	42.75	21.22	56.02	35.06	11.8	11.16	4149.66
30-35	27.34	37.95	19.34	55.02	31.91	11.21	10.73	4024.76
35-40	26.53	34.2	18.77	53.19	27.82	10.83	10.36	3951.27
40-45	25.61	33.4	17.61	52.19	25.05	10.82	9.58	3482.92
45-50	25.47	32.48	15.02	51.89	23.34	9.49	8.33	3329.33
Total	282.92	457.45	207.04	574.62	340.74	121.67	112.03	41697.88
Mean	28.29	45.75	20.70	57.46	34.07	12.17	11.20	4169.79
±SD	2.17	11.33	2.97	4.48	6.84	1.68	1.55	505.55

Table 4: Concentration of Exchangeable of Heavy Metals (µg/g) dry weight in sediment core from Al-Ashar

Depth cm	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
0-5	37.54	63.88	23.11	64.26	43.1	15.85	11.92	3425.15
5-10	36.92	63.55	22.76	64.01	42.75	14.87	11.44	3256.26
10-15	36.65	63.05	22.2	63.53	42.5	14.82	10.19	3121.59
15-20	36.46	59.78	20.32	60.71	40.27	14.58	11.75	3085.23
20-25	31.92	52.77	19.79	60.13	40.19	13.28	11.61	2925.31
25-30	31.72	52.53	19.23	59.13	35.4	11.52	10.45	2895.1
30-35	31.52	46.88	19.06	58.1	35.24	11.26	9.63	2852.16
35-40	30.64	46.26	18.45	58.48	30.82	10.35	9.27	2554.23
40-45	28.2	37.53	18.54	58.08	30.67	10.25	9.11	2212.24
45-50	28.11	30.07	14.12	54.22	25.39	7.92	7.49	1025.18
Total	329.68	516.3	197.58	600.65	366.33	124.7	102.86	27352.45
Mean	32.97	51.63	19.76	60.07	36.63	12.47	10.29	2735.25
±SD	3.63	11.58	2.63	3.18	6.14	2.59	1.44	693.23

Table 7 shows that the concentrations of Total Organic Carbon (TOC %) inversely proportional with the depth for all stations, whereas the highest value of concentrations of Total Organic Carbon (TOC %) was (1.67 μ g/g dry weight) at (0-5) in Al-Qarma station, the lowest value was (0.049 μ g/g dry weight) at (45-50 cm) in Al-Fao station. There is mainly a significant Correlation between metals and TOC%.



Depth cm	Pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe		
0-5	32.3	61.53	26.49	65.01	42.94	12.74	11.48	4261.56		
5-10	31.63	61.21	26.11	64.49	41.92	12.15	11.32	4125.47		
10-15	31.54	60.14	24.85	61.24	39.53	11.4	10.95	3987.18		
15-20	31.23	55.97	24.4	60.24	30.14	11.14	10.75	3562.66		
20-25	29.71	55.88	21.03	58.91	30.07	10.18	9.29	3485.87		
25-30	29.37	50.87	20.57	58.54	28.97	10.04	9.15	3258.59		
30-35	28.24	50.39	16.26	58.2	28.28	9.25	8.61	3025.28		
35-40	24.92	48.23	16.88	54.91	26.82	8.87	8.21	2836.3		
40-45	24.11	42.23	13.01	54.5	26.78	8.55	5.42	2551.25		
45-50	21.61	40.07	10.61	51.39	23.41	8.25	5.22	2245.68		
Total	284.66	526.52	200.21	587.43	318.86	102.57	90.4	33339.84		
Mean	28.47	52.65	20.02	58.74	31.89	10.26	9.04	3333.98		
$\pm SD$	3.69	7.63	5.62	4.32	6.93	1.56	2.27	677.79		
-										

Table 5: Concentration of Exchangeable of Heavy Metals (µg/g) dry weight in sediment core from Abu- Alkasib

Table 6: Concentration of Exchangeable Heavy Metals $(\mu g/g)$ dry weight in sediment core from Al-Fao station

Depth cm	pb	Ni	Cu	Cr	Zn	Со	Cd	Fe
0-5	31.72	65.93	22.51	65.91	41.17	13.95	12.06	4261.66
5-10	30.71	54.13	21.18	64.15	40.16	12.17	11.75	4125.66
10-15	30.27	50.94	20.42	60.9	39.56	11.93	11.33	3562.27
15-20	30.23	50.6	20.35	60.26	39.55	11.27	10.64	3250.92
20-25	28.97	51.57	18.65	60.28	39.11	11.12	10.31	3211.33
25-30	28.51	48.75	18.22	59.52	36.96	10.8	10.25	3200.83
30-35	27.34	41.2	17.84	58.92	36.81	10.21	10.06	2835.92
35-40	26.53	46.4	17.77	58.19	32.82	9.83	8.16	2751.19
40-45	26.11	36.48	14.61	53.19	32.05	8.22	9.78	2635.18
45-50	25.47	33.65	13.02	51.89	25.34	8.49	9.76	2567.19
Total	285.86	479.65	184.57	593.21	363.53	107.99	104.1	32402.15
Mean	28.59	47.97	18.46	59.32	36.35	10.80	10.41	3240.22
±SD	2.15	9.28	2.92	4.29	4.93	1.72	1.13	592.10

 Table 7: Concentration of Total Organic Carbon (TOC %)

Depth (cm)	Al-Qurna	Al-Deer	Al-Qarma	Al-Ashar	Abu-Alkasib	Al-Fao
0-5	1.176	0.5145	1.67	1.176	1.056	0.7595
5-10	0.931	0.441	1.61	0.816	1.008	0.686
15-20	0.588	0.441	1.32	0.792	0.984	0.6125
20-25	0.539	0.4165	1.27	0.744	0.936	0.49
25-30	0.441	0.392	1.26	0.696	0.912	0.343
30-35	0.367	0.3675	1.15	0.48	0.912	0.2695
35-40	0.269	0.343	0.98	0.456	0.84	0.1715
40-45	0.196	0.319	0.94	0.336	0.768	0.098
45-45	0.147	0.269	0.9	0.216	0.768	0.098
45-50	0.12	0.25	0.82	0.144	0.72	0.049
Total	4.78	3.75	11.92	5.86	8.90	3.58
Mean	0.48	0.37	1.19	0.59	0.89	0.36
±SD	0.35	0.08	0.29	0.32	0.11	0.26

The percentage of sand, silt, and clay is descried in the grain size analysis (Table 8), showed the dominant of silt and clay in all the sediments in the study stations with little share of sand fraction. There is no significant Correlation between metals and grain size as shown as Table 9.



		A	l-Qurr	na			А	l-Deer			Al-	Qarma	1		
Dep	oth(cm)	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clay	Textu	ire	
	0-5	%10	%74	%16	Silty clay	%35	%55	%10	Silty sand	%38	%54	%8	Silty s	and	
:	5-10	%12	%71	%17	Silty clay	%36	%56	%8	Silty sand	%36	%54	%10	Silty s	and	
1	0-15	%11	%64	%25	Silty clay	%27	%59	%14	Silty sand	%33	%55	%12	Silty s	and	
1	5-20	%12	%61	%27	Silty clay	%12	%72	%16	Silty clay	%27	%55	%18	Silty s	and	
2	20-25	%12	%63	%25	Silty clay	%9	%72	%19	Silty clay	%26	%54	%20	Silty s	and	
2	25-30	%18	%63	%19	Silty clay	%6	%74	%20	Silty clay	%0	%58	%42	Silty c	lay	
3	30.35	%22	%58	%20	Silty sand	l %6	%70	%24	Silty clay	%0	%60	%40	Silty c	lay	
3	5-40	%22	%60	%18	Silty sand	1 %8	%72	%20	Silty clay	%23	%62	%15	Silty s	and	
4	0.45	%23	%63	%14	Silty sand	1 %6	%76	%18	Silty clay	%20	%66	%14	Silty s	and	
4	5-50	%18	%65	%17	Silty sand	1 %6	%76	%18	Silty clay	%21	%69	%10	Silty s	and	
		A	l-Asha	r			Abo)-Alkasil	b		A	l-Fao			
Der	oth(cm)	Sand	Silt	- Clav	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clav	Texture	Sand	Silt	Clav	Textu	ire	
	0-5	%10	%64	%26	Silty clay	%0	%91	%9	Silty clay	%1	%64	%35	Silty c	lay	
	5-10	%17	%64	%28	Silty clay	%0	%90	%10	Silty clay	%1	%64	%35	Silty c	lav	
1	0-15	%11	%64	%25	Silty clay	%0	%80	%20	Silty clay	%3	%64	%33	Silty c	lav	
1	5-20	%10	%64	%26	Silty clay	%1	%62	%37	Silty clay	%4	%64	%32	Silty c	lav	
2	20-25	%8	%62	%30	Silty clay	%1	%62	%37	Silty clay	%2	%69	%29	Silty c	lav	
2	25-30	%7	%63	%30	Silty clay	%5	%66	%29	Silty clay	%2	%70	%28	Silty c	lay	
3	30.35	%9	%60	%31	Silty clay	%9	%69	%22	Silty clay	%7	%71	%22	Silty c	lav	
3	5-40	%11	%61	%28	Silty clay	%9	%71	%20	Silty clay	%6	%75	%19	Silty c	lav	
4	0.45	%11	%63	%26	Silty clay	%12	%70	%18	Silty clay	%8	%72	%20	Silty c	lav	
4	5-50	%5	%69	%26	Silty clay	%12	%70	%18	Silty clay	%9	%69	%22	Silty c	lav	
	000	,00	7007	7020	Te	hle 9. C	orrelatio	on coeff	ricient	,0,	1007	/022	Shty e		
Ni 1	Cu1	Cr1	Zn 1	Col	Cd1 Fe1	pb 2 1	Ni 2 Cu	2 Cr2	Zn2 (Co2 Cd2	Fe2	toc	Sand	silt	- c
.754*	* .573** 802**	.657**	.757**	.699** 748**	.542 ^{**} .203	.681** .	.730 ^{**} .81	7 ^{**} .705 [*]	* .754** . * 820**	654 ^{**} .520	.551°°	.238	.210	255-* - 055	.0
.802	* 1	.884**	.745**	.820**	.884 .837	.858** .	508** .72	.801°	.706 .	721*** .503	.366	.703**	005	119	.1
.903* 856*	.884** * 745**	1 858**	.858°°	.815** 765**	.815 ^{**} .690 ^{**} 782 ^{**} 544 ^{**}	.871 ^{**} . 882 ^{**}	.685 ^{***} .80 843 ^{***} .84	6*** .882* .8** 907*	* .778** . * 814**	769** .442 776 ^{**} 453	** .479** ** 463**	.532** 408**	056 077	045 - 084	.1
.748*	* .820**	.815**	.765**	1	.825** .703**	.727**	597** .78	8 ^{**} .709 [*]	* .698** .	613** .376	** .644**	.632**	.238	382-**	.0
.719*	* .884**	.815**	.782**	.825**	1 .828**	.816** .	518 ^{**} .72	4 ^{**} .805 [*]	· .664** .	723*** .317	* .428**	.633**	.087	250	.1
.588 ' 911*	.837 * 858**	.090 871**	.544 882**	.703 727**	.028 I 816** 688**	.088 .	∠19 .49 730°* 83	0 .628	.486 . * 801**	555 .535 831** 597	** 308*	.815 591**	.057	146	0. n
.721*	* .508**	.685**	.843**	.597**	.518** .279*	.730**	1 .73	0 ^{**} .783 [*]	* .757** .	559 ^{**} .571	** .301*	.231	.118	066	
$.870^{*}$.729**	.806**	.848**	.788**	.724** .496**	.830** .	730** 1	.796*	* .743** .	696** .521	.611**	.531**	.233	243	
.877*	.801**	.882**	.907**	.709**	.805 .628	.937** .	.783 .79	6** 1	.791** .	825** .516	.306	.489**	.059	093	.0
.820	.706	.778	.814	.698	.664 .486	.801 .	757 .74	3 .791°	1 .	669 .628	.370**	.396	035	015	.0
.057	* 503**	.709 442**	453**	376**	.125 .555 317° 335°°	.031 .	559 .69 571 ^{**} 52	0.625 1 ^{**} 516*	* 628**	500 506 ^{**} 1	- 143	.333 523**	100	071	
.412*	* .366**	.479**	.463**	.644**	.428** .172	.308* .	301° .61	1 ^{**} .306 [*]	.370**	285 [*] 14	3 1	.186	.076	247	.2
.551*	* .703**	.532**	$.408^{**}$.632**	.633** .815**	.591** .	231 .53	1 ^{**} .489 [*]	* .396** .	553** .523	.186	1	.244	215	!
.038	005	056	.077	.238	.087 .057	.049 .	118 .23	3 .059	035 .	132 .100	.076	.244	1	608-**	6

Table 8: Grain Size Analysis of Sediment Core Samples in Study Stations

.072 .028 .032 **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

-.120

-.066

.059

-.146

.072

-.250

.144

-.382-

.098

References

-.119

137

-.045

138

-.084

.009

-.055

-.255-

.013

pb 1 Ni 1 Cu1 Cr1 Zn 1

Co1 Cd1 Fe1 pb 2 Ni 2 Cu2 Cr2 Zn2 Co2 Cd2 Fe2

toc Sand

silt

AL-Saad H.T., Alhello A.A., AL-Kazaeh D.K., Al-Hello M.A., Hassan W.F., Mahdi S. (2015)" [1]. Analysis of Water Quality Using Physico-Chemical Parameters in the Shatt AL-Arab Estuary, Iraq" International J. of Marine Science, 5(49): 1-9.

-.243

-.093

-.071

.082

-.015

.087

.007

.129

-.247

201

-.215

.092

- 608-

.656-

1

.190

-.190

- [2]. Al-Hejuje, M.M. (2015). Application of water quality and pollution indices to evaluate the water and sediments status in the middle part of Shatt Al-Arab River. Ph.D. Thesis, Biology Department, College of Science, University of Basrah, 239 pp.
- [3]. Chester, R. and Voutsinou, F.G. (1981). The initial assessment of trace metal pollution in coastal sediment. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 12 (3): 84-91.
- [4]. Riley, J. P. and Chester, R. (1981) Introduction to Marine Chemistry, Academic Press, London. 465p.
- [5]. Al-Saad, H. T. (1995). "Distribution and sources of hydrocarbons in Shatt Al-Arab estuary and NW Arabian Gulf ". Ph. D. thesis, Basrah University, 186 pp.



- [6]. Al Obaidy, A.H.M.J., Al-Khateeb, M. (2013). The Challenges of Water Sustainability in Iraq, Engineering and Technology Journal, 31(5), 828-840.
- [7]. Ani, R.R., Al Obaidy, A.H.M.J. and Badri, R.M. (2014). Assessment of Water Quality in the Selected Sites on the Tigris River, Baghdad-Iraq, International Journal of Advanced Research, 2(5), 1125-1131.
- [8]. Al-Mahana, D. S. (2015) "Distribution and sources of total Hydrocarbons, N-Alkane and Poly Cyclic Aromatic compounds in sediment cores of Shatt Al-Arab coast, Khor Al-Zubair and Um- Qaser". M.Sc. thesis. University of Basrah, College of Science, Geology department.